

Salford City Council

Draft Salford Local Plan: Core Strategy and Allocations

Consultation Statement

October 2024

Contents

| | |
|--|------------|
| Introduction | 3 |
| Salford City Council Statement of Community Involvement | 3 |
| Initial consultation on the Salford Local Plan: Core Strategy and Allocations (SLP:CSA) | 3 |
| Availability of documents and webpage | 4 |
| Consultation letter and emails | 4 |
| How were people invited to make comments? | 5 |
| Social media | 5 |
| Press | 5 |
| Attendance at community meetings | 6 |
| Compliance with requirements of the city council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 | 6 |
| Statement of Community Involvement | 6 |
| Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 | 6 |
| Representations received and summary of the main issues raised | 7 |
| Annex A List of consultees | 12 |
| Annex B Consultation letter | 31 |
| Annex C Facebook post example | 33 |
| Annex D Jewish Advertiser notice | 34 |
| Annex E Comments made (relevant to the scope of the SLP:CSA) to social media posts and the council's response | 35 |
| Annex F Individuals and organisations who made comments on the SLP:CSA initial consultation | 38 |
| Annex G Summary of representations on the scope of the plan | 40 |
| Annex H Summary of representations on the evidence base | 94 |
| Annex I Site allocations suggested through the initial consultation | 106 |
| Annex J Local Green Space designations suggested through the initial consultation | 152 |

Introduction

- 1.1 This consultation statement has been prepared having regard to the requirements of Regulation 18 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. It relates to initial consultation on the Salford Local Plan: Core Strategy and Allocations (SLP:CSA) that was undertaken between 13 December 2023 and 24 January 2024. It details:
- Which organisations and individuals were invited to make representations
 - How they were invited to make representations
 - A summary of the main issues raised in those representations
 - How the issues have been addressed in the development plan document.

Salford City Council Statement of Community Involvement

- 1.2 The [Statement of Community involvement \(SCI\)](#) sets out the council's approach to community engagement in the production of planning documents and the determination of planning applications. It explains:
- Who the council will involve in producing local planning documents and making decisions on planning applications
 - When we will involve them
 - How we will involve them
 - What happens to comments received.
- 1.3 The council's first SCI was first published in 2010. This was updated in 2020 to reflect COVID 19 restrictions, and then a fully updated version was adopted in June 2024 to incorporate new legal requirements, updates to national planning policy and guidance, and the increased use of digital technology and social media as consultation methods.
- 1.4 Paragraphs 1.20 to 1.23 of this consultation statement explain how the initial consultation undertaken on the SLP:CSA was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the council's adopted SCI; at the time the initial consultation was undertaken this was the version of the SCI adopted in 2020.

Initial consultation on the Salford Local Plan: Core Strategy and Allocations (SLP:CSA)

- 1.5 Salford City Council undertook an initial six-week consultation on the SLP:CSA between 13 December 2023 and 24 January 2024. This was the first stage in the production of the Plan and comments were invited on:
- The scope of the plan. The proposed scope was outlined and comments were invited on this. People were also invited to suggest other issues the plan should address having regard to the other planning documents that are already in place.

- The Integrated Assessment Scoping Report. The report explains how a technical assessment of the plan will be carried out to ensure that social, economic, environmental, equalities and health issues are fully taken into consideration. Comments were invited on this report and it was explained that this would be revised having regard to any comments received.
- A 'call for sites.' This was an opportunity for developers, landowners and other stakeholders to put forward sites that they think should be:
 - Considered for development (which could be through a Local Plan allocation or inclusion within the Housing and Employment Land Availability Assessment)
 - Designated as a Local Green Space.

1.6 The following documents were produced for the initial consultation:

- Consultation details: scope of the plan, integrated assessment and call for sites (December 2023): This document explained what the council was inviting comments on and how to make comments. It provided additional details on the call for sites, including identifying the type of sites and uses that could be suggested and what information was required for sites being proposed
- Integrated Appraisal Scoping Report and annexes 1 to 7 (December 2023)
- Response form.

Availability of documents and webpage

1.7 Copies of the documents listed at paragraph 1.6 were published on the city council's [website](#) on 13 December 2023. The webpage explained what comments were being invited, how to find out more (including the offer to book a telephone appointment with a planning officer), and how to make comments.

1.8 Paper copies of these documents were placed at the Civic Centre in Swinton and at each of the city's [libraries](#) during the first week of the consultation period.

Consultation letter and emails

1.9 A letter or email (where email addresses had been provided by consultees) was sent to all consultees on the city council's spatial planning database on 13 December 2023 (1,454 emails and 665 letters). The database comprises each of the specific and general consultation bodies, duty to cooperate bodies and a wide range of individuals and organisations, including developers, planning consultants, community groups and local residents and businesses. A list of the individuals / organisations on the database at the time the initial consultation was undertaken is provided in Annex A.

1.10 The letter / email notified people of the council's intention to start work on the SLP:CSA and explained what comments were being invited, how to find out more (including the offer to book a telephone appointment with a planning

officer) and how to make comments. A copy of the letter is provided at Annex B of this report.

- 1.11 An email was sent to all Salford Councillors on 13 December 2023 to inform them that the consultation had started.

How were people invited to make comments?

- 1.12 Comments could be made in the following ways:

- Online at <https://shaping.salford.gov.uk/>
- By email to plans.consultation@salford.gov.uk
- By post to:
Salford Local Plan: Core Strategy and Allocations Document
Spatial Planning
Salford Civic Centre
Chorley Road
Swinton
M27 5BY

- 1.13 Shaping Salford (the means by which online comments could be made) is an online consultation platform where residents are encouraged to submit ideas and provide feedback on proposals.

Social media

- 1.14 A total of 10 social media posts were made by the city council on Facebook and 'X' (formerly Twitter) during the consultation period (5 on each platform). The purpose of these posts was to raise awareness of the consultation and signpost where to find more information. Statistics relating to the posts on 'X' are not available. With regard to the posts on Facebook, they reached 10,288 people, and we had:

- 16,082 impressions (number of times a post was shown on a device)
- 157 link clicks
- 27 comments
- 30 likes
- 34 shares

- 1.15 An example of a post on Facebook can be viewed in Annex C.

- 1.16 A WhatsApp message was sent out to 39 Salford Community Champions group on 20 December 2023, to further assist in raising awareness of the consultation. The Salford Community Champions comprises individuals across a range of different community groups.

Press

- 1.17 A [press release](#) was issued by the council's website on 19 December 2023.

- 1.18 A notice was placed in the Jewish Advertiser during week commencing 18 December 2023, a copy of which can be viewed in Annex D.

Attendance at community meetings

- 1.19 An email was sent to the Salford Neighbourhood Managers on 13 December 2023 to inform them that the consultation had started and offer the opportunity to attend one of their community committee meetings to provide further information.
- 1.20 Further to this, officers attended the East Salford Community Committee meeting (via Teams) at 5.00pm on 18 January 2024. 19 people attended this meeting.

Compliance with requirements of the city council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012

Statement of Community Involvement

- 1.21 Section 19 3) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act (2004) requires that local authorities comply with their Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) in preparing local development documents.
- 1.22 At the time the initial consultation was undertaken, Salford's adopted SCI was the version dated October 2020.
- 1.23 The city council's consultee database contains each of the specific and general consultation bodies, the duty to cooperate bodies and a wide range of individuals and organisations, including developers, planning consultants, community groups and residents and businesses. This reflects the broad consultee groups identified in table 3.1 of the SCI. Details of the individuals and organisations consulted on the initial consultation is provided at Annex A.
- 1.24 The methods of engagement used during the initial consultation period comprised publishing information on the Salford City Council website, making documents available for inspection at the council's offices and in libraries, sending letters / emails to specific and general consultees on the spatial planning consultation database, issuing a press release, attending a public meeting, placing a notice in the Jewish Advertiser, notifying councillors, and raising awareness through social media. This is consistent with the proposed methods of community engagement as detailed in tables 3.2 and 3.3 of the SCI.

Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012

- 1.25 The requirements of regulation 18 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 are as follows:
- (1) A local planning authority must

- (a) notify each of the bodies or persons specified in paragraph (2) of the subject of a local plan which the local planning authority propose to prepare, and
- (b) invite each of them to make representations to the local planning authority about what a local plan with that subject ought to contain.

(2) The bodies or persons referred to in paragraph (1) are

- (a) such of the specific consultation bodies as the local planning authority consider may have an interest in the subject of the proposed local plan;
- (b) Such of the general consultation bodies as the local planning authority consider appropriate; and
- (c) Such residents or other persons carrying on business in the local planning authority's area from which the local planning authority consider it appropriate to invite representations.

(3) In preparing the local plan, the local planning authority must take into account any representation made to them in response to invitations under paragraph (1).

1.26 This consultation statement demonstrates (in particular at paragraphs 1.5, 1.9 and Annex A), that these requirements were met in undertaking the initial consultation on the SLP:CSA.

Representations received and summary of the main issues raised

Social media responses

1.27 27 comments were received in response to the city council's Facebook posts, whilst two were received in response to posts on 'X'. A summary of the comments relevant to the scope of the SLP:CSA, and the council's response to them, is listed at Annex E.

Formal representations

1.28 51 formal representations were received to the initial consultation:

- 36 sites were put forward for allocation for development
- 17 sites were suggested as a Local Green Space designation
- 29 individuals / organisations made comments on the scope of the plan or its evidence base
- 10 organisations made comments on the Integrated Appraisal Scoping Report.

1.29 A list of the individuals and organisations who submitted representations to the initial consultation is listed at Annex F.

1.30 Annexes G to J of this consultation statement provide a summary of the comments received to the initial consultation, and sites put forward for

allocation for development or designation as Local Green Space through the call for sites exercise. These annexes also provide the city council's response to the issues raised and sites suggested through the consultation. The annexes are organised as follows:

- Annex G: Summary of the representations on the scope of the plan and the city council's response
- Annex H: Summary of the representations on the evidence base and the city council's response
- Annex I: List of sites suggested for allocation and the city council's response
- Annex J: Sites suggested for designation as Local Green Space and the city council's response.

- 1.31 A summary of the representations received to the Integrated Appraisal Scoping Report and the council's response to these is provided within the Revised Integrated Assessment Scoping Report (Annex 8), which has been published alongside the Draft SLP:CSA.
- 1.32 Full copies of the representations received to the initial consultation were published on the council's website when public consultation starts on the Draft SLP:CSA.
- 1.33 Table 1 below provides a brief summary of the main issues raised through the initial consultation.

Table 1

| Main issues raised through the initial consultation | Council response |
|--|---|
| A wide range of issues were highlighted as being important to consider in the SLP:CSA. These include heritage, economic growth, climate change, conserving and enhancing biodiversity and green infrastructure, affordable housing, housing need, flood risk and surface water management. | <p>The purpose of the SLP:CSA is to 'fill the gaps' by providing policies that are not covered by other policies in Salford's adopted development plan (in particular the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (SLP:DMP) (adopted in January 2023), and the joint Places for Everyone plan which sets the broader, strategic priorities for the city region (adopted in March 2024).</p> <p>On many issues, sufficient policy coverage is provided by the existing, adopted development plan documents. Development management policies are not being reviewed through the SLP:CSA. In some cases, the issues raised are addressed in the SLP:CSA</p> |

| Main issues raised through the initial consultation | Council response |
|---|---|
| | <p>(for example in the overall strategy (policy SS1) or the relevant neighbourhood area policy where the issue is an area specific matter.</p> <p>Further details are provided in Annex G as to how specific issues are addressed.</p> |
| <p>Several organisations highlighted the need to comply with the NPPF 15 year plan period requirement.</p> | <p>The SLP:SCA period has been amended to cover the period up to 31 March 2042 and will therefore meet the NPPF minimum 15 year period upon adoption requirement.</p> |
| <p>Comments were made by Peel in respect of the relationship between the SLP:CSA and Places for Everyone, in particular that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consideration should be given as to whether there are additional development needs • Supply against development requirements needs to be tested. | <p>Supporting sustainable growth is central to the Draft SLP:CSA vision and overall strategy (policy SS1). Places for Everyone includes policies which provide a strategic framework for growth and development in Salford. The SLP:CSA is consistent with and complements this.</p> <p>As explained in paragraph 3.16 of the draft SLP:CSA, the overall housing supply for the 1 April 2022 to 31 March 2042 plan period is around 40,000 dwellings. This is considered to be sufficient to meet the overall requirement.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, the council is considering commissioning some additional work relating to the sufficiency of Salford's identified land supply to meet needs in the city over the plan period. This will inform the Publication version of the SLP:CSA.</p> |
| <p>Planning policy requirements for traveller sites were highlighted and engagement arrangements questioned.</p> | <p>Through the Draft SLP:CSA, one site is proposed to be allocated for pitches for gypsy and traveller use (Allocation 2). Two further sites (allocations 4 and 7) have been identified as having the potential to accommodate plots for travelling showpeople. Further</p> |

| Main issues raised through the initial consultation | Council response |
|---|--|
| | <p>discussions regarding the deliverability of sites for travelling people will be progressed.</p> <p>Through the consultation on the draft SLP:CSA, the city council is inviting people to suggest additional sites for travellers. The city council is also proposing to undertake discussions with representatives of the travelling community as part of the consultation on the Draft SLP:CSA.</p> |
| <p>Various comments were made with regards to infrastructure capacity and the need to understand infrastructure requirements and ensure development is coordinated with infrastructure provision.</p> | <p>Policy EF2 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023 explains that development must make provision for and be phased with supporting infrastructure appropriate to the scale of development proposed.</p> <p>The Draft SLP:CSA overall strategy (SS1) explains that the city council will work with partners and key stakeholders to secure improvements in the city's physical, social and environmental infrastructure to meet identified needs.</p> <p>An Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) was published alongside the SLP:DMP which sets out the ways in which infrastructure is provided in the city to meet demands. The IDP will be updated to reflect any changes to relevant infrastructure providers / provision, baseline data and the evolving policy framework</p> |
| <p>Various organisations made comments on defining the spatial boundaries for the strategic areas identified in Places for Everyone. The need for cross boundary and partnership working in defining these boundaries was highlighted and a site was suggested for inclusion within the Core Growth Area.</p> | <p>Discussions have taken place with Manchester City and Trafford councils in relation to this issue.</p> <p>The Places for Everyone spatial strategy area boundaries are shown on the Draft SLP:CSA Policies Map. Consultation on the Draft SLP:CSA provides an opportunity for consultees</p> |

| Main issues raised through the initial consultation | Council response |
|--|--|
| | to comment on these proposed boundaries. |
| 35 sites were suggested as allocations for development | <p>Five of the sites suggested are proposed to be allocated in the Draft SLP:CSA.</p> <p>A list of the sites suggested and a brief summary of the reasons why sites are / are not proposed to be allocated in the Draft SLP:CSA is provided in Annex I. Further detail is provided in the Allocations Background Report.</p> |
| 17 sites were suggested to be designated as Local Green Spaces | <p>Nine of the sites suggested are proposed to be designated as Local Green Spaces in the Draft SLP:CSA.</p> <p>A list of the sites suggested and a brief summary of the reasons why sites are / are not proposed to be designated as Local Green Space is provided in Annex J. Further detail is provided in the Local Green Space Background Report.</p> |

Annex A List of consultees

Specific, General, Duty to Cooperate Bodies

ACADEMY FOR RABBINICAL RESEARCH

AMBULANCE SERVICE NORTH WEST NHS TRUST

BANGLADESH ASSOCIATION

BME FORUM

BOLTON COUNCIL

BRITISH GAS

BURY COUNCIL

BUSINESS CONSULTATIVE FORUM

REFUGEE COMMUNITY

CARRINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

CBI - NORTH WEST OFFICE

CHURCH OF ENGLAND DIOCESE OF MANCHESTER

CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY

COUNTRY LAND AND BUSINESS ASSOCIATION NORTH

CULCHETH AND GLAZEBURY AND CROFT PARISH COUNCILS

CULCHETH GLAZEBURY CROFT PARISH COUNCILS

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELLBEING

ECCLES AND SALFORD MOSQUE

EE TELECOMMUNICATIONS

ELECTRICITY NORTH WEST LTD

ENVIRONMENT AGENCY

EQUALITY DIVERSITY INCLUSION LEAD

FEDERATION OF JEWISH SERVICES

FRIENDS, FAMILIES AND TRAVELLERS AND TRAVELLER LAW REFORM PROJECT

GREATER MANCHESTER CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

GREATER MANCHESTER COMBINED AUTHORITY

HIGHER CRUMPSALL AND BROUGHTON HEBREW CONGREGATION

HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY

HISTORIC ENGLAND

HOME BUILDERS FEDERATION

HOMES ENGLAND

HSE LAND USE PLANNING

INSTITUTE OF DIRECTORS NORTH WEST

JEWISH REPRESENTATIVE COUNCIL OF MANCHESTER

LANCS CIRCUIT OF JEHOVAH'S WITNESSES

LGBT FOUNDATION

LOCAL ENTERPRISE PARTNERSHIP

MANCHESTER CITY COUNCIL

MARINE MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION

MOBILE UK

NATIONAL FEDERATION OF GYPSY LIAISON GROUPS

NATURAL CAPITAL GROUP

NATIONAL GRID

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS

NATURAL ENGLAND

NETWORK RAIL (INFRASTRUCTURE) LTD

NHS ENGLAND

NHS PROPERTY SERVICES LTD

OFFICE OF RAIL AND ROAD

OLDHAM COUNCIL

PARTINGTON TOWN COUNCIL

PEOPLE FIRST MANCHESTER

PUBLIC HEALTH TEAM SALFORD

RAPAR

RIXTON WITH GLAZEBROOK PARISH COUNCIL

ROCHDALE COUNCIL

SALFORD CITIZENS ADVICE BUREAU

SALFORD COMMUNITY NETWORK

SALFORD CVS

SALFORD DEAF GATHERING

SALFORD DISABILITY FORUM

SALFORD ELIM CHURCH

SALFORD FORUM OF OLDER PEOPLE

SALFORD LINK PROJECT

SALFORD NHS INTEGRATED CARE PARTNERSHIP

SALFORD SCHOOL HEALTH SERVICE

SHELTER (LONDON)

SHOWMANS GUILD OF GREAT BRITAIN

STOCKPORT COUNCIL

TAMESIDE COUNCIL

THE BUSINESS GROUP SALFORD

THE COAL AUTHORITY

TRAFFORD MBC

TRANSPORT FOR GREATER MANCHESTER

UNITED UTILITIES WATER PLC

VIRGIN MEDIA

VODAFONE AND O2

WARBURTON PARISH COUNCIL

WARRINGTON BOROUGH COUNCIL

WESTHOUGHTON TOWN COUNCIL

WIGAN COUNCIL

WINWICK PARISH COUNCIL

WOOLSTON PARISH COUNCIL

Organisations

110% EXHIBITIONS

ACTION AGAINST RURAL
DEVELOPMENT

AINSCOUGH JOHNSTON LTD

APPLIANCE WORLD

AR PLANNING

ARCHITECTUREM CHARTERED
ARCHITECTS

ARCUS CONSULTANCY
SERVICES LTS

ARMSTRONG BURTON
PLANNING

ARUP

ASDA STORES LTD

ASTEER PLANNING

ATKINS GLOBAL

AVISON YOUNG

AYLSHAM MEWS NEIGHBOURS

AYLWARD PLANNING

BARBARA KEELEY MP OFFICE

BARRATT HOMES MANCHESTER

BARTON WILLMORE
PARTNERSHIP

BE GROUP

BELLWAY HOMES

BERNARD JOSEPH ARCHITECTS

BEYONE EMPOWER

BLACKLEACH COUNTRY PARK &
OPEN SPACE COMMITTEE

BNP PARIBAS

BOOTHSTOWN RESIDENTS
ASSOCIATION

BOYS AND GIRLS CLUBS
GREATER MANCHESTER

BRIDGEWATER CANAL
COMPANY LTD

BRITISH TELECOM

BRITISH TOILET ASSOCIATION

BROADWAY MALYAN PLANNING

BROOKHOUSE COMMUNITY
ASSOCIATION

BRUNTWOOD ESTATES

BTCV

CA PLANNING

CADISHEAD SPORTS JFC

CAMPAIGN FOR REAL ALE

CAMPAIGN FOR THE
PROTECTION OF RURAL
ENGLAND

CAMRA AND FRIENDS OF
PATRICROFT STATION

CANAL AND RIVER TRUST

CAPITAL AND CENTRIC

CARTER JONAS

CATERLINE

CERDA PLANNING LTD

CHAIR SALFORD ALLOTMENT
FEDERATION

CHEMIPAT LIMITED TRADING AS
ADHESIVE AND COATING
SUPPLIES

CHESTERS COACHES

CITY AIRPORT MANCHESTER

CITY CENTRE CRUISES

CITY OF SALFORD PRIVATE
HIRE ASSOCIATION (CSPHA)

CITY OF TREES

CITY WEST HOUSING

CLAREMONT COMMUNITY
ASSOCIATION

COLE WATERHOUSE LT (REAL
ESTATE)

COLLIERS INTERNATIONAL

COPTHORNE HOTEL

COUNCIL FOR BRITISH
ARCHAEOLOGY

COUNTRYSIDE PROPERTIES
PLC

CPL GROUP

CPRE LANCASHIRE BRANCH

CPW PLANNING

CRYSTAL VEHICLE RENTAL
SERVICES

CSG LANSTAR

CUSHMAN AND WAKEFIELD

CUSHWAKE PLANNING

CUSSONS TECHNOLOGY

DALTON WARNER DAVIES

DANDARA

DAVID WILSON HOMES

DE POL ASSOCIATES LTD

DEFENCE INFRASTRUCTURE
ORG

DELOITTE LLP

DEPARTMENT FOR TRANSPORT

DESIGN COUNCIL

DEVPLAN

DOLPHIN LAND AND
DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANCY
LTD

DPDS

DPP ONE LTD

EDGEPLAN

EDUCATION SKILLS FUNDING
AGENCY

EFM LTD

ELAN HOMES

ELECTRICITY NORTH WEST

ELLESMERE PARK RESIDENTS
ASSOCIATION

EMERY PLANNING

EMERY PLANNING
PARTNERSHIP LTD

ENGLISH CITIES FUND

ENTEC UK

EU NETWORKS

EUAN KELLIE PROPERTY
SOLUTIONS

EVERSHEDS LLP

FAIRHURST

FIELDS IN TRUST

FIRST PLAN

FOR VIVA

FOREST SOFA LTD

FORESTRY COMMISSION NW

FRAMPTONS

FREIGHT TRANSPORT
ASSOCIATION NORTHERN
REGION

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| FRIENDS OF BURGESS FARM | ICENI PROJECTS | MANCHESTER DOORS & CUBICALS |
| FRIENDS OF ECCLES STATION | INDIGO PLANNING | MANCHESTER FRIENDS OF THE EARTH |
| FRIENDS OF ROE GREEN | IRLAM AND CADISHEAD BRANCH LABOUR PARTY | MANCHESTER, BOLTON AND BURY CANAL SOCIETY |
| FRIENDS OF WALKDEN STATION | IRLAM AND CADISHEAD COLLEGE | MARTIN LEAY ASSOCIATES |
| FROST PLANNING LTD | IRLAM FOOTBALL CLUB | MAWDSLEY BROOKS AND CO |
| GARY HILL DESIGNS | IRLAM VALE JUNIOR FOOTBALL CLUB | MCALEER AND RUSHE GROUP |
| GL HEARN | IRWELL VALLEY HA | MELLER SPEAKMAN |
| GM CENTRE FOR VOLUNTARY ORGANISATIONS | IRWELL VALLEY HERITAGE | MILLER HOMES NORTH WEST |
| GM FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICES HQ | J AND R ALLEN (CULCHETH) LTD | MINERALS AND WASTE PLANNING ADVICE |
| GM PEDESTRIANS ASSOCIATION | J. FLETCHER (ENGINEERS) LTD | MONTAGU EVANS PLANNING |
| GM POLICE CRIME PREVENTION TEAM | JASP PLANNING CONSUTANCY LTD | MONTON VILLAGE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION |
| GM POLICE FORCE HQ | JOHNSON MOWAT | MORRIS HOMES (NORTH) LTD |
| GREAT PLACES HOUSING GROUP | JONES HOMES | MORSON PROJECTS |
| GREATER MANCHESTER ARCHAEOLOGICAL ADVISORY SERVICE | JWPC LTD | MOSAIC PLANNING |
| GREATER MANCHESTER ECOLOGY UNIT | KINGSLAND WINES AND SPIRITS | MOSS FARM FISHERIES |
| GREATER MANCHESTER POLICE | KIRKWELLS | NATHANIEL LICHFIELD AND PARTNERS |
| GRIMSTER PLANNING | KNIGHT FRANK LLP | NATIONAL AMENITY SOCIETIES - SPAB |
| GROUNDWORK MANCHESTER SALFORD TRAFFORD | LABOUR SPOKESPERSON FOR WALKDEN SOUTH | NATIONAL GAS UK |
| GVA | LANCASHIRE WILDLIFE TRUST | NATIONAL GRID UK |
| HAMILTON DAVIES TRUST | LANCASHIRE AERO CLUB | NJL CONSULTING |
| HARLAND MACHINE SYSTEMS LTD | LANCASHIRE GARDENS TRUST | NLP AND PARTNERS |
| HEALTHY WATERWAYS TRUST | LANES LANDSCAPES | NORTH WALKDEN FOOTBALL CLUB |
| HEATON PLANNING LTD | LEE METAL POLISHING SERVICES | NORTHBANK MANAGEMENT COMPANY |
| HILL TOP RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION | LICHFIELDS | NORTON VILLIERS LTD |
| HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND PLACES | LIDL UK PROPERTIES | NOVOTEL HOTEL |
| HISTORIC ENGLAND | LIVERPOOL AIRPORT | OFFICE FOR GRAHAM STRINGER MP |
| HMP & YOI FOREST BANK | LPC LIVING | OFFICE FOR REBECCA LONG BAILEY MP |
| HOLLINS STRATEGIC LAND | LVMH UK LTD | OFFICE OF BARBARA KEELEY MP |
| HOME START SALFORD AND TRAFFORD | M AND G (FORMALLY PRUPIM) | ONWARD HOUSING GROUP |
| HOW PLANNING | MAGNESIUM ELEKTRON | OPEN SPACES SOCIETY |
| HR WALLINGFORD | MAINAIR FLYING SCHOOL | ORDSALL HEALTH SURGERY PPG |
| | MAKRO (BOOKER GROUP PLC) | PAUL BUTLER ASSOCIATES |
| | MANCHESTER AIRPORT GROUP | |
| | MANCHESTER BOLTON AND BURY CANAL | |
| | MANCHESTER CIVIC SOCIETY | |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| PEACOCK AND SMITH | PARTNERS IN SALFORD | TELEWEST BROADBAND (NORTHERN OFFICE) |
| PEARSON PLANNING | SALFORD CITY REDS | TESCO |
| PEEL HOLDINGS LTD | SALFORD COLLEGE | TETLOW KING PLANNING |
| PEEL INVESTMENTS LTD | SALFORD COMMUNITY LEISURE | TFGM |
| PEEL LAND AND PROPERTY | SALFORD CRECENT NEIGHBOURHOOD ASSOCIATION | THE EMERSON GROUP |
| PEEL MEDIA | SALFORD LIDS | THE GARDENS TRUST |
| PEEL PORTS LTD | SALFORD WEIGHT MANAGEMENT SERVICE | THE LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION |
| PEGASUS GROUP | SALFORD YOUTH SERVICE | THE PLANNING BUREAU |
| PEGASUS PLANNING | SALIX HOMES | THE STABLES |
| PERSIMMON HOMES NW | SALVATION ARMY | THE THEATRES TRUST |
| PKW CONSULTING LIMITED | SANDERSON WEATHERALL (ROYAL MAIL) | THE TWENTIETH CENTURY SOCIETY |
| PLAINVIEW PLANNING LTD | SAVE SWINTON PARK RESIDENTS GROUP | THE TYLER PARKES PARTNERSHIP |
| PLAN 8 | SAVILLS | THE WOODLAND TRUST |
| PLAN INFO (PART OF DPDS GROUP) | SEDGWICK ASSOCIATES | THREE TELECOMMUNICATIONS |
| PLANNING FOR OUR FUTURE IN IRLAM AND CADISHEAD | SEEDLEY AND LANGWORTHY PARTNERSHIP TRUST | THUS |
| PLANNING POTENTIAL | SIGMA CAPITAL GROUP | TIM CLAXTON PROPERTY LTD |
| PLANWARE LIMITED | SOVEREIGN NOMINEES LTD | TORUS GROUP |
| PRDS | SPANGPAR LTD | TURLEY |
| QUOD PLANNING | SPINOZA KENNEDY VESEY | UK COAL HEAD OFFICE |
| RAIL FREIGHT GROUP | SPORT ENGLAND | UNITED UTILITIES |
| RAMBLERS ASSOCIATION | SPRINT MOTORS | UNITED UTILITIES PROPERTY SERVICES |
| RAMBLERS' ASSOCIATION GREATER MANCHESTER | SSA PLANNING LTD | UNITED UTILITIES PROPERTY SOLUTIONS LTD |
| RAPLEYS LLP | ST MODWEN DEVELOPMENTS | UNIVERSITY OF SALFORD |
| REDROW HOMES (NORTH WEST) LTD. | ST TERESA OF AVILA | URS GLOBAL |
| RENEWABLE UK | STAINTON PLANNING | UTILITIES CONNECTIONS MANAGEMENT LTD |
| RICE TRAINING | STEVEN ABBOTT ASSOCIATES LLP | VERNON AND CO |
| RICHBOROUGH ESTATES | STRATUS ENVIRONMENTAL LTD | VINCENT AND GORBING |
| ROAD HAULAGE ASSOCIATION NORTH | SWINTON JUDO CLUB | VIRIDOR |
| ROE GREEN CRICKET CLUB | SWINTON OPEN SPACE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION | W H MAWDSLEY LTD |
| ROGER HANNAH AND CO. | SYMPHONY HOUSING GROUP | WAINESTATES |
| ROWLAND HOMES | TALK TALK | WAINHOMES NW LTD |
| ROYAL HORTICULTURAL SOCIETY | TARMAC LIMITED | WALTON AND CO |
| RSPB | TATTON ESTATE MANAGEMENT | WARDLEY RESIDENTS GROUP |
| SAFEGUARDING | TAYLOR WIMPEY UK LTD | WATKIN JONES PLANNING |
| SALFORD ALLOTMENTS | | WHITEHEAD AND COMPANY |
| SALFORD CITY COLLEGE | | |

WOODSTOCK FARM

WORSLEY AND SWINTON
RESIDENT ASSOCIATION

WORSLEY CIVIC TRUST AND
AMENITY SOCIETY

WORSLEY VILLAGE
COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION

YOUR HOUSING GROUP

ZERUM CONSULTANTS

Individuals

A AND A FICKLING

A AND B CADMAN

A AND B LEE

A AND B WHITWORTH

A AND D BARNETT

A AND EA CAVANAGH

A AND G BOXER

A AND J CARRUTHERS

A AND J LEGERTON

A AND J NORCROSS

A AND J WATSON

A AND M MUSGRAVE

A AND P CLAYSON

A AND P LEWIN

A AND P READER

A BLOOR

A C FORD

A CAILEY

A COGSWELL

A DRYWOOD

A ELLIS

A LUSCOMBE

A NEILSON

A PARNCUTT

A PERKINS

A SOLAN

A, C AND L JONES

A, G AND A WILLIAMS

A,K I CRITCHLEY

ADELE WALTON

ADRIAN MORLEY

ADRIAN O SULLIVAN

ADRIENNE SLOAN

AE SULLIVAN

AGNES RICE

ALAN AND SHEILA BANNON

ALAN D LEEDHAM

ALAN GORTON

ALAN HESFORD

ALAN LEEDHAM

ALAN MARLAND

ALAN RATCLIFFE

ALAN SCORESBY

ALAN SMITH

ALASTAIR WILSON

ALED OWEN

ALEX ELLISON

ALEX HUTCHINSON

ALEX JACKSON

ALEX KING

ALEX MOORE

ALEX STEWART

ALISON AND DAVID BENNETT

ALISON BLACKBURN

ALISON BOOTH

ALISON CARTWRIGHT

ALISON WALLWORTH

ALMA POWELL

ALWIN PIETS

AMANDA AND STEPHEN LOVELL

AMANDA ASHWORTH

AMANDA BRELSFORD

AMANDA CHADWICK

AMANDA SLATER

AMANDA WILLIAMSON

AMBER BRYDEN

AMY CHALLENGER

AMY HEATON

ANDREA BRADBURN

ANDREA CLARKSON

ANDREA HIORNS

ANDREW DICKSON

ANDREW FIELD

ANDREW GREEN

ANDREW HIGGINS

ANDREW INGHAM

ANDREW JONES

ANDREW MALONE

ANDREW NELSON

ANDREW OSBORNE

ANDREW PARRY

ANDREW ROBBINS

ANDREW SMITH

ANDREW STALL

ANDREW WASHINGTON

ANDY ENTWISTLE

ANDY HALLIGAN

ANDY JAY

ANDY KEARSLEY

ANDY NOONE

ANGELA DAVIES

ANGELA FIELDHOUSE

ANGELA HAWKES

ANGELA NIMAN

ANGELA WEST

ANN CROWTHER

ANN LLOYD

ANN LUCKMAN

ANN MARIE MORSON

ANN ROGERSON

ANNA GOODWIN

ANNE CLARK

ANNE CRICHTON

ANNE CROMPTON

ANNE FORSTER

ANNE FRANCE

ANNE GREALLY

ANNE GRENNAN

| | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| ANNE HEPBURN | BARBARA GORST | BRIDGET WILLIAMS |
| ANNE JONES | BARBARA HOLT | BRUCE KINLOCH |
| ANNE MARIE GARDENER | BARRIE AND DOROTHY JONES | BRYAN TREVOR |
| ANNE MARIE GRAYSON | BARRIE BENSON | C A SMITH |
| ANNE MARTINS | BARRY WATTS | C AND B TAYLOR |
| ANNETTE MANFIELD | BARRY WOODLING | C AND D REYNOLDS |
| ANTHONY ASHTON | BB CLEGG | C AND G WILLIAMS |
| ANTHONY CARLIN | BE HOPE | C AND J DERBYSHIRE |
| ANTHONY MARSH | BECCY IRVING | C AND K OGDEN |
| ANTHONY WHITE | BELINDA KAY | C AND R BENTLEY |
| ARTHUR BRADSHAW | BEN BERRYMAN | C AND R YEO |
| ARTHUR GORDON | BEN HERAVI | C AND S RAVENSCROFT |
| ARTHUR MAYERS | BEN JONES | C ANNETTE WALSH |
| AS FOSTER | BEN PASCALL | C BRADLEY AND L HALL |
| ASAM ASHRAF | BENJAMIN CARDWELL | C BRETT ROWLES |
| AT AND S ROBINSON | BERNADETTE HEWITT | C BUCK |
| AVRIL WILLIAMS | BERNADETTE WILCOX | C COWX |
| B AND A FLETCHER | BERYL BLOOR | C GLEESON |
| B AND B SUTHERLAND | BERYL CARROLL | C HEMMINS |
| B AND C WHITFIELD | BERYL HUGHES | C MORETON |
| B AND E MOULT | BERYL PATTEN | C TAYLOR |
| B AND J DAVIES | BETHAN PICKUP | C W WILLIAMSON |
| B AND J RAVENSCROFT | BETTINA ELLIS | C WRIGHT |
| B AND RK JONES | BEVERLEY LOWNDES | CALUM GRAY |
| B AND T MCGAHEY | BEVERLEY ROYLE | CAMERON RITCHIE |
| B ATTWOOD | BHAVIN PATEL | CARLA PASIERO |
| B BRIERLEY S CORDWELL | BOB CLARKE | CAROL A SMITH |
| B COGSWELL | BRENDA DRINKWATER | CAROL BOYCE |
| B GLASS | BRENDA HARRIS | CAROL EVANS |
| B HAMER | BRENDA MCGOVERN | CAROLE A WOOD |
| B KERSHAW | BRENDA STANLEY | CAROLE BRIDGE |
| B NEWRTON | BRIAN AND HILDA INCE | CAROLE EDWARDS-VILLER |
| B PALMER | BRIAN AND JANE BALDWIN | CAROLE MOORE |
| B SULLIVAN | BRIAN BRACEGIRDLE | CAROLE SPEAKMAN |
| B WALKER | BRIAN CLOUDSDALE | CAROLE WOODWARD |
| B WARRELL | BRIAN GEE | CAROLINE DEVLIN |
| B WETHERALL | BRIAN HOLDEN | CAROLINE GALE |
| BA, CJ, LA BLACKBURN | BRIAN MCCARTHY | CAROLINE LIGHTFOOT |
| BAILEY FAMILY | BRIAN SMITH | CAROLYN AND JACK EVANS |

| | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| CAROLYN CAPSEY | CLAIRE WHALLEY LIVESEY | DANIEL TAYLOR |
| CAROLYN RADCLIFF | CLAIRE WHITE | DANIELLA LAWLESS |
| CATH MCNICOLL | CLARE ATHERTON | DARRELL WARNER |
| CATH WALTON | CLARE EDWARDS | DARREN POOLE |
| CATHARINE COOPER | CLARE PLATT | DARREN WARD |
| CATHERINE BLESSING | CLARE SMITH | DARRYL WELLS MATTHEWS |
| CATHERINE SIMPSON | CLIVE JONES | DAVE AND JACKIE FILER |
| CATHRINE STONE | CLLR LAURENCE KEELEY | DAVE CAPSTICK |
| CATHY LASCELLES HIGGINS | CM AND RC STEPHENSON | DAVE LEGERTON |
| CE SEACOMBE | COLIN AND J TALBOT | DAVE THACKER |
| CHARLES ANDREWS | COLIN AND LISA GRIFFIN | DAVID AND JANE SMITH |
| CHARLIE WOODS | COLIN AND SYBIL ACKERS | DAVID AND JOANNE DONE |
| CHARLOTTE HICKSON | COLIN DIXON | DAVID AND MARIE BUCKLEY |
| CHEN HAN | COLIN LOWNDES | DAVID AND PAT SUGDEN |
| CHERYL APPLETON | COLIN WELSBY | DAVID AND KATHERINE NORRIS |
| CHRIS AND PAT SEDDON | COLIN WILLIAMS | DAVID ASHTON |
| CHRIS DICKERSON | CORINNE DEASEY | DAVID COBHAM |
| CHRIS LEGERTON | CORRINA DAVIES | DAVID COOPER |
| CHRIS MULDOON | CORRINE BATTY | DAVID DONOGHUE |
| CHRIS PRICE | CRAIG STAPLEY | DAVID FISH |
| CHRIS RICHARDSON | D AND B MARSH | DAVID FOSTER |
| CHRISTINE BOLD | D AND C HEATON | DAVID FOX |
| CHRISTINE COOPER | D AND D COLLINS | DAVID GAPE |
| CHRISTINE HAMER | D AND J BASS | DAVID GRANT |
| CHRISTINE HUDSON | D AND J WILLIAMS | DAVID GREAVES |
| CHRISTINE HUNT | D AND K OATES | DAVID GRIFFIN |
| CHRISTINE KEMP | D AND M MCCORMICK | DAVID HORSFALL |
| CHRISTINE LOMNS | D AND N WRIGHT | DAVID ISHERWOOD |
| CHRISTINE MARTIN | D AND S LIVESEY | DAVID JONES |
| CHRISTINE MOSS | D AND V GREENHALGH | DAVID LEAVER |
| CHRISTINE PUGH | D B AND W MARSHALL | DAVID LEES |
| CHRISTINE RICHARDSON | D HUTCHINGS | DAVID MARSH |
| CHRISTINE SMITH | D LAWRIE | DAVID MATTHEWS |
| CHRISTOPHER BRIDGFORD | D LUND | DAVID MATTISON |
| CHRISTOPHER GRAY | D MANN | DAVID NAYLOR |
| CHRISTOPHER VICKERS | D R PATEL | DAVID OWEN |
| CLAIRE CRIBBIN | DALE ROBINSON | DAVID RILEY |
| CLAIRE DAVIES | DANIEL FAWKES | DAVID SELBY |
| CLAIRE MOORE | DANIEL HODSON | DAVID SOMMERVILLE |

| | | |
|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| DAVID SPROSTON | DONNA SHORT | ELIZABETH MALIN |
| DAVID STEEL | DORA BUTTERWORTH | ELIZABETH PLANELLA |
| DAVID STODDARD | DOREEN GRUNDY | ELIZABETH SMALLWOOD |
| DAVID TOMLINSON | DOREEN MAHONY | ELLA MONAGHAN |
| DAVINYA SCOTT | DOROTHY LENNARD | ELLEN M BLOCKSIDGE |
| DAWN ENTWISTLE | DOROTHY SMITH | ELLIE SHAW |
| DAWN MITCHELL | DR AND MRS K HYAMS | ELSIE TRAYNOR |
| DC TOMKINSON | DR BHAVNA | ELTON DAVIES |
| DEAN BROWN | DR HOON TEO | EM ECKERSLEY |
| DEBBIE DOVE | DR M SEELY | EMAN ALTWAIRESH |
| DEBBIE FLETCHER | DR MARTIN HOGG | EMER SHERIDAN |
| DEBORAH HARVEY | DR NANDU MODI | EMILY DAVIES |
| DEBORAH SLEAFORD | DR PHILIP BAROOAH | EMMA FARRELLY |
| DEBORAH SWIFT | DR S AND A DHAYAUDE | EMMA JOHNSON |
| DEBRA EAMES | DR SADIA JILANI | EMMA LAFFERTY |
| DELYSE GREGORY | DUNCAN CHESTER | ENID FISHER |
| DENIS MCLAUGHLIN | DUNCAN MCCORQUODALE | ERIC LAWTON |
| DENIS ROBBINS | E AND T COLLINS | ERIC LOWNDES |
| DENISE COOPER | E JORAN | F AND G CAPPS |
| DENISE FOX | E K LOWE | F AND J GETTINGS |
| DENISE WALSH | E M ALLSEY | F AND J OLIVER |
| DENNIS MILLWARD | E ROBERTS | F HALPERN |
| DENNIS O'SHEA | EA AND M MCLEAN | FAIZA CHOWDHURY |
| DENNIS REYNOLDS | EDDIE BARNETT | FCHH BARTON |
| DEREK BROOKS | EDDIE SHEEHY | FIONA FLANAGAN |
| DEREK MATTHEWS | EDWARD DOOKS | FIONA RICHARDSON |
| DEREK TINKER | EDWARD LOWE | FIONA ROBERTS |
| DERYK TEBAY | EDWARD O'RIERDEN | FOYZUL GANI |
| DEWALD GREYLING | EDWARD STANLEY | FRAN HESLIN |
| DIANA BATTERSBY | EDYTA LIPSKA | FRANCECA GRAY |
| DIANE BUTTERS | EILEEN DERMODY | FRANCIS VAUGHAN |
| DIANE HICKFORD | ELAINE AND TOM OSBORNE | FRANK AND IRIS GREAVES |
| DIANE REED | ELAINE MCHOLLAND | FRANK DRABBLE |
| DIANE WALKER | ELAINE SEDDON | FRANK MARSHALL |
| DIANE WILSON | ELAINE WEST | FRANK MASSEY |
| DL COOKSON | ELEANOR ATTENBOROUGH | FRANK ROYLE |
| DOIG RAY | ELIZABETH ELTON | FRED AND JUDITH EYRE |
| DOMENICO VAUGHAN | ELIZABETH GRIFFIN | FREDERIC O GORMAN |
| DONNA PHILLIPS | ELIZABETH JONES | G AND J TYRRELL |

| | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| G AND A WALKDEN | GEORGINA COTTON | HEATHER THORPE |
| G AND B MORRISON | GERALD ELLICOTT | HELEN AND LAWRENCE LUI |
| G AND D KNUPFER | GERALDINE MCKENNA | HELEN CROWLEY |
| G AND DK WILLIAMS | GILLIAN BROMLEY | HELEN FOX WALKER |
| G AND J PELLING | GILLIAN MCKENZIE | HELEN HANNATT |
| G AND P NEWMAN | GILLIAN MILLETT | HELEN HAY |
| G AND S ADAMSON | GILLIAN RULEMAN | HELEN HUBBARD |
| G AND S STEENSON | GILLIAN WILLIAMSON | HELEN JOHNSON |
| G AND V HALL | GLEN COGSWELL | HELEN LOWE |
| G FARAGHER | GLENYS WILLIAMS | HELEN PENDLEBURY |
| G HELLIMONS | GLYN AND JEAN THOMAS | HELEN PIMLOTT |
| G IRWIN | GLYN GRAINGER | HELEN SAIVA |
| G L HILL | GORDON SHEPLEY | HELEN SHARPLES |
| G M SUMMERS | GRACE CHOI | HELEN SIMPSON |
| G MORLEY | GRAHAM JENKINSON | HELENA BOWLING |
| G RIDGWAY | GRAHAM SMITH | HILDA JOHNSON |
| GAIL DOHERTY | GRAHAM WARRINGTON | HOLLY BRADBURN |
| GARETH PURDY | GRAHAM FREER | I A TRAIL |
| GARY AND JANET MCMAHON | GRANTHAM FIDLER | I AND E HEATON |
| GARY GARDNER | GRANVILLE PARKINSON | I AND I BAILEY |
| GARY HINDLE | GRETA BARWISE | I AND S SIDEBOTHAM |
| GARY JAMES | GUY BARNETT | I YARDLEY |
| GARY LYLE | H AND J GREENHALCH | IAN ALLEN |
| GARY MCKENZIE | H AND M LEGERTON | IAN AND CHRISTINE DAVIES |
| GARY MCMAHON | H BROMLEY | IAN AND DEBRA LINGARD |
| GAVIN WICKHAM | H J DEAN | IAN AND JANE ASHTON |
| GAVIN WICKHAM AND F STEEL | H L WATSON | IAN AND LYNN TAYLOR |
| GAYLE CLIPSHAM | H SCHOFIELD | IAN AND SHELIA FIDO |
| GED CONNOR | H SULLIVAN | IAN CROSSLEY |
| GEMMA RYAN | HALL P AND A | IAN FURNESS |
| GENNA OLIVER | HANI EL-QASEM | IAN HILL |
| GEOFF HAMILTON | HANNAH MCLAUGHIN | IAN HUBBARD |
| GEOFF LAUGHTON | HARRY AND HELEN JONES | IAN MILLS |
| GEOFFREY CAINE | HARRY RALPHS | IAN MORRISON |
| GEOFFREY COLLINS | HARVEY RYAN | IAN P HEFFERNAN |
| GEOFFREY WHITTAKER | HAYLEY CUBLEY | IAN WHITEHOUSE |
| GEORGE BRYAN | HAZEL GODDARD | IDA BEDE |
| GEORGI TZVETKOV | HEATHER FLETCHER | IRENE BRICE |
| GEORGINA COOPER | HEATHER MEDLICOTT | IRENE BRIDGFORD |

| | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| IRENE HALLIWELL | J WOOD | JANIS RAMSBOTTOM |
| ISLA GRAY | J, N, J AND J HUGHES | JANNINE MCMAHON |
| J AND MC BRADFORD | J, T, A AND C STIFF | JASON HORSFALL |
| J WEBB | JACINTA WHITE | JASON WILSON |
| J A BAINBRIDGE | JACK CARTER | JASON WOODWARD |
| J A SHAW | JACK LEMMON | JAYDE RANSON |
| J ABSOLOM AND LB WYNNE | JACK WILLIAMS | JD AND GV ROBERTS |
| J AND A PARNCUTT | JACQUELINE BEWSHER | JEAN BARNES |
| J AND B FISHER | JACQUELINE MASON | JEAN CARTER |
| J AND B THOMPSON | JADE CAYTON TOOLE | JEAN CRODEN |
| J AND D SELLWOOD | JADE CREED | JEAN GRAINGER |
| J AND G RAMSBOTTOM | JAMES AUSTIN | JEAN MANGAN |
| J AND H NESS | JAMES GRIDLER | JEAN PARNELL |
| J AND J AUSTIN | JAMES HILTON | JEAN ROBINSON |
| J AND J SHAW | JAMES LESTER | JEAN THOMAS |
| J AND L HICKSON | JAMES WALSH | JEAN WHALLEY |
| J AND M DREW | JAMES YOUNG | JEANNETTE CULLEN |
| J AND M WHITE | JAMIE BENTHAM | JEN HUTCHINSON |
| J AND P FORQUHER | JAMIE MCWHIR | JENI THOMPSON |
| J AND P SHAW | JAN HART & BJ POWELL | JENNIFER CHAPMAN |
| J AND R SPLAINE | JANE BARRY | JENNIFER EVANS |
| J AND S BUSBY | JANE BRITTON | JENNIFER MASHETER |
| J AND S SARGESON | JANE EBERHART | JENNIFER REYNOLDS |
| J AND T JEE | JANE HOLLAND | JENNY BODEN |
| J BERRY | JANE MCNULTY | JENNY CORNS |
| J BRACKEN | JANE THURSTON | JESSICA GARRETT |
| J CROMPTON | JANE UNWIN | JF AND BP BURNS |
| J DAVIES | JANET BINNS | JIM G NESS |
| J FLETCHER | JANET DRAPER | JIM LIGHTFOOT |
| J HAYES | JANET HESFORD | JL HOWARTH |
| J HODGES | JANET LEWIS | JOAN MCCANN |
| J K HODGKISS | JANET MANN | JOANNA ELLIS |
| J L HODGSON | JANET MCMANAMON | JOANNE COATES |
| J LLOYD | JANET NUGENT | JOANNE COEZY |
| J LOMAS | JANETTE LAVELLE | JOANNE EGAN |
| J M LEACH | JANICE HUDSON | JOANNE HARDING |
| J MANN | JANICE MCGRATH | JOANNE MCCALL |
| J MARSHALL | JANINE MCDONALD | JOANNE ROGERSON |
| J ROWLANDS | JANINE MCHUGH | JOANNE TROWBRIDGE |

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| JOANNE ZIMA | JOSIE SEVIER | KAREN FISHER |
| JODIE BOOTH | JOY CARTWRIGHT | KAREN JACKSON |
| JOHN ALARCON | JOYCE BREADNEY | KAREN OLDHAM |
| JOHN AND AILEEN CUMBERS | JOYCE LIGHTFOOT | KAREN TAYLOR |
| JOHN AND DEBRA BOWLING | JP AND ME SATE | KAREN WHITEHEAD |
| JOHN AND DOREEN CLARK | JS AND C WRIGHT | KATE FOWLES |
| JOHN AND JANET PEARSON | JT AND A BLORE | KATE LEE |
| JOHN AND LISA WARTON | JUDITH ALLSEY | KATE MCCLEAN |
| JOHN AND NICOLA WALTON | JUDITH KING | KATH RIGBY |
| JOHN BOHAN | JUDITH ROSE | KATH TRAYNOR |
| JOHN CLAY | JUDITH WATTS | KATHERINE KERSHAW |
| JOHN COLGAN | JUDY KENT | KATHERINE SWIFT |
| JOHN COTTOM | JULHAS MAHMED | KATHLEEN MORRIS |
| JOHN D CLEARE | JULIA EVANS | KATHRYN RICHARDSON |
| JOHN DOCKER | JULIA WILLIAMS | KAYUS FERNANDER |
| JOHN GLOVER | JULIAN GRANT | KEITH AND ANN MIDDLETON |
| JOHN GOULD | JULIE HILL | KEITH AND JANET DAVIES |
| JOHN HEATON | JULIE KNIGHT | KEITH AND JUNE HUNT |
| JOHN HIGGINS | JULIE LYNCH | KEITH LUCKMAN |
| JOHN HUDSON | JULIE NILAND | KELLY MCFARLANE |
| JOHN J | JULIE SMITH | KELLY PULEO |
| JOHN JORDAN | JULIE WHITE | KENNETH BURGESS |
| JOHN KEARNS | JULIET YOUNG | KENNETH EDGE |
| JOHN MARGINSON | JUNE MOTTRAM | KERRY SOMMERVILLE |
| JOHN MASSEY | JUSTIN KELEGHAN | KEVIN CRABTREE |
| JOHN MOSLEY | JUSTIN RICHARDSON | KIERAN HEDGES |
| JOHN PATTEN | JUSTINE MCGUINN | KIM LAWRIE |
| JOHN PHILIP WOOD | JUSTINE NOONE | KRISTINA CHAPMAN |
| JOHN PLATT | K ALLSEY | LA RICHARDS |
| JOHN ROSCOE | K AND AASHMORE | LAND B SMITH |
| JOHN SNOW | K AND H FORSYTH | LAND G MATTHEWS |
| JOHN STEPHENS | K AND M SHARPLES | LAND G WISZNIEWSKI |
| JONATHAN BELL | K AND S CASH | LAND P GRIFFIN |
| JONATHAN SHASHA | K B HOYLE | L HODGES |
| JONATHAN WRIGHT | K BENNETT | L HOLMES |
| JOSEPH AND NUALA RABBITT | K FITTON D WALSH | L HOWARTH |
| JOSEPH FOSTER | K LOWNDES | L HUDSON |
| JOSHUA GALLIANO | K NEEDHAM | L MORRIS |
| JOSIE LEATHERBARROW | KAREN ELIAS COOPER | L MURFIN AND L BLACK |

| | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| L ROBERTS | LORNA HINSLEY | M SUTTON KIRBY |
| LARRY MERRYWEATHER | LORRAINE ALLSEY | M WARBURTON |
| LAU WONG | LORRAINE BRADLEY | M WOODCOCK |
| LAURA BLACK | LORRAINE K MCKORMICK | M, L AND A RUDDY |
| LAURA CUNLIFFE | LOUISE COWMEADOW | MAGDA MIROWSKA |
| LAURA HAWKINS | LOUISE DAVIES | MAGDA MIROWSKA BANACH |
| LAURA RAWLINSON | LOUISE HENSON | MAHESON NIRMALAN |
| LAURA SOLSKI | LR EDWARDS | MALGORZATA OLCZYK |
| LAUREN LEIGH PRESTON | LUCIA WILLIAMS | MARGARET BENTHAM |
| LAWRENCE LUI | LUCY AINSWORTH | MARGARET DUFFY |
| LAWRENCE MADDEN | LUCY CRABTREE | MARGARET HANNAH |
| LEE PARKINSON | LUKE WAREHAM | MARGARET LOHAN |
| LEE SALSBUURY | LYNDA NOTTINGHAM | MARGARET O'REILLY |
| LEE SHERRARD | LYNDA WARING | MARGARET WADDECAR |
| LEIGHTON HORSLEY | LYNDON TAYLER | MARGARET WELL |
| LES FORRESTER | LYNN O'SHEA | MARIA ASHTON |
| LES GREEN | LYNSEY SHAW | MARIA SMITH |
| LESLEY MCLOUGHLIN | MAND F ROBERTS | MARIA STONES |
| LESLEY WHITE | MAND J HEATHCOTE | MARIE HELSBY |
| LEWIS NELSON | MAND L HEWITT | MARIE LEVY |
| LIAM SULLIVAN | MAND L PATTERON | MARILYN BERRY |
| LILIAN LO | MAND P GLENN | MARIO BARTOLOTTA |
| LILLA BERRY AND A SMITH | MAND S BERTENSHAW | MARION GRAY |
| LINDA AND JAMES MULL | MAND S DENNISON | MARION WOOD |
| LINDA HILDITCH | M BRIMBLECOMBE | MARK ACKERLEY |
| LINDA MARSH | M CREER | MARK ATKINSON |
| LINDA MEEHAN | M GLOVER | MARK CAIN |
| LINDA MITCHELL | M HALPERN | MARK CARPENTER |
| LINDA SIDWELL | M HAYNES | MARK CHARNOCK |
| LINDSAY DALZIEL | M J RUTTER | MARK CRAVEN |
| LINDSAY MARSDEN | M J SHAW | MARK ELLIOTT |
| LINDSAY PEATY | M LAFFAN | MARK ELLUL |
| LISA CARRUTHERS | M LAMBERT | MARK GARDNER |
| LISA D QUIGLEY | M LAWRINSON | MARK HALLEN |
| LISA ROONEY | M LEDGER | MARK HALLIWELL |
| LISA VAN DAMMS | M LYON | MARK HIBBERT |
| LIZ WALLWORK | M MELLOR | MARK JONES |
| LIZZIE JONES | M PARKER | MARK MCCALL |
| LM CRITCHLEY | M RIGG AND P LEWIS | MARK POWELL |

| | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| MARK WALSH | MILDRED PETERSON | MR AND MRS FURSE |
| MARTIN AND BARRY HORNER | MISS A GALE | MR AND MRS G CLAY |
| MARTIN BARLOW | MISS ANNE HECTOR | MR AND MRS G OGDEN |
| MARTIN FARRELL | MISS ANNETTE LLOYD | MR AND MRS HALL |
| MARTIN GARRATT | MISS C TALBOT | MR AND MRS HAMER |
| MARTIN HUDSON | MISS CLAIRE MAKIN | MR AND MRS HAYES |
| MARTIN SEALY | MISS E LYON | MR AND MRS HAYNES |
| MARY LOGAN | MISS M HAYES | MR AND MRS HEYWOOD |
| MATHEW SHORE | MISS SARAH WALTON | MR AND MRS HOLER |
| MATT LORD | MISS SUSAN PARKER | MR AND MRS HOPKINS |
| MATTHEW CLULEY | MISS SUSANNAH KWOK | MR AND MRS HOWELLS |
| MATTHEW HUGHES | MISS SYLVIA HARTLEY | MR AND MRS I BAILEY |
| MATTHEW MORRISON | MOIRA BOYCE | MR AND MRS J BUCKLEY |
| MATTHEW O'SHEA | MOIRA FARRELLY | MR AND MRS J FOSTER |
| MAUREEN NOBLE | MONICA YAKIAH | MR AND MRS J HEAP |
| MAX COYLE | MR D FARNWORTH | MR AND MRS J LAMBLEY |
| MAX FOY | MR AA PROCTER | MR AND MRS J WEST |
| MAXINE HEPBURN | MR A HINDLEY | MR AND MRS JAMES |
| MELLISA TOMLIN | MR A KELLY | MR AND MRS KEMP |
| MICHAEL DAWSON | MR A ROWLEY | MR AND MRS LALLY |
| MICHAEL KEEGAN | MR A SHAW | MR AND MRS LEIGH |
| MICHAEL QUIGLEY | MR AND MRS ATTWELL | MR AND MRS LEISUMAN |
| MICHAEL QUINN | MR AND MRS A LAWMAN | MR AND MRS M FITZSIMMONS |
| MICHAEL STANFIELD | MR AND MRS A STEVEN | MR AND MRS M ROBINSON |
| MICHAEL WESTON | MR AND MRS AHAMED | MR AND MRS PM SHARPE |
| MICHELE CAREY | MR AND MRS ASHLEY | MR AND MRS R FOWLES |
| MICHELLE FLYNN | MR AND MRS BAILEY | MR AND MRS R FRANKLIN |
| MICHELLE GRICE | MR AND MRS BALHAM | MR AND MRS R LINFORD |
| MICHELLE HARTLEY | MR AND MRS BRADFORD | MR AND MRS ROBERTS |
| MICHELLE JENKINS | MR AND MRS BREWARD | MR AND MRS SCHOFIELD |
| MICHELLE JOHNSON | MR AND MRS CHETCUTI | MR AND MRS SMITH |
| MICHELLE TIMMIS | MR AND MRS D LEVER | MR AND MRS STOTT, |
| MICK GORTON | MR AND MRS DERBYSHIRE | MR AND MRS STRINGER |
| MIKE AND NICOLA HAZELDINE | MR AND MRS DW MURPHY | MR AND MRS WATSON |
| MIKE BURKE | MR AND MRS E TURNER | MR AND MRS WATTS |
| MIKE CARTWRIGHT | MR AND MRS EDKINS | MR AND MRS WILKINS |
| MIKE LEWIS | MR AND MRS EVANS | MR AND MRS YARWOOD |
| MIKE LYTHGOE | MR AND MRS FARLEY | MR AND SE ANDREWS |
| MIKE PEAKE | MR AND MRS FLETCHER | MR ANDY BRUNT |

| | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| MR ANTHONY COUNSELL | MR N GILBERT | MRS EILEEN HILL |
| MR AP MOORE | MR N WHITLELEY | MRS ELLEN COTOGNI |
| MR ASIM RAJPURA | MR P DAVIS | MRS FAGAN |
| MR B KILNER | MR P GUINNANE | MRS G LEDGER |
| MR C KNIGHT | MR P MANN | MRS GLYNN |
| MR CHAPLIN | MR P RIDGE | MRS H HAGUE |
| MR D FIDLER | MR P SMITH | MRS I BOOTH |
| MR D J CORNS | MR PAUL M ASHURST | MRS I GORDON |
| MR D POTTS | MR PETER HUGHES | MRS IRENE COUNSELL |
| MR D YATES | MR PETER WALKDEN | MRS J BYRON |
| MR DAVE BARTLETT | MR R & MRS G COOPERSMITH | MRS J FORD |
| MR DAVE TURNER | MR R L MACLENNAN | MRS J GELDER |
| MR DJ BANKS | MR R SPEAKMAN | MRS J HAZELDINE |
| MR E R JENKINS | MR ROBIN STIMSON | MRS J M MCKENNA |
| MR EATON | MR RONNIE LAM | MRS J MORGAN |
| MR G AINSWORTH | MR S JONES | MRS J SAVAGE |
| MR G AND MRS C OGDEN | MR S MCKAY | MRS J WILSON |
| MR G CROOK | MR S RENNIE | MRS JOAN SUMMERS |
| MR G HESTON | MR SEAN DUNNE | MRS K BARLOW |
| MR G PLATT | MR SJ DAVIS | MRS L KNAPPER |
| MR I BROWN | MR STEPHEN RIGBY | MRS L MARSH |
| MR I JACKSON | MRS A BESWICK | MRS M LUNN |
| MR J BANKS | MRS A DAY | MRS M PRESTON |
| MR J E P DODD | MRS A O'CONNOR | MRS M RAWCLIFFE |
| MR J ROBERTS | MRS A ROGERSON | MRS MARILYN BARLOW |
| MR JOHN ELTON | MRS A SINGLETON | MRS MARIYA RAI |
| MR JOHN ROY | MRS ANN WARRINGTON | MRS P ARMSTRONG |
| MR JONATHAN HART | MRS B CHEAPE | MRS P EATON |
| MR K L INGRAM | MRS B LEES | MRS P JACKSON |
| MR K TAYLOR | MRS B LEIGH | MRS P POTTS |
| MR L TURNER | MRS B SEGAL | MRS P TURPIN |
| MR LA ROBINSON | MRS BARBARA LYON | MRS P WALKER |
| MR LEATHER | MRS C BOOTH | MRS PALLANS |
| MR M ANGLLESSEY | MRS C HOWARTH | MRS R BANNISTER |
| MR M BURKE | MRS C TOMLINSON | MRS R BATTEN |
| MR M KING | MRS D BIRKHEAD | MRS ROSENBERG |
| MR M LYONS | MRS E JONES | MRS S CROSSLAND |
| MR MCKELVEY | MRS E POINTON | MRS S MILLER |
| MR MCLACHLAN | MRS EBERT | MRS S NORCOTT |

| | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| MRS S REDSHAW | NICOLA NAIR | PAT SEDDON |
| MRS SUSAN SEELY | NIGEL MOORE | PATRICIA BROCK |
| MRS SYLVIA HEWART | NOEL GASKELL | PATRICIA DAWSON |
| MRS TURNER | NOEL GRIFFITHS | PATRICIA HODGES |
| MRS V ALLBERRY | NORAH VIRTUE | PATRICIA MARY STILL |
| MRS V M BLACKBURN | OLIVER METCALFFE | PATRICIA MATHEWS |
| MS B WILKINSON | OLIVIA GRAY | PATRICIA MURFIN |
| MS C PENNINGTON- ARMSTRONG | OLIVIA MARKENDALE | PATRICIA O'BRIEN |
| MS CLARE BATTEN | OSMAN MALIK | PATRICIA VARLEY |
| MS H COOPER | P AND A COGGINS | PATRICK FARRELLY |
| MS NINA AINSWORTH | P AND BA LEGERTON | PATRICK HANLEY |
| MS SJ SUMNER | P AND C HODGKINSON | PAUL AND CATH TRAYNOR |
| MURIEL AND BRIAN DUNN | P AND I BLAY | PAUL AND HELEN GATES |
| N AND E AUSTIN | P AND J BAXTER | PAUL AND SUSAN TOBIN |
| N AND S MEIRING | P AND J DUNN | PAUL BURGESS |
| N GRAHAM | P AND L MANSFIELD | PAUL DAVIES |
| N J JONES | P AND M ACHESON | PAUL DEASY |
| N KENNEDY AND D ASHWORTH | P AND P HESLOP | PAUL DENNEN |
| N NIXON | P AND R EGAN | PAUL FARNSWORTH |
| NATALIE DICKSON | P AND S LAW | PAUL GILSEMAN |
| NATALIE MCKENNA | P AND S MORRISON | PAUL GLOVER |
| NATHAN AND CLAIR PHILIPS | P BURKE | PAUL HARRIS |
| NB TASKER | P C LEGERTON | PAUL HIGSON |
| NEIL BROADBENT | P GAFFNEY | PAUL JOHNSON |
| NEIL RIGBY | P HALL | PAUL KELLY |
| NEIL SHEPHERD | P HUGHES & S HEATLEY | PAUL KIDD |
| NEILL VIRTUE | P MASSEY | PAUL LOWNDES |
| NEWTON ASHLEY | P MATHIESON | PAUL MARTIN |
| NICK HARRISON | P O'REILLY | PAUL MCCORMICK |
| NICK KETLEY | P.E.T HOUGHTON | PAUL MEDLICOTT |
| NICK RAWLINSON | PAM AND PAUL RIMMER | PAUL RIMMER |
| NICKIE J MCGLYNN | PAM SHERLOCK | PAUL SHARPLES |
| NICOLA CORRIGAN | PAMELA EDGE | PAUL TAYLOR |
| NICOLA ELLIOTT | PAMELA KEARON | PAUL WHITTLE |
| NICOLA FOGG | PAMELA WELSH | PAULA CHAPMAN |
| NICOLA GARRATT | PAT KELLY | PAULA GIBSON |
| NICOLA MARSH | PAT LEWIS | PAULA MURPHY |
| NICOLA MOUNTCASTLE | PAT LONGBOTTOM | PAULINE ATKINSON |
| | PAT SCOTT | PAULINE FEEHAN |

| | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| PAULINE HAYNES | R AND H EDWARDS | RITA BOWATER |
| PEARL HUGHES | R AND J WICKHAM | RITA CUNLIFFE |
| PETER AND JANE DUNN | R AND J HOLBROOK | ROB HESFORD |
| PETER AND MARY LIZ WALKER | R AND LC GILBERT | ROB PRILL |
| PETER B HENRY | R AND S PRITCHARD | ROBERT ALAN MOSS |
| PETER BALL | R AND S WATERS | ROBERT BARR |
| PETER BANKS | R COLLIER | ROBERT BROWN |
| PETER BARRACHOUGH | R HOLLAND | ROBERT C LANE |
| PETER BLOOR | R LANYON | ROBERT HALE |
| PETER DENWOOD | R MITCHELL AND N HOPWOOD | ROBERT KERR |
| PETER FENSOME | R PALEY AND A KENYON | ROBERT SEDDON |
| PETER HOWARTH | R, S AND A MATHER | ROBERT STUBBS |
| PETER LYNCH | RA DEAN | ROGER JONES |
| PETER RAVEN | RACHAEL DURHAM | ROISIN JONES |
| PETER SALUR | RACHEL BRADLEY | RON AND MARY BOOTH |
| PETER SHAW | RACHEL BRUNT | RONALD HOVER |
| PETER YATES | RACHEL CHAPE | ROSIE KAVANNAH |
| PHIL GUY | RACHEL JOHNS | ROSSANO SOLLAZZI |
| PHIL HINSLEY | RACHEL WHITTAKER | ROY CHILTON |
| PHIL PATERSON | RAY CHRISTIAN | ROY ZAMMIT |
| PHILIP ALDRIDGE | REBECCA KAVANAGH | RT REVD DS WALKER |
| PHILIP AND SUSAN SHAW | REBECCA PUGH | RUBEENA RAZZAQ |
| PHILIP CARTER | REBECCA WILD | RUSSELL WOOD |
| PHILIP HESKETH | REKHA SENAPIT | RUTH AND BARRY WOODS |
| PHILIP HODSON | REKHA SERAPIT | RUTH ELLUL |
| PHILIP LOMGBOTTOM | RENAY BRENNAN | RUTH O TAYLOR |
| PHILIP PARRY | RENNIE MCFARLANE | RUTH POTTER |
| PHILIP PICKEN | RHONA MECHAN | RW AND LA GOODALL |
| PHILIP SHARPLES | RHONA ORWIN | RYAN SANDIFORD |
| PHILIP WALTON | RHYS OBRIEN | S A WOOLLEY |
| PO LIN SIT | RICHARD BLACKSHAW | S AITARBASHI |
| PROF MARK GABBAY | RICHARD BRYAN | S AND G STEENSON |
| PT BROWN | RICHARD COFFEY | S AND M MELODY |
| R AND A BROWN | RICHARD CRAWFORD | S AND M THORPE |
| R AND A CHAPLIN | RICHARD MERRON | S AND P DENNETT |
| R AND A MALONE | RICHARD MORSON | S AND P HARDMAN |
| R AND B ALLDRED | RICHARD SARGENT | S AND S MCMAHON |
| R AND C BICKERDYKE | RICHARD TINDALL | S BIRCHALL |
| R AND D DOYLE | RICK NEILD | S E AND A GOODWIN |

| | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| S HARRIS | SHARON TUNSTALL | STEVE FLETCHER |
| S KILLON | SHEILA BERRY | STEVE GILL |
| S MATTHEWS | SHEILA WATTS | STEVE MOSS |
| S ROBERTS | SHEILA WELLS | STEVEN BALL |
| S, H AND R SPARKES | SHELIA DOBSON | STEVEN DEASEY |
| SADIA K RAJPUT | SHELIA GUY | STEVEN GUNN-RUSSELL |
| SALLY HOPE | SHELIA MAYALL | STEVEN HEALEY |
| SALLY BRACEKNRIDGE | SHELIA WICKS | STEVEN MACHIN |
| SALLY FRYER | SHIRLEY EMSLEY | STEWART AND BARBARA BROWN |
| SALLY SHEPHERD | SHIRLEY STRETCH | STUART AND JACKIE TAYLOR |
| SAM DICKSON | SIAN ROWLEY | STUART BUTTERWORTH |
| SAM FOSTER | SIMON BANK | STUART HARRISON |
| SAM MORRISON | SIMON FORRESTER | STUART PYRAH |
| SAMANTHA FARRELL | SIMONE WHITE | STUART SCHOTNESS |
| SAMANTHA HRYBYK | SIR ALAN COCKSHAW | STUART WOODING |
| SAMANTHA MCCARDLE | SISTER T WILD | SUE BUCKLEY |
| SAMANTHA RENSHAW | SONIA SWEETING | SUE HIND |
| SAMANTHA WILLIS | SOPHIE FILER | SUE MATIN |
| SANDRA DUTSON | SOPHIE WARNER | SUE WRIGHT |
| SANDRA ISSAR | STACEY ANTCLIFF | SUSAN / |
| SANDRA PARKINSON | STELLA FOSTER | SUSAN ALLAN |
| SANDRA PRICE | STEPHANIE FOY | SUSAN BARTLETT |
| SANDRA WHITNALL | STEPHEN AND JOAN THOMPSON | SUSAN BUCKLEY |
| SARA FIDLER | STEPHEN BLAKELEY | SUSAN COLLINS |
| SARA HUGHES | STEPHEN BURNS | SUSAN FAWKES |
| SARAH COEULLE | STEPHEN CARTWRIGHT | SUSAN HAWKSWORTH |
| SARAH CORLETT | STEPHEN COOPER | SUSAN MOTTRAM |
| SARAH FITZPATRICK | STEPHEN HOLLAND | SUSAN NIMMEY |
| SARAH GARNETT | STEPHEN JOHN HOPKINS | SUSAN PENNINGTON |
| SARAH GILHAM | STEPHEN PARKER | SUSAN SPEAKMAN |
| SARAH GRIFFITHS | STEPHEN PERRY | SUSAN TOBIN |
| SARAH HARDMAN | STEPHEN SHAW | SUSAN WAREING |
| SARAH JONES | STEPHEN SOWDEN | SUSAN WILD |
| SARAH OLDFIELD | STEPHEN WHITEHEAD | SUZANNE BROAD |
| SCOTT HOWARD | STEVE | SUZANNE DAVIES |
| SCOTT WEST | STEVE AND LINDA JONES | SUZANNE HALL |
| SEBASTIAN BANACH | STEVE BIRCHALL | T AND B HEATHCOTE |
| SHARON CRABTREE | STEVE BLAND | T AND G NOWELL |
| SHARON JOHNSON | | |

| | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| T AND S SPEIGHT | TRACEY MELLETT | W R AND J E PENDLETON |
| T MONAGHAN | TRACEY PARKER | W AND P WALTER |
| T NETTLETON | TRACEY YARDLEY | W AND S HALLIDAY |
| TAMMY FULLELOVE | TRACY LAVELLE | W G HUDSON |
| TD WEATHERALL | TRICIA IRONSIDE | W HILLAN |
| TERENCE BOYLAN | TRISHA MOYNIHAN | W WRIGLEY |
| TERRY MANFORD | URSULA MCKENNA | WAYNE FLANAGAN |
| TERU PATEL | V AND T HAMER | WAYNE MORRIS |
| THOMAS AND NITA MELLOR | V BREARLEY | WAYNE RIGBY |
| THOMAS GRIFFITHS | V DOY | WE AND ME WILLIAMS |
| TIM RUMLEY | VAL WHITEHEAD | WENDY LYONS |
| TIMOTHY WHITE | VALERIE BUTTERWORTH | WENDY STEPHENSON |
| TINA WEBER | VALERIE HESKETH | WILLIAM AND BARBARA HILL |
| TOBIAS CHALLENGER | VALERIE HUTCHINSON | WILLIAM CORBETT |
| TONY ALDRED | VALERIE IVISON | WILLIAM DRONSFIELD |
| TONY AND PAT STEED | VALERIE JONES | WILLIAM HALL |
| TONY CRUISE | VICTOR RANICAR | WILLIAM JOHN DIXON |
| TONY DUNMORE | VICTORIA EVANS | WILLIAM MACKINDER |
| TONY LEE | VICTORIA JACKSON | YASMIN AHMED |
| TONY MCMANUS | VINCE MCGHEE | YVONNE HERNE |
| TONY WALLWORK | VINNY NEILD | YVONNE YATES |
| TONY WARD | VIVIAN BLACKSHAW | ZAIRAH AMIN |
| TRACEY CAREY | VIVIEN BREARLEY | ZHONG XIAOYE |

Annex B Consultation letter

Salford City Council

13 December 2023

Dear Consultee,

Planning

Salford City Council, Civic Centre,
Chorley Road, Swinton, M27 5BY

Phone 0161 793 3782

Email plans.consultation@salford.gov.uk

Web www.salford.gov.uk

Salford Local Plan: Core Strategy and Allocations Document - initial consultation

We are writing to you to notify you of Salford City Council's intention to start work on the preparation of the Salford Local Plan: Core Strategy and Allocations.

This is part two of Salford's Local Plan, which will provide a planning strategy for the city and additional detail on the proposed scale and distribution of development, allocate sites for development and designate sites as Local Green Spaces.

Through this initial consultation, we are inviting comments on:

- The scope of the Salford Local Plan: Core Strategy and Allocations
- The Integrated Assessment Scoping Report for the plan. The report explains how this technical assessment of the plan will be carried out to ensure that social, economic, environmental, equalities and health issues are fully taken into consideration.
- A 'call for sites.' This is an opportunity for developers, landowners and other stakeholders to put forward sites that they think should be considered for development (which could be through a Local Plan allocation or inclusion within the Housing and Employment Land Availability Assessment) or designated as a Local Green Space in this plan.

How to find out more

A document containing details of the consultation and the Integrated Assessment Scoping Report are available to view:

- Online at <https://www.salford.gov.uk/slp-part2>
- At the Civic Centre in Swinton, libraries and Gateway Centres

If you would like to discuss this consultation or ask any questions before making your comments, you can book a telephone appointment with a planning officer.

Appointments will run for up to 30 minutes. To book an appointment please call 0161 793 3782 or email plans.consultation@salford.gov.uk

How to make comments

Comments and sites can be submitted by any of the following means:

- Online at: <https://shaping.salford.gov.uk>
- By email to plans.consultation@salford.gov.uk (A form can be downloaded at <https://www.salford.gov.uk/slp-part2> then completed and returned to us)
- By post to:
Salford Local Plan: Core Strategy and Allocations Document
Spatial Planning
Salford Civic Centre
Chorley Road
Swinton
M27 5BY

All comments should be received by the city council no later than **4.30pm on 24 January 2024**.

What happens next?

Once the consultation closes, we will consider the responses received and assess any sites put forward. Following this, it is anticipated that a draft Core Strategy and Allocations document will be consulted on in July 2024.

Data protection

Comments made on planning policy and related documents, together with the name of the person and/or organisation making them, will be published on the city council's website and made available for inspection at the council's principal offices (the Civic Centre, Swinton), in Salford's libraries and gateway centres. This is in accordance with the Spatial Planning Privacy Notice which can be viewed here:

<https://www.salford.gov.uk/media/399551/spatial-planning-privacy-notice.pdf>

You are currently registered on the council's spatial planning consultee database as you have previously commented on or expressed interest in one of the council's planning documents. If you no longer wish to be contacted by the city council please let us know and we will remove you from this database.

Yours sincerely,



James Shuttleworth
Head of Planning

Annex C Facebook post example



Salford City Council

18 December 2023 · 🌐



We're asking for your input into part two of our Local Plan, which aims to shape the city's future development.

The plan will consider where new housing and employment development should go, the type of housing that should be provided and allocate sites for different types of development. We also want your suggestions for potential development sites and important Local Green Spaces.

Have your say on what the plan should include. See the link in comments, or view the documents at any Salford library, or Salford Civic Centre.

afairercity

Salford City Council is starting work
on part two of its Local Plan:
Core Strategy and Allocations

Consultation



afairercity

Salford City Council is starting work on part two of its Local Plan:
Core Strategy and Allocations

It will provide a long-term planning strategy for Salford, including details on the scale and location of new development. As part of this, sites will be allocated for uses such as housing and employment.

We are inviting people to comment on the scope of the plan, how we will take into account sustainability, health and equality issues in preparing the plan, and also asking people to put forward sites that they think should be considered for development or designated as a Local Green Space. **All comments must be received by 4.30pm on 24 January 2024.**

How to find out more:

- Online: www.salford.gov.uk/slp-part2
- The consultation documents can be viewed at Swinton Civic Centre, and in all of Salford's libraries (including the library at the Broughton Community Hub).
- If you have any queries, call **0161 793 3782** or email plans.consultation@salford.gov.uk

Salford City Council

Annex E Comments made (relevant to the scope of the SLP:CSA) to social media posts and the council's response

| Comments | SCC Response |
|--|---|
| <p>There is not the capacity or infrastructure (such as GPs, schools, roads) to accommodate new housing development.</p> | <p>The Draft SLP:CSA overall strategy (policy SS1 part 1 e) explains that the city council will work with partners and key stakeholders to secure improvements in the city's physical, social and environmental infrastructure to meet identified needs.</p> <p>Policy EF2 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023 explains that development must make provision for and be phased with supporting infrastructure appropriate to the scale of development proposed. Specific policies relating to accessibility, schools and health facilities are in the relevant chapters of the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>Policy A6 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023) provides general support to investment in the city's existing highway network to address congestion and enhance capacity where this is consistent with environmental and social objectives</p> <p>An Infrastructure Delivery Plan was published alongside the SLP:DMP which sets out the ways in which infrastructure is provided in the city to meet demands. The IDP will be updated to reflect any changes to relevant infrastructure providers/provision, baseline data and the evolving policy framework.</p> |
| <p>There is no need for new development in areas including Boothstown, Roe Green, Little Hulton and Worsley.</p> | <p>As identified in policy SS1 of the Draft SLP:CSA, there is a requirement for at least 33,160 net additional dwellings over the period 2022 to 2024 in Salford. A significant proportion of these will be apartments in City Centre Salford and Salford Quays. There is a need for development in the west of Salford to</p> |

| Comments | SCC Response |
|--|---|
| | <p>compliment this, and provide houses. The neighbourhood policies in the Draft SLP:CSA identify the scale of new housing development in each area.</p> |
| <p>New homes will not be genuinely affordable (social homes) to local people.</p> | <p>Securing a significant improvement in the availability of affordable housing is identified as key priority in the SLP:CSA overall strategy (policy SS1 part 2) h), and it is recognised that this will be achieved through a variety of means.</p> <p>Affordable housing requirements for new development have already been established through policies H4, H5, H6 and H7 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023. This includes a requirement for social rented dwellings, as well as affordable rent, and shared ownership to meet a range of needs.</p> |
| <p>Housing should not be built in the outer areas (Worsley and Boothstown). It should be directed towards those areas that have the infrastructure required.</p> | <p>Policy SS1 of the draft SLP:CSA seeks to deliver the levels of growth and its distribution through sustainable patterns of development by being in conformity with the spatial strategy set out in Chapter 4 of PfE, particularly the focus on development being in the Core Growth Area, which includes the City Centre, The Quays, Port Salford and the Salford Community Stadium area (formerly AJ Bell area).</p> <p>At a broad level, the scale and density of development will be increased as one travels eastward from Salford West (the Northern Area) and through the Inner Area into City Centre Salford and Salford Quays in the south-east of the city.</p> |
| <p>There is no green space left in the city.</p> | <p>Policy GI1 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the local plan) which was adopted in January 2023, directs development to protect and enhance the city's green infrastructure network.</p> |

| Comments | SCC Response |
|---|--|
| | <p>Chat Moss, the Irwell Valley and the West Salford Greenway are all areas of strategically important green space. In addition over a third of the city is Green Belt.</p> <p>The Draft SLP:CSA designates 17 sites as Local Green Space. In line with national planning policy, these will be afforded the same protection as Green Belt.</p> |
| <p>Eccles town centre needs to be regenerated. As part this there should be new restaurants, bars and shops. There should not however be any new tall buildings or new housing.</p> | <p>Policy SS3C of the Draft SLP:CSA identifies that part of the vision for the Eccles, Barton and Winton neighbourhood up to 2042 is to transform Eccles town centre into a reinvented vibrant place that fulfils its potential with a mix of uses including multi-purpose contemporary spaces, independent retailers, entertainment and public spaces, bars, cafes, and new homes that will attract new residents to the centre.</p> <p>The provision of new homes in the centre of the town centre reflects the accessibility of the location. An increase in the town centre population will support new services and facilities.</p> |
| <p>There is a need to improve transport links. The following railway stations should be reopened: Pendleton; Pendleton Broad Street; and Ordsall Lane.</p> | <p>Comments noted. Transport for Greater Manchester will have a central role in determining where enhancements to the rail network are required. At the current time, the council is not aware of plans to reopen these stations.</p> |

Annex F Individuals and organisations who made comments on the SLP:CSA initial consultation

| Individual/organisation | Representor number |
|---|--------------------|
| Action Against Rural Development (AARD) | A0001 |
| Albert Gubay Trustees Limited | A0002 |
| Andrew Marsh | A0003 |
| Andrew Scialpi-Sullivan | A0004 |
| Anna Foley | A0005 |
| Bredale Properties Limited | A0006 |
| Canal and River Trust | A0007 |
| David Ian Walch | A0008 |
| David Yates | A0009 |
| ECX Limited (Fordis Capital) | A0010 |
| Ellesmere Park Residents Association | A0011 |
| European Metal Recycling | A0012 |
| EVSYS Group | A0013 |
| Gary James | A0014 |
| Gillian MacKenzie | A0015 |
| GMEU | A0016 |
| Helen Watts | A0017 |
| Henley Investments | A0018 |
| Historic England | A0019 |
| Home Builders Federation | A0020 |
| Homes England | A0021 |
| Irish Community Care Manchester | A0022 |
| J2 Corporation Limited | A0023 |
| James Horth | A0024 |
| James Jordan | A0025 |
| Lancashire Wildlife Trust | A0026 |
| Manchester Airport Group Limited | A0027 |
| McCarthy Stone | A0028 |
| Mike Piraulx | A0029 |
| Monkton Properties Limited | A0030 |
| National Highways | A0031 |
| Natural England | A0032 |
| Peel Land and Property | A0033 |
| Peel Land and Property (Peel Waters) | A0034 |
| RHS Garden Bridgewater | A0035 |
| RMS International Ltd | A0036 |
| Robert Seddon | A0037 |
| Rowland Homes | A0038 |
| Sean Dunne | A0039 |
| Seddon Homes Ltd | A0040 |
| Sport England | A0041 |
| Stephen Hopkins | A0042 |
| Steven Healey | A0043 |
| Swifts Local Network: Swifts & Planning Group | A0044 |

| Individual/organisation | Representor number |
|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Trafford Council | A0045 |
| United Utilities | A0046 |
| Mr Vitesh | A0047 |
| Wain Estates (Land) Ltd | A0048 |
| Mark and Louise Upton | A0049 |
| National Gas | A0050 |
| The Planning Studio | A0051 |

Annex G Summary of representations on the scope of the plan

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|--|--|--|
| General | Action Against Rural Development (A0001) | Concerns raised about Places for Everyone allocation JPA29 Port Salford (Phase 2), in particular that it proposes the destruction of a large area of the Greenbelt on Barton Moss. | This allocation was considered through Places for Everyone (which was adopted in March 2024) and is not being reviewed through the SLP:CSA. |
| General | Andrew Marsh (A0003) | <p>There was little time to study and respond to the consultation.</p> <p>The documents should be written in plain English and with ward level information to assist the general public in commenting.</p> | <p>The consultation ran for a six week period, which is considered to be sufficient. This reflects the typical consultation period length as required by the Town and Country Planning Regulations (Local Development) (England) for the later stages in the production of a development plan. It is also consistent with the requirements of the city council's 2020 Statement of Community Involvement that was in place at the time the initial consultation on the SCI took place.</p> <p>With regards to the Draft SLP:CSA, as consultation will in part take place over the Christmas and New Year period, it is considered appropriate for consultation to take place for 10 weeks.</p> <p>Whilst planning documents regularly need to include technical information or refer to specific laws and regulations, the city council aims to ensure that information is accessible to all sections of our community and endeavours to</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | use plain English in planning documents as far as possible. |
| General | Historic England (A0019) | <p>Support the proposed scope. Many issues affecting the historic environment are addressed through part one of the plan.</p> <p>NPPF requires a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, including heritage assets most at risk (paragraph 196). Therefore, heritage needs to be embedded throughout the plan.</p> | <p>Support is welcomed and it is considered that heritage is embedded throughout the plan.</p> <p>As Historic England highlights, the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (SLP:DMP) (part one of the local plan which was adopted in January 2023) contains a range of policies (chapter 20) to ensure that the city's heritage is conserved and enhanced.</p> <p>Heritage also forms part of the Draft SLP:CSA long term vision (paragraph 8), is reflected in the overall strategy (policy SS1 part 2 m), and is referenced where appropriate within neighbourhood visions.</p> |
| General | Home Builders Federation (A0020) | The scope for the document (set out in the consultation document) appears appropriate. | Comments noted. The scope of the plan is confirmed in paragraph 1.11 of the Draft SLP:CSA. |
| General | Lancashire Wildlife Trust (A0026) | Welcome that the scope and note that it will fill the gaps not covered by existing policy. | Support is welcomed. The scope of the plan is confirmed in paragraph 1.11 of the Draft SLP:CSA. |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| General | National Highways (A0031) | Encourage developments that facilitate in a reduction in the need to travel by private car and are focussed on locations that are or can be made sustainable. | <p>This is reflected in the Draft SLP:CSA vision (paragraphs 1 and 6) and its overall strategy (policy SS1 parts 2a), 2b) and 2j).</p> <p>Paragraph 3.25 of the draft SLP:CSA explains that the distribution of development will enable more people to live in very accessible locations, where the potential to encourage walking, cycling and public transport use can be maximised.</p> <p>Furthermore, policy A1 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (SLP:DMP) (part one of the local plan which was adopted in January 2023), directs development to assist in reducing the need to travel, through its location, density and mix of uses.</p> |
| General | National Highways (A0031) | New development should give priority to walking, wheeling and cycle movements and facilitate access to public transport where possible. | <p>This is addressed in the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (SLP:DMP) (part one of the local plan which was adopted in January 2023).</p> <p>SLP:DMP policy A2 applies a transport hierarchy to new development, which requires that development is designed and located to maximise the use of modes towards the top of</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | the hierarchy (i.e. pedestrians and cyclists) and takes account of the needs of all users. |
| General | National Highways (A0031) | The plan should be cognisant of DfT circular 01/2022 'The Strategic Road Network and the delivery of sustainable development', particularly paragraphs 26 to 38 (engagement with plan-making) and the commitment to limiting environmental impact in selecting sites. | <p>The city council has engaged in early discussions with National Highways and Transport for Greater Manchester with regards to the SLP:CSA plan scope and evidence base, and would welcome ongoing dialogue in respect of this, particularly in the context of the transport evidence base prepared for Places for Everyone.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA proposes to allocate 17 sites for development. These allocations are generally relatively small scale and contained within the existing urban area in Salford. The allocations have been subject to an assessment based around the 21 integrated appraisal framework objectives and a range of data was collected and analysed to inform this assessment. This included data on public transport accessibility, proximity to walking and cycling routes and designated centres, to ensure that accessibility was considered amongst other issues in determining the suitability of sites for allocation.</p> <p>Further consideration will be given as to whether site specific transport assessments are required</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | in support of any of the SLP:CSA allocations following consultation on the Draft Plan. |
| General | Peel Land and Property (A0033) | <p>Broadly agree with the scope of the plan and the inclusion of policies on the scale, distribution, type and supply of homes and of offices, industrial and warehousing development.</p> <p>The plan should be consistent with strategic policies in Places for Everyone, in particular minimum development requirements (JP-H1 and JPJ1-4).</p> <p>The plan must build upon but look beyond this to achieve sustainable outcomes, fulfil the spatial strategy and strategic objectives, using up-to-date evidence of delivery and affordability of housing and amount, type and quality of commercial space.</p> | <p>Support for the scope of the plan is welcomed.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA is considered to be consistent with Places for Everyone policies JP-H1 and JP-J4, as explained in paragraphs 3.11 – 3.14 and 3.20 – 3.22 of the SLP:CSA.</p> |
| General | Peel Land and Property (A0033) | <p>The plan should balance the social and economic effects of growth and development with the protection and enhancement of environmental quality.</p> <p>PfE has established minimum development requirements, but claimed supply against these requirements has not been tested.</p> | <p>Supporting sustainable growth is central to the Draft SLP:CSA vision and overall strategy (policy SS1). Places for Everyone includes policies which provide a strategic framework for growth and development in Salford. The SLP:CSA is consistent with and complements this.</p> <p>As explained in paragraph 3.16 of the draft SLP:CSA, the overall housing supply for the 2022 to 2042 plan period is around 40,000</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-------------|----------------------------------|---|---|
| | | <p>PfE acknowledges that plan may plan for additional development needs and identify land to meet them. The plan must test up-to-date evidence to assess whether this is an appropriate strategy for Salford.</p> <p>In previous iterations of the plan, the protection and designation of areas of land have been pre-judged before development needs met (e.g. proposed designation of Local Green Spaces).</p> | <p>dwellings. This is considered to be sufficient to meet the overall requirement.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, the council is considering commissioning some additional work relating to the sufficiency of Salford's identified land supply to meet needs in the city over the plan period. This will inform the Publication version of the SLP:CSA.</p> |
| General | Trafford Council (A0045) | Supportive of the approach set out within the consultation documents and consider that it complements the strategic Places for Everyone (PfE) Plan as well as the emerging Trafford Local Plan. | Support is welcomed. |
| Plan period | Home Builders Federation (A0020) | <p>NPPF minimum 15-year period requirement (at adoption) highlighted and suggest that 2041 end date may not be appropriate.</p> <p>Highlight that where larger scale developments (e.g. those in PfE) are proposed, a longer period may be required.</p> | Paragraph 1.11 and policy SS1 of Draft SLP:CSA confirm that the plan period has been amended to cover the period from 1 April 2022 to 31 March 2042. It will therefore meet the NPPF minimum 15 year period requirement at adoption. |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Plan period | Albert Gubay Trustees Ltd (A0002) | 2037 end date does not comply with the NPPF 15 year requirement. It should be aligned to or extended beyond the end of the part one plan period. | Paragraph 1.11 and policy SS1 of Draft SLP:CSA confirm that the plan period has been amended to cover the period from 1 April 2022 to 31 March 2042. It will therefore extend beyond the part one plan period (2019-2037) and comply with the NPPF minimum 15 year period requirement at adoption. |
| Plan period | Peel Land and Property (A0033) | <p>The plan start date should be confirmed as the starting point of the PfE plan period, i.e. 2022, given that this is the parent document.</p> <p>The plan should look ahead to at least 15 years from adoption. A 2041 end date is less than 15 years from adoption (December 2026).</p> <p>To allow for any delay, the plan period should be extended to at least 31 March 2043.</p> <p>Delay could be more than in previous local plans given that the proposed changes to the plan-making system in accordance with the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act (LURA) of 2023.</p> | <p>The SLP:CSA start date is confirmed as 1 April 2022 in Policy SS1 of the draft SLP:DMP.</p> <p>Paragraph 1.11 and policy SS1 of Draft SLP:CSA confirm that the plan period has been amended to cover the period from 1 April 2022 to 31 March 2042 and will therefore meet the NPPF minimum 15 year period requirement at adoption.</p> |
| Vision and objectives | Albert Gubay Trustees Ltd (A0002) | <p>The plan should have a clear ambition and vision.</p> <p>2037 end date does not comply with the NPPF 15 year requirement. It should be aligned to or</p> | Paragraph 1.11 and policy SS1 of the Draft SLP:CSA confirm that the plan period has been amended to cover the period from 1 April 2022 |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | | extended beyond the end of the part one plan period. | to 31 March 2042 and will therefore meet the NPPF minimum 15 year period requirement. |
| Vision and objectives | Historic England (A0019) | <p>The historic environment should be integral to the vision and strategy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Component of sustainable development • To enable its early consideration in the planning process. • So it is considered a strength/opportunity rather than barrier. <p>Successful examples of heritage focussed regeneration in Salford highlighted (RHS, Timekeepers Square).</p> | <p>The historic environment is embedded in the SLP:CSA vision (particularly paragraph 8) and the importance of conserving and where appropriate enhancing Salford's heritage assets is highlighted in the overall strategy (policy SS1 2) m). There are appropriate references to heritage assets in the relevant neighbourhood area policies, including SS3C, SS3D and SS4D.</p> <p>The above is complemented by policies in chapter 20 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023). Chapter 20 of the SLP:DMP provides a suite of detailed development management policies which cover a range of heritage issues including heritage at risk, heritage interpretation, key historic locations, the positive use of heritage assets and canals.</p> |
| Vision and objectives | Peel Land and Property (A0033) | <p>The vision and strategy should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reflect Salford's strengths and challenges • Set a clear and positive framework for addressing these and achieving strong and inclusive economic growth (NPPF paragraph 15) | <p>Salford's strengths and challenges are identified in the Chapter 2: Spatial Portrait within the SLP:DMP (part one of the local plan which was adopted in January 2023).</p> <p>These issues are addressed, as appropriate, in the Draft SLP:CSA vision and overall strategy</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | Policies should be aspirational and deliverable (NPPF para 16) and likely to achieve the vision and strategy of the plan. | (chapter 2 and policy SS1), and should be read in the context of Places for Everyone. |
| Vision and objectives | United Utilities (A0046) | The climate change emergency should be in the vision and objectives and reference should be made to sustainable surface water management and efficient use of water. | <p>The declaration of a climate emergency is referenced in the relevant parts of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023). See paragraphs 2.12, 3.5, and 5.4 for example.</p> <p>The vision (paragraph 4 in particular) and overall strategy (policy SS1 part 2) c)) in the Draft SLP:CSA highlight the importance of supporting development becoming carbon neutral by 2038 and mitigating and adapting to the impacts of climate change.</p> <p>With regards to sustainable surface water management and efficient use of water, SLP:DMP policies WA2 and WA5 provide detailed development management policies on these issues. The SLP:CSA vision highlights the broader priority to carefully manage water resources.</p> |
| Biodiversity | Action Against Rural | The importance of providing opportunities to conserve and enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites is highlighted. | Chat Moss has considerable prominence in Salford's adopted development plan: |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | Development (AARD) (A0001) | <p>Concerns around the lack of recognition of Chat Moss, lack of a moss plan and no evidence of a plan / will to deliver the protection the moss needs.</p> <p>Highlight that the moss has been recognised as an area of Irreplaceable Habitat. Concern that this is under threat from various activities (processing waste, removal of top soil, development of unregistered industry within farm buildings, increased unlicensed vehicles moving waste up and down Astley Road, increased turf production).</p> <p>Want to see Chat Moss designated as an area of Irreplaceable Habitat and want to work with the council to deliver this.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One of the SLP:DMP key objectives (number 7) is to support a net gain in Salford's biodiversity, with a key target being to deliver a significant increase in the area of Chat Moss that is being restored to lowland raised bog or complementary wetland habitat. • SLP:DMP policy GI2 identifies Chat Moss as strategically important green infrastructure, explaining that there is a strong emphasis on delivering nature conservation improvements, particularly within the biodiversity heartland. • SLP:DMP policy HE2 acknowledges it is a key historic location in the city, recognising the high potential for archaeological discoveries in this part of the city. • In the draft SLP:CSA, Chat Moss features prominently in the 2042 vision (paragraphs 3, 4 and 8), the overall strategy (policy SS1 part n) and in the neighbourhood vision for Cadishead , Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green (policy SS4A part 2). <p>If there are any specific issues which the respondent considers require further investigation, these should be raised with the council's planning enforcement team.</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | Aside from Local Green Space designations, all other types of protective designation were considered through the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023) and are not being reconsidered through the SLP:CSA. Notwithstanding this, irreplaceable habitats would not be designated through the development plan as this is an ecology matter rather than a planning one. |
| Biodiversity | Andrew Marsh (A0003) | Lack of biodiversity in planting schemes and merging shrubs can encourage rodents. | <p>With regards to the lack of biodiversity in planting schemes, the draft SLP:CSA vision (paragraph 4) and overall strategy (policy SS1 part 2) p) explains that development will be required to support a 10% net gain in biodiversity. Further detail on this issue is set out in SLP:DMP policy BG2 (part one of the plan, adopted in January 2023) and PfE policy JP-G8 (adopted in March 2024).</p> <p>With regards to the concerns expressed about rodents, SLP:DMP policy PH1 explains that development must not result in unacceptable levels of pollution (this includes pests and vermin).</p> |
| Biodiversity | Helen Watts (A0017) | Support the creation of biodiversity / wildlife corridors | The Draft SLP:CSA vision (paragraph 4) and overall strategy (policy SS1 part 2 p) explains that development will be required to support a |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | <p>10% net gain in biodiversity. The creation and enhancement of wildlife corridors is supported through various policies in the Draft SLP:CSA and SLP:DMP (part one of the plan, adopted in January 2023) as follows:</p> <p>SLP:DMP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GI1 Development and green infrastructure • GI3 Irwell Valley • BG1 Nature improvement areas • BG2 Development and biodiversity <p>SLP:CSA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The vision (paragraph 4) which references supporting priorities for nature recovery • SSB3 (part 7) East Salford neighbourhood area • SS3D (part 10) The Quays, Ordsall, Pendleton and Charlestown neighbourhood area • SS4C (part 2) Swinton and Pendlebury Neighbourhood area |
| Biodiversity | Swifts Local Network: Swifts & | Add Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) to the scope. When the part 1 plan was adopted the national mandatory requirement for BNG was not in place | The Draft SLP:CSA vision (paragraph 4) and overall strategy (policy SS1 part 2 p) explains that development will be required to support a 10% net gain in biodiversity, which is considered |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | Planning Group, Mike Piraulx (A0044) | and the details of the methodology had not been published. | appropriate having regard to the scope of this document. Biodiversity net gain is addressed in more detail in policy BG2 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023) and policy JP-G8 of PfE (adopted in March 2024). |
| Biodiversity | Lancashire Wildlife Trust (A0026) | Part two of the plan can follow the direction of thinking on climate change and biodiversity. Of relevance to Salford is the potential part its peat soils can play in mitigating climate change and consideration of the emerging Greater Manchester Local Nature Recovery Strategy. | The SLP:CSA references relevant high level priorities in the vision (paragraph 4) and the overall strategy in policy SS1 (parts 2 c, n, o and p). This complements the more detailed development management policies (CC1 Climate change, BG2 Development and biodiversity) in the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan, adopted in January 2023). |
| Biodiversity | United Utilities (A0046) | We are developing a biodiversity net gain (BNG) strategy, evaluating land that could be used for habitat creation and developing a list of candidate sites. Welcome the opportunity to discuss Salford's approach to BNG delivery and the identification of strategic opportunities to support local nature recovery. If you are considering a policy relating to BNG, request that the policy is flexible to allow for off-site | It is not the intention to allocate sites for nature recovery within the SLP:CSA. Instead, the plan has regard to the Local Nature Recovery Strategy for Greater Manchester. Nonetheless, the city council would be happy to discuss these issues further with those looking to deliver biodiversity net gain within Salford. The Draft SLP:CSA vision (paragraph 4) and overall strategy (policy SS1 part 2 p) explains |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | <p>provision, particularly in respect of infrastructure sites so providers can respond to future growth requirements.</p> <p>Mitigation should not be located over water/waste water assets where excavation would require removal of the biodiversity.</p> | <p>that development will be required to support a 10% net gain in biodiversity, which is considered appropriate having regard to the scope of this document.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA does not include a specific policy relating to biodiversity net gain, as this is addressed in policy BG2 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023) and policy JP-G8 of PfE (adopted in March 2024).</p> |
| Climate change | United Utilities (A0046) | The climate change policy should be intrinsically linked to wider policies in the local plan. | <p>The overall strategy (policy SS1 part 2) c) in the Draft SLP:CSA supports Salford becoming carbon neutral by 2038, highlighting how this will be achieved. This is considered appropriate having regard to the scope of this document and broader policy coverage (summarised in paragraph 1.11 of the draft plan).</p> <p>The SLP:CSA does not include a specific policy relating to climate change, as this is addressed in detail in SLP:DMP policy CC1 (adopted in January 2023) and various policies in the sustainable and resilient places chapter of PfE (adopted in March 2024).</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Climate change | National Highways (A0031) | To support the transition to net zero carbon, Statements in the Climate Change Committee's 2022 Road to Parliament, National Planning Policy Framework and PAS 2080 which support a modal shift away from car travel and promote the use of low carbon materials, design solutions and construction methods to minimise resource consumption should be taken into account in relevant plan policies. | <p>The overall strategy (policy SS1 part 2) c) in the Draft SLP:CSA supports Salford becoming carbon neutral by 2038 and seeks to minimise the need to travel through its development strategy.</p> <p>This is considered appropriate having regard to the scope of the SLP:CSA and broader policy coverage in respect of these issues, which are addressed in greater detail in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chapters 5, 6, 15, 17 of the SLP:DMP (adopted in January 2023) • The sustainable and resilient places and connected places chapters of PfE (adopted in March 2024) |
| Design | Albert Gubay Trustees Ltd (A0002) | The design requirements should be understood at an early stage. | Design requirements for development have been established in chapter 19 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023). |
| Duncan Matheson playing fields | Steven Healey (A0043) | <p>Object to any form of development on Duncan Matheson playing fields other than for recreation and sport.</p> <p>The processes to be followed for enabling development, as set out in the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and</p> | <p>The SLP:CSA does not include policies relating to the use of Duncan Matheson playing fields.</p> <p>Policy R5 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023) designates the Duncan Matheson site as a strategic hub of city-wide importance for outdoor sports. The</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| | | <p>Designations SLP:DMP, has been a failure on the council's part.</p> <p>Part 2 of the plan must not include reference to Duncan Matheson/Lancaster Road playing fields) for any form of allocation.</p> | <p>policy explains that a small amount of enabling development will be considered on areas that are not functional playing field to cross fund the improvement of the site for recreational purposes. The approach to Duncan Matheson was considered through the examination of the SLP:DMP.</p> <p>SLP:CSA policy SS3A (part 7) is consistent with policy R5 of the SLP:DMP.</p> |
| Economic development | Albert Gubay Trustees Ltd (A0002) | Support the inclusion of objectives on economic development delivery and distribution. | <p>Economic development is addressed through various policies in Salford's development plan including chapters 9, 10 and 12 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023) and the 'Places for jobs' chapter of Places for Everyone (which was adopted in March 2023).</p> <p>Policies SS2, SS3 and SS4 of the Draft SLP:CSA identify the economic development capacity across the three spatial strategy areas (Core Growth Area, Inner Areas and Northern Areas).</p> |
| Economic development | Andrew Marsh (A0003) | Residents should be encouraged to spend locally and businesses to locate / remain in the city. | Policy E1 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan, adopted in January 2023) places a strong emphasis on protecting and enhancing the city's existing employment areas, recognising that |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | they accommodate a wide range of businesses and local employment opportunities. |
| Economic development | Historic England (A0019) | Support the inclusion of economic policies, which may provide opportunities for a positive strategy for the historic environment e.g. reuse of heritage assets. | Comments noted. This is addressed through policy HE3 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023. Policy HE3 encourages the positive and sustainable long-term use of heritage assets. |
| Economic development | Peel Land and Property (A0033) | <p>PfE did not consider the needs of existing, local, small businesses (e.g. manufacturers, services).</p> <p>Some occupy smaller premises / land which the SHLAA assumes to be available for housing.</p> <p>The plan must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Justify these assumptions • Ensure a range of all forms of employment and commercial space to meet the needs of local areas remains available. • Consider the needs of other sectors of the economy e.g. leisure, tourism and visitor facilities, visitor accommodation. • Wigan Council has commissioned an Economic Development Needs Assessment. Salford is directed to follow a similar approach to ensure the needs of its economy are provided for through the plan. | Further evidence is to be commissioned regarding employment floorspace requirements and the adequacy of the city's supply to deliver them. This will inform future versions of the plan. |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | |
| Energy | Andrew Marsh (A0003) | Few developments include renewable energy, resulting in higher energy / retrofitting costs. | This issue is addressed through the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023. SLP:SDMP policy EG1 requires that all developments demonstrate compliance with an energy hierarchy. |
| Energy | United Utilities (A0046) | We are developing a list of candidate sites for renewable energy and would welcome the opportunity to discuss the council's approach to the delivery of renewable energy sites and identifying new opportunities. | <p>The city council would welcome the opportunity to discuss the approach to renewable energy delivery.</p> <p>The SLP:DMP (part one of the local plan) which was adopted in January 2023 identifies the locations in Salford that are likely to have the greatest potential for renewable and low carbon energy based on technical considerations. It is however recognised that there will be other opportunities across the city, particularly as technology improves.</p> |
| Green infrastructure | Andrew Marsh (A0003) | <p>Greening should happen faster.</p> <p>Consultation on proposals should be effective and the council should identify areas for greening. Lack of greening in commercial retail developments highlighted e.g. Mocha Parade</p> | Policy GI1 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the local plan) which was adopted in January 2023 directs development (which would include commercial development) to protect and enhance the city's green infrastructure network. |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | Developers are strongly encouraged to involve local residents throughout the development process through SLP:DMP policy F1. |
| Green infrastructure | Helen Watts (A0017) | New housing requires green infrastructure that is maintained | This issue is addressed through the policy GI1 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the local plan) which was adopted in January 2023. Policy GI1 part 9) requires that developments ensure appropriate long-term management and maintenance measures are in place for green infrastructure delivered. |
| Green infrastructure | James Horth (A0024) | Ensuring that the city remains green is important as urban sprawl continues out of Blackfriars, Ordsall and the Quays. | This issue is addressed through policy GI1 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the local plan) which was adopted in January 2023. Policy GI1 directs development to protect and enhance the city's green infrastructure network and highlights the efficient use of surfaces to maximise the provision of green infrastructure (e.g. through street trees and green walls / roofs) as a particular priority in high density areas such as City Centre Salford and Salford Quays. |
| Green infrastructure | United Utilities (A0046) | Policy should give appropriate emphasis to green and blue infrastructure, natural flood management techniques, multi-functional sustainable drainage, designing new development so that it is resilient to the challenges of future climate change and the incorporation of water supply efficiency measures. | These issues are addressed through detailed development management policies in the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023, specifically GI1, WA2, WA5 and CC1. |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | Benefits of green / blue infrastructure highlighted in the response. | The ambition to establish a high quality, accessible network of green infrastructure is central to the Draft SLP:CSA vision (paragraph 3) and overall strategy (policy SS1 part 2 o), recognising it's important role in contributing to the future success of the city. |
| Housing | Albert Gubay Trustees Ltd (A0002) | Support the inclusion of objectives on housing delivery and distribution. | Policy SS1 of the Draft SLP:CSA incorporates housing requirements and the broad distribution of growth across the three spatial strategy areas (Core Growth Area, Inner Areas and Northern Areas) is outlined in SLP:CSA policy SS1. Each SLP:CSA neighbourhood area policy identifies the net increase in dwellings for that area. |
| Housing | Andrew Marsh (A0003) | <p>Concern about development affordability, lack of social housing with affordable rents and lack of council funds to increase stock.</p> <p>Suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explore how social housing can be built more quickly (e.g. low rise/pre-fab apartments for families with courtyards, play areas, sustainable energy features) to reduce Green Belt development. • Explore alternative funding models for affordable housing | <p>Securing a significant improvement in the availability of affordable housing is identified as key priority in the SLP:CSA overall strategy (policy SS1 part 2) h), and it is recognised that this will be achieved through a variety of means.</p> <p>Affordable housing requirements for new development have already been established through policies H4, H5, H6 and H7 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023.</p> <p>With regards to training up the workforce, SLP:DMP policy F2 requires that all major</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider demolition of poor condition, older properties and replacement with low-rise apartments Train up workforce for retrofitting, significant skillset required | developments are accompanied by a social value strategy. This is a means of setting out how development can maximise social value and social inclusion, with the potential for this to include local employment and training opportunities. |
| Housing | Andrew Marsh (A0003) | <p>Concerns about this: integration, transiency, ability to support the local economy, amenity, nuisance, waste capacity, business displacement/local employment loss etc.</p> <p>Development in some wards is skewed towards unaffordable, high-rise, rented apartments with a lack of greenspace, EV charge points or access to services and facilities.</p> | <p>It is considered that the concerns raised are sufficiently addressed through detailed development management policies in the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023, specifically policies H1, H4, EC1, GI1, A1, A10, D5, TC1, WA2, WA5 and WM1. These requirements apply to development proposals across the city.</p> <p>With regards to development being skewed towards high rise apartments in some wards, the SLP:CSA overall strategy (policy SS1 parts 2 a and 2 b in particular) takes forward the PfE spatial strategy. As part of this there is a focus on development being in the Core Growth Area.</p> <p>Higher density apartment development is focussed in the south east of the city given its location, context and characteristics and the opportunities this presents to minimise the need to travel.</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Housing | Andrew Marsh (A0003) | Unclear what happens if there is unforeseen demand for homes in the future e.g. as a result of climate change and regions becoming inhabitable | The council's local plan will be subject to monitoring and there is a legal requirement to review a local plan at least every five years. This will determine if the plan or any of its policies need to be updated, having regard to any major changes arising. Arrangements for monitoring are set out in chapter 27 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023), and chapter 12 of Places for Everyone (adopted in March 2024). |
| Housing | Helen Watts (A0017) | Do not support greenfield development, there are enough brownfield sites. | <p>Policy EF1 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023 identifies that support will be given to the use of suitable previously developed land.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA overall strategy (policy SS1 part 2 e) seeks to maximise the use of previously developed land and includes a target for 85% of new dwellings to be on such sites. This is the same as strategic objective 4 of the SLP:DMP.</p> |
| Housing | Helen Watts (A0017) | Support brownfield development. | <p>Policy EF1 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023 identifies that support will be given to the use of suitable previously developed land.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA overall strategy (policy SS1 part 2 e) seeks to maximise the use of previously</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | developed land and includes a target for 85% of new dwellings to be on such sites. This is the same as strategic objective 4 of the SLP:DMP. |
| Housing | Helen Watts (A0017) | Do not support the development of houses in multiple occupation and studio flats. | <p>With regards to houses in multiple occupation (HMOs), the conversion of existing houses into HMOs is controlled through policy H10 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023). Article 4 directions for small HMOs have recently been established for large areas of the city, which means that planning permission is required to convert a property in to a small HMO, whereas this could previously have been done without planning permission. A supplementary planning document relating to HMOs was adopted in June 2024. This states that planning permission will normally be refused if there are already more than 10% HMOs within 100 metres of an application property.</p> <p>With regards to the size of flats, policy H2 of the SLP:DMP establishes requirements relating to the number of bedrooms and minimum internal space standards within residential development, to ensure that there is a balanced mix of dwelling sizes in the city.</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Housing | Historic England (A0019) | Support the inclusion of housing policies which may provide opportunities for a positive strategy for the historic environment e.g. reuse of heritage assets. | This is addressed through policy HE3 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023. Policy HE3 encourages the positive and sustainable long-term use of heritage assets. |
| Housing | Home Builders Federation (A0020) | <p>Council should consider the housing strategy for Salford and provide policies in relation to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scale • Distribution • Type • Supply of housing | <p>Scale: The housing requirement for Salford is has been established through PfE up to 2039 and the annual average figure has been applied to the period 2039-2042, resulting in an overall housing requirement of 33,160 net additional dwellings for the period 2022 to 2042. This is explained in SLP:CSA paragraphs 3.11 to 3.14 and the requirement is set out in policy SS1 part 1) a.</p> <p>Distribution: The broad distribution of growth is outlined in SLP:CSA policy SS1, with supporting text in paragraphs 3.25 to 3.26. Each SLP:CSA neighbourhood area policy identifies the net increase in dwellings for that area.</p> <p>Type: As explained in paragraph 3.19 of the Draft SLP:CSA, around 6,000 houses and 34,000 apartments will be delivered over the period 2022 to 2042. The mix is consistent with the spatial strategy in PfE and the SLP:CSA overall strategy (policy SS1).</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|----------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | <p>The SLP:CSA neighbourhood area policies identify the type of housing expected to be delivered in that area. The SLP:DMP includes development management policies relating to different types of dwellings e.g. build to rent, housing for older people, student housing, gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople.</p> <p>Supply: As explained in paragraph 3.16 of the draft SLP:CSA, the overall housing supply for the 2022 to 2042 plan period is around 40,000 dwellings. This is considered to be sufficient to meet the overall requirement.</p> |
| Housing | Home Builders Federation (A0020) | <p>Places for Everyone proposes a housing requirement for Salford of 28,186 dwellings (2022-2039) with an annual average of 1,658 dwellings per annum.</p> <p>When considering housing land supply to meet the requirement, the council should ensure an appropriate level of flexibility within the supply to so the plan is robust and resilient to change.</p> | <p>As explained in paragraph 3.16 of the draft SLP:CSA, the overall housing supply for the 2022 to 2042 plan period is around 40,000 net additional dwellings. This is considered to be sufficient to meet the overall requirement of 33,160, and provides an appropriate level of flexibility.</p> |
| Housing | Home Builders Federation (A0020) | <p>Housing land supply should include a short and long-term supply of sites with strategic and non-strategic allocations for residential development.</p> <p>A wide mix of sites (size/market location) can:</p> | <p>The housing land supply in Salford comprises a wide mix of sites including: two strategic allocations for housing in Places for Everyone, 15 allocations for housing in the Draft SLP:CSA and the other sites which form part of the</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|--|--|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Optimise delivery • Provide choice • Allow sustainable growth • Diversify the construction sector • Respond to changing circumstances • Choice and competition in land market • Treat the housing requirement as a minimum rather than a maximum | baseline land supply as identified in the published Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (November 2023 – Updated June 2024). These sites will enable the delivery of a good choice of dwellings across Salford. |
| Housing | Home Builders Federation (A0020) | At least 10% of its housing requirement should be on sites no larger than one hectare or justification for not achieving this target to be provided in line with the NPPF. | <p>Three of the allocations in the Draft SLP:CSA are less than one hectare in size.</p> <p>Table 4 of the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (November 2023 – Updated June 2024) identifies net change in dwellings on specific sites. Of the 33,352 dwellings in table 4, 40% (13,378) are on sites of less than 1 hectare, and 60% (19,974) are on sites of 1 hectare or more.</p> |
| Housing | Irish Community Care Manchester (Rushton Planning Ltd) (A0022) | <p>Planning policy requirements for traveller sites highlighted, in respect of engagement and cooperation with communities, representative bodies and interest groups to understand and discuss accommodation needs.</p> <p>Question how beyond the GTAA, the community will be consulted.</p> | Having regard to the latest assessment of need, the Draft SLP:CSA proposes to allocate one site to accommodate gypsy and traveller pitches (allocation 2). A further two sites (allocations 4 and 7) are identified as having potential to accommodate plots for travelling showpeople in the SLP:CSA. Further details relating to this as set out in paragraphs 3.27 to 3.28 of the Draft SLP:CSA. |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|--|--|---|
| | | | The city council is proposing to undertake discussions with representatives of the travelling community as part of the consultation on the Draft SLP:CSA. |
| Housing | Irish Community Care Manchester (Rushton Planning Ltd) (A0022) | <p>The council should outline how public land is being considered for Gypsy and Traveller use.</p> <p>LPA has power to compulsory purchase land to be used as a caravan site (under section 24 of the Caravan Sites and Control of Development Act 1960). If insufficient sites are identified, consideration should be given to this power.</p> | <p>Through the Draft SLP:CSA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Land at Duchy Road is proposed to be allocated for pitches for gypsy and traveller use (allocation 2). This site is owned by Salford City Council. Two further sites (allocations 4 and 7) have been identified as having the potential to accommodate plots for travelling showpeople and discussions will be progressed with landowners to determine the deliverability of these allocations ahead of the publication stage. <p>Through the consultation on the draft SLP:CSA, the council is inviting people to suggest additional sites for traveller accommodation.</p> |
| Housing | Irish Community Care Manchester | <p>Request to be kept informed of the progress of the GTAA.</p> <p>Concern that the previous assessment did not take into account the needs of Gypsies and Travellers in</p> | It is understood that the Greater Manchester 2024 Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) is due to be published shortly. |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | (Rushton Planning Ltd) (A0022) | <p>bricks and mortar properly. Request that the LPA clarifies how needs of this group will be taken into account.</p> <p>Request that the council confirms that it will be considering the needs of people residing in or resorting to the district with respect to the provision of sites on which caravans can be stationed as per the duty Housing and Planning Act 2016, section 124. This will include Romany Gypsies and Irish Travellers whom may fall out of the current Planning Policy for Traveller Sites definition. These groups have a protected characteristic and so the Public Sector Equality Duty is applicable when considering their accommodation needs.</p> | <p>The scope of the study is to ensure that the Greater Manchester councils have a robust evidence base to determine an appropriate level of residential pitch provision over the period 2023/24 to 2040/41 and to identify the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers to meet both the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) - defined need and the councils' obligations under section 124 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016.</p> <p>The study has considered the potential need from households living in bricks and mortar accommodation.</p> |
| Housing | McCarthy Stone (A0028) | <p>The response highlights:</p> <p>Requirements of NPPF(paragraph 63) and PPG:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Size, type and tenure needed for different groups (including older people) should be assessed and reflected in policies. This includes those who require retirement housing with care and care homes. • An understanding of how the ageing population affects housing needs to be considered from the early stages of plan making. | <p>These issues are addressed in part through policy H6 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023. This policy seeks to ensure housing choice for older people in Salford through a range of means. It supports the development of specialist accommodation and includes specific criteria for considering proposals for residential development targeted at older people, with accessibility being a key consideration.</p> <p>There is no requirement in policy H6 to demonstrate need.</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policies should address the housing needs of groups with particular needs e.g. older and disabled people. <p>Salford's age profile:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Forecast increase in older people • In 2043, 16.1% of Salford's population is expected to be aged 65 and over and 4.9% aged 80 and over. • Providing housing to meet the needs of this demographic should be a priority. <p>The economic, social and environmental benefits of older persons housing</p> <p>Viability:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issues associated with older persons housing are more finely balanced • Typologies should be robustly assessed in accordance with the PPG. • Up to date viability assessment to inform the plan, including older person's housing typology. <p>The plan should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify older persons housing need • Allocate sites to meet the needs of older people in the most sustainable locations | <p>Viability of policy H6 was considered through evidence that supported the SLP:DMP.</p> <p>The draft SLP:CSA does not specifically allocate sites for older people. Any proposals will be considered against policy H6 which provides a clear framework to developers as to how proposals will be considered.</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---------|---|--|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include a policy supporting the delivery of specialist older persons housing with good access to services and facilities • Recognise that housing for older people has its own requirements and can't be considered against criteria for adaptable and accessible housing. • Remove reference to mainstream housing • Not require developers of older persons housing to demonstrate need. <p>Example policy provided in the response.</p> <p>Retirement Housing Group Consortium paper (2013) highlighted in the response.</p> | |
| Housing | National Highways (A0031) | In residential schemes, consideration to be given to home and street layouts, broadband infrastructure, cycle parking and access to amenities/open space. Mobility or microbility hubs should be provided in larger schemes. | <p>The issues are addressed through various policies in the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023; specifically D3, D4, DG1 and A3.</p> <p>Due to the size of the site allocations proposed in the draft SLP:CSA, they would not be suitable to accommodate mobility hubs.</p> |
| Housing | Swifts Local Network: Swifts & Planning | Support inclusion of a housing strategy | Comments noted. This is set out in the Draft SLP:CSA vision (paragraphs 1 and 2), and parts 1) and 2 b), d), e), f), g), h) and j) of the overall spatial strategy (policy SS1). |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------------|---|---|--|
| | Group, Mike Piraulx (A0044) | | |
| Housing | Swifts Local Network: Swifts & Planning Group, Mike Piraulx | Add sustainability and environmental performance of the housing stock to the scope. | <p>This is addressed in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft SLP:CSA vision (paragraph 2) • Various policies in the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023) seek to ensure the sustainability and environmental performance of the housing stock, including D7 and EG1. |
| Infrastructure | Albert Gubay Trustees Ltd (A0002) | Infrastructure requirements should be understood at an early stage. | <p>The Draft SLP:CSA overall strategy (policy SS1 part 1) e) explains that the city council will work with partners and key stakeholders to secure improvements in the city's physical, social and environmental infrastructure to meet identified needs.</p> <p>Various policies in the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023) relate to the provision of infrastructure, including policies EF2, PC1, HH2, and ED2.</p> <p>An Infrastructure Delivery Plan was published alongside the SLP:DMP which sets out the ways in which infrastructure is provided in the city to meet demands. The IDP will be updated to</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------------|---|---|---|
| | | | reflect any changes to relevant infrastructure providers/provision, baseline data and the evolving policy framework. |
| Infrastructure | Gillian MacKenzie, Robert Seddon (A0015, A0037) | Concerns about the capacity of the city's infrastructure and the impact of development on this (roads, schools, health facilities). | <p>The Draft SLP:CSA overall strategy (policy SS1 part 1 e) explains that the city council will work with partners and key stakeholders to secure improvements in the city's physical, social and environmental infrastructure to meet identified needs.</p> <p>Policy EF2 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023 explains that development must make provision for and be phased with supporting infrastructure appropriate to the scale of development proposed. Specific policies relating to accessibility, schools and health facilities are in the relevant chapters of the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>An Infrastructure Delivery Plan was published alongside the SLP:DMP which sets out the ways in which infrastructure is provided in the city to meet demands. The IDP will be updated to reflect any changes to relevant infrastructure providers/provision, baseline data and the evolving policy framework.</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Infrastructure | Helen Watts (A0017) | Infrastructure should be prioritised, insufficient infrastructure in Walkden (schools, doctors etc) | <p>The Draft SLP:CSA overall strategy (policy SS1 part 1 e) explains that the city council will work with partners and key stakeholders to secure improvements in the city's physical, social and environmental infrastructure to meet identified needs.</p> <p>Policy EF2 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023 explains that development must make provision for and be phased with supporting infrastructure appropriate to the scale of development proposed. Specific policies relating to accessibility, schools and health facilities are in the relevant chapters of the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>An Infrastructure Delivery Plan was published alongside the SLP:DMP which sets out the ways in which infrastructure is provided in the city to meet demands. The IDP will be updated to reflect any changes to relevant infrastructure providers/provision, baseline data and the evolving policy framework.</p> |
| Infrastructure | Trafford Council (A0045) | Welcome engagement on the identification of key infrastructure schemes in the both local plans e.g. Trafford Park Metrolink extension to Port Salford, Western Gateway Infrastructure Scheme (WGIS). | Comments noted. The transport interventions referred to were considered through PfE in the context of the JPA26 Port Salford Extension allocation |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | The SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023 also provides support for the expansion of the Metrolink to Port Salford and further investment in the city's highway network. |
| Infrastructure | United Utilities (A0046) | <p>Cannot conclude the impact on infrastructure at this stage.</p> <p>Suggested the inclusion of a development management policy to ensure that the timing of development is coordinated with timing of infrastructure (suggested wording included in response)</p> <p>Good practice to ensure that growth is proportionate to the location to avoid a strain on infrastructure.</p> | <p>This is addressed through policy EF2 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023 explains that development must make provision for and be phased with supporting infrastructure appropriate to the scale of development proposed.</p> <p>The Draft SLP:CSA overall strategy (policy SS1 part 1 e) explains that the city council will work with partners and key stakeholders to secure improvements in the city's physical, social and environmental infrastructure to meet identified needs.</p> <p>An Infrastructure Delivery Plan was published alongside the SLP:DMP which sets out the ways in which infrastructure is provided in the city to meet demands. The IDP will be updated to reflect any changes to relevant infrastructure providers / provision, baseline data and the evolving policy framework.</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Infrastructure | United Utilities (A0046) | <p>Concerns expressed regarding sites in multiple ownerships and their potential to compromise sustainable development.</p> <p>Suggested the inclusion of a development management policy to require the provision of an infrastructure strategy where applications are submitted on land which forms part of a wider development.</p> | <p>This is addressed through policy EF2 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023 explains that development will need to be guided by a masterplan / framework where there is a need to accommodate or fund infrastructure when not possible to consider in isolation.</p> |
| Local Green Space designations | Sport England (A0041) | <p>The latest version of Sport England's active design guidance (May 2023) is highlighted. Consideration should be given to any policy wording assigned to the Local Green Space designations having regard to the ten principles of active design.</p> <p>The comments also indicated the playing field status of each of the ten Local Green Spaces proposed in the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (SLP:DMP).</p> | <p>The policy wording for the Local Green Space designations in the SLP:CSA simply states that the identified sites will be protected and enhanced as designated Local Green Spaces, and will be managed in accordance with Green Belt policy. The reasoned justifications for each Local Green Space provide a description of the space, including details of its features and current usage.</p> <p>Policy D1 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023), establishes design principles, on which all developments are expected to deliver. This includes active design (principle 11). The reasoned justification to policy D1 highlights that advice can be found in publications produced by other organisations, including Sport England.</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Places for Everyone spatial boundaries | Historic England (A0019) | <p>Defining boundaries (core growth, inner and northern areas) is important to ensure proper implementation of policies.</p> <p>Should be addressed as a cross boundary matter with Manchester City Council.</p> | Comments noted. Discussions have taken place with Manchester City and Trafford councils in relation to this issue in preparing the Draft SLP:CSA and identifying the boundaries of the spatial strategy areas. |
| Places for Everyone spatial boundaries | European Metal Recycling (A0012) | <p>Core Growth Area should include all or part of the Liverpool Street site as an extension to the City Centre boundary over time.</p> <p>The plan should create a policy approach that supports this area's regeneration e.g. integration with existing regeneration areas, encourage mixed-use development in the area.</p> <p>Proximity to potential Metrolink expansion and the need to take this forward are highlighted. Discussions on how the Liverpool Street area could assist in connectivity between Salford Crescent and Media City welcomed.</p> | <p>Whilst the boundary of the Core Growth Area is not defined in Places for Everyone, figure 4.2 shows the area covered by it which includes the City Centre. The City Centre Salford boundary was defined in SLP:DMP policy AP1 (City Centre Salford) and is not being altered through the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The potential for change within the Liverpool Street area is already recognised through Policy AP5 of the SLP:DMP.</p> <p>The area falls within the Inner Area as proposed to be defined in SLP:CSA policy SS3 (Inner Area). Its comprehensive masterplanning and regeneration is supported through SLP:CSA policies SS3 part 3 (Inner Area) and SS3D part 4 (The Quays, Ordsall and Pendleton and</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | <p>Charlestown neighbourhood area (outside of the Core Growth Area)).</p> <p>Its potential to complement and support the successful functioning of the City Centre and accommodate a diverse range of housing types not currently typically found in the City Centre is highlighted in policy SS3D part 4.</p> |
| Places for Everyone spatial boundaries | Trafford Council (A0045) | <p>Salford and Trafford are in the Western Gateway Growth Location. Important for both council's plans to be aligned on strategic development and infrastructure.</p> <p>As Core Growth Area and Inner Areas cover parts of Trafford, consultation and engagement on the identification of boundaries and how they interact with Trafford is requested. MOU or similar may be required to agree the boundaries.</p> | Comments noted. Discussions have taken place with Manchester City and Trafford councils in relation to this issue in preparing the Draft SLP:CSA and identifying the boundaries of the spatial strategy areas. |
| Places for Everyone spatial boundaries | Peel Land and Property (A0033) | <p>Support the proposals to define the spatial boundaries for the strategic areas identified in PfE.</p> <p>These should comprise precise designations based on a map base.</p> <p>Request to work with the Council to confirm the boundaries for these areas.</p> | <p>Comments noted. The boundaries of the spatial strategy area boundaries and how they apply to Salford are shown on the SLP:CSA Policies Map. Consultation on the Draft SLP:CSA provides an opportunity to provide formal comments on the proposed boundaries.</p> <p>The vision for the Northern Area is set out in Draft SLP:CSA policy SS4. The emphasis is on enhancing its role as a series of high quality</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | The Northern Areas have significant regeneration and growth needs which are less well catered for by PfE and existing regeneration projects, such that they should be a particular focus for the Local Plan and additional growth and regeneration opportunities | residential suburbs, retaining neighbourhood identity and attracting a broad range of households to live there. |
| Recreation | Helen Watts (A0017) | Support protection of Blackleach Country Park | Comments noted. Blackleach Country Park is proposed to be designated as a Local Green Space (GI6/10) through the Draft SLP:CSA. It is also identified in the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023 as a local nature reserve (policy BG2/1) whilst the reservoir is a Site of Biological Importance (policy BG2/12). |
| Recreation | Helen Watts (A0017) | Allotments should be protected from development | Comments noted. Recreation land and facilities, are protected through Policy R3 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023. |
| Transport | Anna Foley (A0005) | A tram stop is needed in Monton | <p>Comments noted. The expansion of the Metrolink in Salford is supported through policy A4 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023.</p> <p>Transport for Greater Manchester will have a central role in determining where enhancements to the Metrolink network are required. At the</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-----------|--|---|--|
| | | | current time, the council is not aware of plans to extend the Metrolink to Monton. |
| Transport | David Walch (A0008) | Transportation links are poor, reopen railway stations at Pendleton, Pendleton 'Broad St' and Ordsall Lane. | Comments noted. Transport for Greater Manchester will have a central role in determining where enhancements to the rail network are required. At the current time, the council is not aware of plans to reopen these stations. |
| Transport | Manchester Airport Group Limited (A0027) | <p>Economic and transport links with Manchester Airport and associated benefits should be acknowledged within the plan.</p> <p>Opportunities for improving transport links and connectivity between Salford and Manchester Airport should be explored.</p> <p>Offer to provide estimates of Manchester Airport's economic influence within Salford and staff and passenger travel survey data for consideration in developing strategic objectives, economic development strategy and transport infrastructure proposals.</p> | Increased connectivity to Manchester Airport is a wider issue which has been considered strategically through Places for Everyone policies JP-Strat10 and JP-C3. |
| Transport | James Horth (A0024) | Would like to see more cycling infrastructure and modal filters to encourage use of sustainable methods of transport. Suggests closing Frederick Street so it can be used by pedestrians and cyclists. | This issue is addressed more generally through policy A3 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023). Priorities identified in this policy include addressing gaps in the network, developing the city's waterways |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | <p>as cycling routes and reallocating road space to provide projected cycle lanes.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA overall strategy explains that improvements in the city's public transport and active travel networks will be supported, which is considered appropriate given the scope of this document.</p> |
| Transport | National Highways (A0031) | National Highways would be supportive of proposals which would address issues identified in the National Highways Route Strategy Initial Overview Reports published in summer 2023. | Policy A6 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023) provides general support to investment in the city's existing highway network to address congestion and enhance capacity where this is consistent with environmental and social objectives. |
| Transport | National Highways (A0031) | The two Road Investment (RIS) 3 pipeline schemes announced in October 2024 (Manchester Northwest quadrant A57-M62 and M62 – M60) cannot be relied upon to cater for additional growth in the plan and the plan must look to mitigate its own impacts on the SRN. | <p>The amount of housing proposed to be accommodated in Salford was determined through Places for Everyone (PfE) (adopted in March 2023).</p> <p>Potential impacts on the SRN from the identified development pipeline, including PfE site allocations, was assessed as part of the PfE process.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA is consistent with PfE and, in terms of overall quantum and distribution of development, the allocations identified in the</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | SLP:CSA are not considered to make any material changes to the potential supply previously identified. |
| Town centres | Albert Gubay Trustees Ltd (A0002) | Welcome the development of town centre visions and keen to work with the council on the vision for Walkden Town Centre that is ambitious and deliverable. | Policy SS1 of the SLP:CSA acknowledges that there is potential for change in designated centres, particularly the town centres. More specifically, policies SS4 and SS4B seek to secure significant improvements to Walkden town centre, strengthening its position and completing its mixed use redevelopment, whilst enabling it to remain as an important focus for local communities. |
| Town centres | Andrew Scialpi Sullivan (A0004) | Social spaces should be provided in shopping areas like Eccles to facilitate markets and events and bring communities together. | Policy SS3 of the Draft SLP:CSA seeks to secure investment in Eccles town centre, explaining that this should be guided by a masterplan or comprehensive redevelopment strategy. Such a document could address the more specific issues raised by the respondent. |
| Town Centres | Historic England (A0019) | Policies that include a vision for the town centres should supported where these conserve and enhance historic environments. The centres have historic origins, particularly Eccles. | Policy SS3 of the Draft SLP:CSA seeks to secure investment in Eccles town centre, explaining that this should be guided by a masterplan or comprehensive redevelopment strategy. Such a document would consider how the centre's historic environment can be conserved and enhanced. In addition, policy SS3C (part 4) identifies that part of the vision for |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | <p>the Barton, Eccles and Winton neighbourhood is to transform Eccles town centre into a reinvented vibrant place.</p> <p>The historic significance of Eccles town centre is noted in policy HE2 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023. Policy HE2 identifies Eccles as a key historic location within Salford, having regard to its high concentration of assets and surviving medieval core. Policy SS3C of the SLP:CSA (part 5a) specifically refers to the need to conserve and enhance the distinctive character of Eccles town centre.</p> |
| Town Centres | Trafford Council (A0045) | Interested in emerging policies for Salford Quays and welcome further engagement in relation to this. | <p>The Draft SLP:CSA policy SS2 identifies the capacity of Salford Quays to accommodate new dwellings and employment floorspace.</p> <p>As explained in paragraph 3.31 of the draft SLP:CSA, Salford Quays has significant policy coverage provided through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Places for Everyone (adopted in March 2023) policies JP Strat1 and JP Strat3 • SLP:DMP policy AP2 (adopted in January 2023) |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Town Centres | James Jordan (A0025) | <p>Walkden Town Centre is a priority:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current road layout (crossings linking the centre of town) and shopping centre make it dysfunctional. • It is a health and safety hazard and needs urgent redevelopment. | <p>Policy SS4 of the Draft SLP:CSA seeks to secure significant improvements to Walkden town centre.</p> <p>Planning permission has recently been granted for the redevelopment of part of the centre, comprising new retail units and landscaped areas of public realm.</p> |
| Waste | Andrew Marsh (A0003) | The council should explore how refuse can be better recycled and green waste collected in areas where it is lacking or causing problems. | <p>Whilst some of these issues sit outside of planning policy, the requirements of policy WM1 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023), are highlighted. Policy WM1 requires that development makes provision for waste storage and collection, including storage of waste that can be recycled, and uses high quality design solutions to minimise adverse visual impact of waste facilities.</p> |
| Gas | National Gas | <p>Identified a National Gas Transmission Asset in the plan area (plan showing location submitted as part of the representation).</p> <p>National Gas wishes to be involved in the review of plans which affect their assets to help ensure the safe operation of existing sites and equipment and to facilitate infrastructure investment. Request to</p> | The location of the asset and requirements associated with this are noted. National Gas will be consulted on the Draft SLP:CSA, however none of the proposed site allocations are within close proximity of this asset. |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | <p>be consulted on site specific proposals that could affect assets.</p> <p>The following is highlighted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Gas Transmission has land rights for each asset which prevents the erection of permanent/temporary buildings, changes to ground levels, storage of materials etc • Written permission is required before any works commence within 12.2 building proximity distance and a deed of consent is required for any crossing of the easement <p>Links to further guidelines and contacts provided in the response.</p> | |
| Water | United Utilities (A0046) | <p>Water efficiency.</p> <p>The plan should require development to be built to the optional water efficiency standard prescribed in Building Regulations. This is referenced in PfE main modification MM5.9</p> <p>Water efficiency benefits are highlighted in the response and it is cited as being key to achieving net zero.</p> <p>The response includes suggested wording for a water efficiency policy that requires residential</p> | <p>Water efficiency standards were considered through the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan) which was adopted in January 2023. Development management policies are not being reviewed through the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>Policy WA3 of the SLP:DMP encourages development to incorporate water efficiency and water recycling measures. At the time the SLP:DMP was produced, the city council considered the available evidence and concluded that there was no evidence which would support requirements in Salford going</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | <p>development to achieve the building regulations optional requirement and non-residential development, the achievement of the BREEAM excellent standard.</p> <p>Additional evidence has been submitted to justify the approach and outline the impact on development viability (concluding that it is both viable and of positive economic benefit).</p> | <p>beyond national requirements. PfE does not require Local Plans to prescribe the higher water efficiency standards – Policy JP-S4 reiterates the national guidance that this should be considered where there is a clear local need.</p> |
| Water | United Utilities (A0046) | <p>Surface water management and landscaping.</p> <p>The evaluation of surface water management opportunities should be undertaken at an early stage in the design process and landscaping proposals should be integrated with the sustainable surface water management strategy.</p> <p>The response includes suggested policy wording.</p> <p>The response outlines the utility services considerations in planting new trees.</p> | <p>This is addressed through policy WA5 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan), which was adopted in January 2023. Policy WA5 directs new development to ensure that surface water is managed in a coordinated and sustainable way, with on-site surface water solutions to be designed as multi-functional green infrastructure where possible.</p> <p>Development management policies are not being reviewed through the SLP:CSA.</p> |
| Water | United Utilities (A0046) | <p>Flood risk and surface water management should be dealt with through separate policies.</p> | <p>This is addressed through policies WA4 and WA5 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan), which was adopted in January 2023.</p> <p>Development management policies are not being reviewed through the SLP:CSA.</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Water | United Utilities (A0046) | <p>Sustainable drainage – foul and surface water.</p> <p>Foul and surface water should be managed in a sustainable way and the national hierarchy of drainage options for surface water followed (combined sewer last).</p> <p>Noting that not all applications are required to submit a flood risk assessment, emerging policy should set an expectation that all applications submit evidence that the hierarchy for surface water has been investigated to ensure that flood risk is not increased elsewhere.</p> <p>The response includes suggested wording for a sustainable drainage policy and reasoned justification.</p> | <p>This is addressed through policy WA5 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan), which was adopted in January 2023. Policy WA5 directs development to manage surface water in line with a hierarchy, within which discharge to the combined sewer is the lowest priority, only to take place where in exceptional circumstances where evidence is provided that other solutions are not possible.</p> <p>Development management policies are not being reviewed through the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>Furthermore, the council's validation checklist details the types of application which require a drainage strategy.</p> |
| Water | United Utilities (A0046) | <p>Sustainable drainage – allocations.</p> <p>Site allocation policies should detail the approach to drainage informed by a flood risk assessment / drainage strategy.</p> <p>Allocation policies for major development sites should state that space will be made available for multi-functional sustainable drainage. The response includes suggested policy wording.</p> | <p>Where relevant, the site allocation policies in the Draft SLP:CSA highlight the key issues for consideration in relation to flood risk and drainage having regard to the known constraints.</p> <p>Relevant site allocation policies in the Draft SLP:CSA also include a requirement to incorporate high quality sustainable drainage</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | systems as part of the green infrastructure for the site. |
| Water | United Utilities (A0046) | <p>Flood risk.</p> <p>The local plan should give sufficient emphasis to all forms of flood risk.</p> <p>The response includes suggested policy wording requiring applicants to consult with the water/sewerage undertaker to confirm the risk of flooding from sewers and reservoirs confirming prescribed information. This would guide the application of the sequential approach.</p> | <p>Draft policy SS1 Overall strategy seeks to deliver growth through sustainable patterns of development. Although flood risk is not explicitly mentioned, part 2 identifies that the SLP:CSA seeks to deliver sustainable patterns of development; this would inevitably involve not developing in areas made unsustainable by flood risk. Moreover, point 2c includes reference to mitigating and adapting to the impacts of climate change, which would include increased flood risk.</p> <p>The details of how development needs to address flood risk are covered in policy WA4 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan), which was adopted in January 2023. Policy WA4 directs development to take opportunities to reduce flood risk wherever practicable and outlines the circumstances where development will not be permitted. This includes specific reference to sewer flooding as one of the reasons why a flood risk assessment may be required.</p> <p>Development management policies are not being reviewed through the SLP:CSA.</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Water | United Utilities (A0046) | <p>Investment in new infrastructure.</p> <p>The support of the council is requested for investment in infrastructure to deliver water and wastewater infrastructure in a timely manner. The response highlights that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some existing assets are situated in protected areas (e.g. Green Belt) may require upgrading • Drivers for investment include the Environment Act 2021 (obligations in respect of storm spill reduction). <p>Local plan policy should recognise that infrastructure improvements within protected land are appropriate forms of development and designate existing utility sites on the Policies Map.</p> <p>The response includes suggested wording for a water and wastewater infrastructure policy.</p> | <p>This is considered to be sufficiently addressed through policy GB1 of the SLP:DMP (part one of the plan which was adopted in January 2023). Policy GB1 explains that a positive approach will be taken to the provision of essential infrastructure within the Green Belt, where it would be consistent with maintaining its openness and the purposes of including land within it.</p> <p>It is not considered necessary to designate existing utility sites on the Policies Map, although these may be identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will be made available at Publication stage.</p> <p>The Draft SLP:CSA overall strategy (SS1) provides support for further investment in the city's infrastructure, explaining that the city council will work with partners and key stakeholders to secure improvements in the city's physical, social and environmental infrastructure to meet identified needs.</p> |
| Site allocations | United Utilities (A0046) | Various issues highlighted in respect of UU's assets including: | Comments noted. United Utilities have reviewed the proposed site allocations and appropriate reference has been made to any easements |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assets passing through sites should be included as a site specific consideration in the local plan. Circumstances where UU will not allow building are outlined. Don't assume assets can be diverted, asset protection can preclude delivery. Assets should be given due regard in masterplanning, site constraints understood at an early stage and engagement with UU undertaken. | required in relevant Draft SLP:CSA site allocation policies. |
| Site allocations | United Utilities (A0046) | <p>Reservoir flooding.</p> <p>Advice in the National Planning Practice Guidance highlighted in considering allocations.</p> <p>Need to discuss allocations within a reservoir flood zone at the earliest opportunity to avoid intensification in an area at risk of reservoir failure and ensure reservoir undertakers can assess cost implications of reservoir safety improvements required due to changes in the use of land downstream of their assets.</p> | From an initial desktop review, the extent of reservoir flood risk extends onto the edge of a small number of the proposed site allocations. These allocations will be discussed with the relevant parties ahead of the Publication stage. |
| Site allocations | United Utilities (A0046) | On-site flood risk. | United Utilities has reviewed the proposed site allocations and identified that four sites are located within a modelled sewer flood risk area. Appropriate reference has been made in the |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | <p>Public sewers at risk of/with a previous record of flooding near to development sites should be considered.</p> <p>United Utilities can advise on sewer flood risk affecting a site. Strong preference for development to take place outside of any identified flood risk and early engagement requested.</p> <p>Consideration of all sources of flood risk required, consistent with national planning policy and guidance.</p> <p>The response includes suggested policy wording and explanatory text for site allocations affected by sewer flood risk (for scenarios where this is modelled and where there is a record of flooding).</p> | <p>relevant Draft SLP:CSA site allocation policies to the need to consider the potential for sewer flood risk in the site's design and drainage strategy.</p> |
| Site allocations | United Utilities (A0046) | <p>If sites are allocated that have flood risk concerns highlighted by United Utilities, these should be referenced in the strategic flood risk assessment and understood as part of the development of any site.</p> | <p>Sewer flooding is considered within section 6.4 of the Level 1 SFRA for Greater Manchester (March 2019). United Utilities has reviewed the proposed site allocations and identified that four sites are located within a modelled sewer flood risk area. Appropriate reference has been made in the relevant Draft SLP:CSA site allocation policies to the need consider the potential for sewer flood risk in the site's design and drainage strategy.</p> |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Site allocations | United Utilities (A0046) | <p>Ground water source protection zones.</p> <p>The zones signify where there may be a risk from activities below or on the surface, depending on proximity to the borehole. Sites are more appropriately located away from locations identified as sensitive groundwater protection areas.</p> <p>Groundwater should be protected in accordance with the Environment Agency's (EA) approach. Allocations should be assessed to determine if the principle is acceptable and identify site specific requirements. Consultation with the EA and other relevant parties is advised.</p> <p>United Utilities Water (UW) mapping indicates that part of Salford falls within zone 3 (for UW's public water supply operations) and zones 1 and 2 (1 being the most sensitive) not related to the supply of water by UW.</p> <p>The response includes suggested policy wording (directing development to accord with national guidance and undertake a risk assessment where necessary) and explanatory text.</p> | United Utilities has reviewed the proposed site allocations and confirmed that none of the sites fall within groundwater protection zones one or two. |
| Site allocations | United Utilities (A0046) | Waste water treatment and pumping stations. | United Utilities has reviewed the proposed site allocations and highlighted that Aspinall |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | <p>Consideration should be given to these in allocating sites. New development sites (particularly sensitive uses) should not be close to waste water treatment works due to odour, noise, pests etc in line with the NPPF agent of change principle. Waste water treatment works in Salford identified and plans provided.</p> <p>The response includes suggested policy wording in circumstances where site are allocated within the proximity of treatment works (this is not recommended).</p> <p>The response includes suggested policy wording directing development to ensure an appropriate standard of amenity and the potential need for impact assessments.</p> | <p>Crescent is particularly close to the Worsley Waste Water Treatment Works. This issue has been addressed in the site allocation policy and discussions are ongoing with United Utilities with regards to the technical assessments required in order to better understand the implications.</p> |
| Site allocations | Historic England (A0019) | <p>Support the inclusion of allocations.</p> <p>A screening process should be used to understand where there may be an impact on the historic environment.</p> <p>Heritage Impact Assessments (HIAs) should be undertaken on the shortlist of sites to:</p> | <p>Historic England has reviewed the proposed site allocations and concluded that the majority do not present any heritage concerns. However, the following sites have been 'scoped in' by Historic England:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Orchard Street • South of Agecroft Cemetery |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that the significance of heritage assets is taken into account in preparing the plan • Inform the suitability of the sites, impact of development upon the significance of assets, extent of the developable area, mitigation or enhancements and changes to policy wording. <p>Highlight HEAN3 The Historic Environment and Site Allocations in Local Plans (2015) (currently being updated)</p> | In these cases, due to the presence of heritage assets in close proximity to the site, heritage impacts associated with the site's development will require consideration and reference has been made to this in the relevant Draft SLP:CSA site allocation policies. |
| Site allocations | Home Builders Federation (A0020) | Support the Council in working with the industry in considering allocations for residential development. | The city council would welcome the views of the Home Builders Federation on the site allocations proposed in the Draft SLP:CSA. |
| Site allocations | Lancashire Wildlife Trust (A0026) | The appraisal of the site allocations must include up to date and relevant evidence and policy thinking. | <p>The site allocations proposed in the Draft SLP:CSA were subject to a detailed assessment based around the 21 integrated appraisal framework objectives as set out in section 5 of the SLP:CSA integrated appraisal main report.</p> <p>Further information is provided in the Housing Allocations Background Report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chapter 2, stage 6: In order to appraise sites, data was collected on a range of issues from a variety of sources – details of these sources is provided in the table below paragraph 2.19 of the report. |

| Topic | Respondent (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chapter 2, stage 7: This provides an explanation as to the factors taken into account in determining appropriate site allocations. |
| Site allocations | United Utilities (A0046) | <p>Welcome opportunity to review potential sites to determine whether UU has any land interests e.g. easements, rights for inspection/repair etc.</p> <p>Site allocations should be supplemented by site specific policies to provide clarity.</p> <p>United Utilities assets should be considered in bringing proposals forward and advice can be provided on assets (constraints outlined in response).</p> | United Utilities has reviewed the proposed site allocations and appropriate reference has been made to any easements required in relevant Draft SLP:CSA site allocation policies. |
| Development site | Mr Vitesh | Request to reconsider proposals to construct an 11 storey office building on plot C3 at Media City UK. Concerns relate to the impact on residents living in the surrounding areas, particularly in terms of light, space and disturbance. | A planning application for the proposed development was determined on 6 October 2022 (22/80211/FUL). These issues have therefore been considered through the planning application process. |

Annex H Summary of representations on the evidence base

| Evidence | Respondent name (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Economic development | Peel L&P (A0033) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A robust and up to date assessment of local economic needs, taking into account land supply against the requirements in PfE, is required. • This would establish whether planning for higher amounts of economic and other types of development is necessary and that a sufficient supply of deliverable sites is identified and/or allocated to meet the full range of identified needs for employment land and other commercial space. • Wigan Council has commissioned an up to date Economic Development Needs Assessment. • Salford must follow a similar approach to ensure that the needs of its economy are provided for through the provisions of the Plan. • Needs include existing local small businesses including manufacturing and service providers and other sectors of the economy (for example the leisure industry; tourism and visitor facilities; and visitor accommodation such as | <p>Places for Everyone includes policies which provide a strategic framework for key economic locations (including the Port Salford Extension) and identifies office and industrial / warehouse floorspace requirements over the period 2022 to 2039. There is therefore a clear policy framework guiding economic growth in Salford and the SLP:CSA includes policies which are consistent with and complement this existing framework.</p> <p>It is proposed that at least 254,920sqm office floorspace and 556,035sqm industrial floorspace is accommodated in Salford over the period 1 April 2022 to 31 March 2042. Paragraphs 3.20 to 3.22 of the Draft SLP: CSA explain how this requirement has been determined. This provision makes a sufficient contribution to meeting the overall employment floorspace requirements identified in Places for Everyone and the identified supply of sites will enable the delivery of this.</p> |

| Evidence | Respondent name (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | hotels and more innovative and flexible forms of visitor accommodation). | Notwithstanding the above, the council is proposing to commission some additional economic assessment work to confirm the employment land requirements, appraise the city's current and future supply and identify any gaps in this. This will inform any changes required to be made to the plan ahead of its publication. |
| General | Albert Gubay Trustees Ltd (A002) | Spatial priorities and development management policies should be robustly evidence based so as to not impede deliverability of plan-led objectives. | <p>In accordance with paragraph 32 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the draft SLP:CSA is underpinned by relevant, proportionate and up to date evidence.</p> <p>The following background documents have been published alongside the SLP:CSA and Policies Map:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrated Assessment • Allocations background report • Local Green Space background report • Consultation Statement <p>It is anticipated that as necessary, the production of further technical background reports will be produced between the draft</p> |

| Evidence | Respondent name (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | <p>and publication stages and published alongside the plan.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA (part two of the plan), does not include detailed development management policies, as these were considered through the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (part one of the plan) which was adopted by the city council in January 2023).</p> |
| Housing | Peel L&P (A0033) | <p>A robust and up to date assessment of local housing (amount, type and distribution), taking into account land supply against the requirements in PfE, is required. This would establish whether planning for higher amounts of housing is necessary and that a sufficient supply of deliverable sites is identified and / or allocated to meet the full range of identified needs for particular types of homes.</p> <p>The Plan's evidence base should fully consider the implications of the deletion of the North of Irlam Station allocation for Salford's objectives of widening the mix and type of homes, particularly in the western</p> | <p>The number of new homes that are required for Salford the period 1 April 2022 to 31 March 2039 has been established through Places for Everyone as 1,658 net additional dwellings per annum (28,816 in total).</p> <p>The annual average figure has been applied to the remaining part of the plan period (2039 – 2042) in the Draft SLP:CSA. The distribution of housing development as proposed in the Draft SLP:CSA reflects the spatial strategy as set out in Places for Everyone.</p> |

| Evidence | Respondent name (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | part of Salford (in particular Irlam and Cadishead), and boosting the supply of affordable homes. | <p>The housing land supply in Salford comprises a wide range of sites including: two strategic allocations for housing in Places for Everyone, 15 allocations for housing in the Draft SLP:CSA, and other sites which form part of the council's baseline supply as identified in the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (November 2023 – updated June 2024).</p> <p>As the identified supply is around 40,000 dwellings, there is sufficient flexibility to meet the identified requirement (33,160) and the range of sites will enable the delivery of a good choice of dwellings across Salford.</p> <p>The council is considering commissioning some additional housing needs assessment work and should this identify the need for any changes to the SLP:CSA, these can be made ahead of its publication and submission.</p> |
| Housing - Gypsies and travellers | Irish Community Care (A0022) | The LPA will need to outline how it has considered public land for G&T housing given it is unlikely private land will have been suggested through the call for sites. | <p>Through the Draft SLP:CSA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Land at Duchy Road is proposed to be allocated for pitches for gypsy and |

| Evidence | Respondent name (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | <p>This may be include consideration of CPOs as permitted through legislation.</p> <p>Other than through the GTAA how will the LPA engage with the community as required by national Planning Policy for Travellers?</p> | <p>traveller use (Allocation 2). This site is owned by Salford City Council.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Two further sites (allocations 4 and 7) have been identified as having the potential to accommodate plots for travelling showpeople and discussions will be progressed with landowners to determine the deliverability of these allocations ahead of the publication stage. <p>Work continues to assess council owned sites in relation to their potential to accommodate travelling people.</p> <p>Through the consultation on the draft SLP:CSA, the council is inviting people to suggest additional sites for traveller accommodation.</p> |
| Heritage | Historic England (A0019) | <p>Once a shortlist of allocated sites has been identified, Heritage Impact Assessments should be undertaken to make sure the significance of assets is taken into account.</p> <p>This will include looking at the impact of development, extent of the developable area (and hence capacity) and any necessary</p> | <p>Historic England has reviewed the proposed site allocations and concluded that the majority do not present any heritage concerns. However, the following sites have been 'scoped in' by Historic England:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Orchard Street South of Agecroft Cemetery |

| Evidence | Respondent name (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | mitigation or enhancements that could be made to policy wording. Regard should be had to HEAN3 which is currently being updated. | In these cases, due to the presence of heritage assets in close proximity to the site, heritage impacts associated with the site's development require will further consideration and reference has been made to this in the relevant Draft SLP:CSA site allocation policies. |
| Land supply | Peel L&P (A0033) | <p>Land supply should up to date and robust.</p> <p>SCC published its HELAA in November 2023. This will need to be tested as part of the preparation of the plan.</p> <p>Delivery rates and supply against PfE requirements will need to be published at the outset of the preparation of the plan in order to determine whether supply will meet needs, and whether there is a need to find additional sources of supply.</p> | <p>The council's Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (HELAA) (November 2023 – Updated June 2024) has considered the suitability, availability and achievability of each site and is considered to be robust and proportionate evidence. An updated 2024-based HELAA will be published and this will inform the Publication version of the plan.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, the city council is considering commissioning some additional housing needs assessment work which will consider the sufficiency of Salford's identified land supply to meet identified housing needs in the city over the plan period. Should this identify the need for any changes to the plan, these can be made ahead of its publication and submission.</p> |

| Evidence | Respondent name (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Recreation | Sport England (A0041) | <p>Based on guidance, the current Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS) is considered out of date and does not constitute a robust foundation for the Integrated Assessment or plan making for Salford as a whole.</p> <p>Sport England have been involved in work to update the 2018 Salford PPS and would welcome its satisfactory completion and adoption to ensure the plan is developed based on the most up to date information available.</p> <p>Encourage the development of a Built Facilities Strategy to establish an evidence base for indoor provision for sports and healthy lifestyles.</p> | The council's Playing Pitch Strategy Assessment is currently being updated. The review is expected to be completed by Spring 2025 and Sport England has been engaged in this process. |
| Transport | National Highways (A0031) | <p>Transport evidence base will require a collaborative approach between SCC, National Highways, GMCA, TfGM and neighbouring authorities.</p> <p>The evidence should have regard to the December 2022 DfT Circular 01/2022 'The Strategic Road Network and the delivery of</p> | The city council has engaged in early discussions with National Highways and Transport for Greater Manchester with regards to the SLP:CSA plan scope and evidence base, and would welcome ongoing dialogue in respect of this, particularly in the context of the transport evidence base prepared for Places for Everyone. |

| Evidence | Respondent name (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | <p>sustainable development' (particularly paragraphs 26 to 38 on plan making).</p> <p>Evidence should be in line with the 'Transport Evidence Bases in Plan Making and Decision Taking' guidance which has been published by DLUHC and MHCLG. The key issues to be considered are set out in the response.</p> <p>The cumulative and individual impacts of the Local Plan proposals upon the ability of the road links and junctions affected to accommodate the forecast traffic flows in terms of capacity and safety should be assessed. The plan must look to mitigate its own impacts on the SRN rather than relying on potential schemes that are still subject to a business case.</p> <p>Regard will need to be had to section 5 of the Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) that was signed with National Highways as part of PfE. The response highlights specific areas of agreement between National Highways and SCC.</p> | <p>A significant amount of work was undertaken in preparing the transport evidence base for Places for Everyone, and this took into account the existing land supply across the districts, as well as the allocations proposed through Places for Everyone.</p> <p>The amount of development to be accommodated in Salford has already been determined through Places for Everyone. The SLP:CSA provides further detail in respect of its distribution within Salford and this is in conformity with the Places for Everyone spatial strategy (i.e. the particular focus on development in the Core Growth Area).</p> <p>The SLP:CSA proposes to allocate 15 sites for development. These allocations are generally small scale and contained within the existing urban area in Salford. The allocations have been subject to an assessment based around the 21 integrated appraisal framework objectives and a range of data was collected and analysed to inform this assessment. This included data on public transport accessibility, proximity to</p> |

| Evidence | Respondent name (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | <p>walking and cycling routes and designated centres, to ensure that accessibility was considered amongst other issues in determining the suitability of sites for allocation.</p> <p>Further consideration will be given as to whether site specific transport evidence is required in support of any of the allocations as part of preparing the Publication version of the plan.</p> |
| Viability | Albert Gubay Trustees Ltd (A0002) | Policy proposals should be informed by robust viability evidence that demonstrates no material impact on the deliverability of commercial and housing development. | <p>Viability testing was undertaken in preparing the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (part one of the plan) which was adopted by the council in January 2023. This considered policy requirements (in part one of the plan) which could impact on the costs of development, including environmental standards, affordable housing delivery and planning obligations to mitigate impacts. The assessment considered the impact of policies on the viability of commercial development and a range of residential development types.</p> |

| Evidence | Respondent name (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | <p>Development Management policies do not fall within the scope of the Salford Local Plan: Core Strategy and Allocations (part two of the plan) which the council is working on now, and therefore further testing in respect of these policy requirements is not necessary.</p> <p>The city council is currently considering the scope of additional viability testing required to support the SLP:CSA site allocations and will progress this ahead of the publication stage.</p> |
| Viability | McCarthy Stone (A0028) | An up to date viability assessment should inform the plan. This should include typologies that consider older persons housing. Where typologies are found to be unviable there should be no requirement for affordable housing. | <p>Viability testing was undertaken in preparing the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (part one of the plan) which was adopted by the council in January 2023.</p> <p>The viability testing considered affordable housing requirements and the impact of requirements on a range of residential development types, including older persons accommodation.</p> <p>Development Management policies do not fall within the scope of the Salford Local</p> |

| Evidence | Respondent name (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | Plan: Core Strategy and Allocations (part two of the plan) which the council is working on now, and therefore further testing in respect of these policy requirements is not necessary. |
| Viability | Peel L&P (A0033) | The viability of the existing land supply should be updated. | <p>The council's Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (HELAA) (November 2023 – updated June 2024) has considered the suitability, availability and achievability of each site in the baseline supply. In determining whether sites are 'achievable' and when sites will come forward for development, a judgement is made having regard to various factors as outlined in paragraphs 2.32 and 2.45 of the HELAA.</p> <p>There is a 5 year supply for the period 2023 to 2028 having regard to the requirement in PfE and the supply identified in the HELAA. With regards to this, the HELAA identifies the delivery of 12,610 dwellings over the period 2023 to 2028. 98% of this supply has full planning permission and so therefore is assumed to be deliverable in principle in line with the NPPF and NPPG. Moreover, for a significant number of schemes with planning</p> |

| Evidence | Respondent name (reference number) | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| | | | <p>permission, viability was tested through the decision making process.</p> <p>On sites outside of the first five years, there is a reasonable prospect that they will be available and could be viably developed at the point envisaged. This takes into delivery of similar sites and the paragraphs in the HELAA referred to above.</p> <p>The city council is currently considering whether additional viability testing is required to support the SLP:CSA site allocations, and will progress this ahead of the publication stage.</p> |

Annex I Site allocations suggested through the initial consultation

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|---|---------------|--|---|---|
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green | Respondent Gary James (A0014) Site: Land to the West of School Lane Site reference: CSA-SSA-008 | Vacant fields | Housing (yield not specified) Site size: 6 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fields not farmed since 1970s • Residential context • Development would be infill between the M62 and residential properties • No physical obstructions to development • Representation refers to ecological appraisals and peat reports submitted previously to the council and ecological appraisal (2016) has been resubmitted as part of this representation. | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>96% of the site is peaty soil as identified by the Natural England Peaty Soils Location (England) map. Natural England representations to Places for Everyone indicate that they consider deep peat to be an irreplaceable habitat.</p> <p>Paragraph 186c of the NPPF states that development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats should be refused unless there are wholly exceptional reasons, where the public benefit would clearly outweigh the loss or deterioration of habitat and a suitable compensation strategy exists. Whilst 186c relates to the determination of planning</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------|---|-------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| | | | | | <p>applications, it is relevant to apply it for plan-making purposes, consistent with paragraph 235 of the inspectors report into the examination of Places for Everyone.</p> <p>Paragraph 181 of the NPPF explains that plans should allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value where consistent with other policies in the framework.</p> <p>The site is not considered to have the potential to provide public benefits that would clearly outweigh the loss or deterioration of potential irreplaceable habitat.</p> <p>The site is also in the Green Belt. The Places for Everyone (PfE) joint development plan document (adopted in March 2024) establishes a new Green Belt boundary for the nine boroughs covered by it. Exceptional</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|--|-------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | | <p>circumstances were demonstrated as part of PfE to justify the selective removal of land from the Green Belt for employment and housing development to ensure identified development needs would be met.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA maintains the designation of the existing Green Belt in Salford without any further alterations to it. This is in the context of: paragraph 145 of the NPPF; Salford's identified housing and employment supply relative to identified needs; and the Green Belt in Salford having been altered very recently through PfE.</p> |
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green | <p>Respondent: Peel Land and Property (A0033)</p> <p>Site: East of Irlam</p> | Vacant | <p>Housing (yield not specified)</p> <p>Site size: 59.9 hectares</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vacant land • Highly accessible • Few constraints • Isolated area of Green Belt severed from strategic area of Green Belt. Its release will not harm the strategic | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>76% of the site is peaty soil as identified by the Natural England Peaty Soils Location (England) map. Natural England representations to Places for</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------|---|-------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Site reference: CSA-SSA-019 | | | <p>function of the Green Belt in this location.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sustainable extension to Irlam • Potential to create a gateway to Chat Moss and provide strategic green infrastructure • New family and affordable homes to replace those lost through the deletion of the Places for Everyone North of Irlam Station allocation. Irlam which has its own sub-market is substantially under catered for. • Available immediately. | <p>Everyone indicate that they consider deep peat to be an irreplaceable habitat.</p> <p>Paragraph 186c of the NPPF states that development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats should be refused unless there are wholly exceptional reasons, where the public benefit would clearly outweigh the loss or deterioration of habitat and a suitable compensation strategy exists. Whilst 186c relates to the determination of planning applications, it is relevant to apply it for plan-making purposes, consistent with paragraph 235 of the inspectors report into the examination of Places for Everyone.</p> <p>Paragraph 181 of the NPPF explains that plans should allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value where consistent</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------|---|-------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | | | <p>with other policies in the framework.</p> <p>The site is not considered to have the potential to provide public benefits that would clearly outweigh the loss or deterioration of potential irreplaceable habitat.</p> <p>The site is also in the Green Belt. The Places for Everyone (PfE) joint development plan document (adopted in March 2024) establishes a new Green Belt boundary for the nine boroughs covered by it. Exceptional circumstances were demonstrated as part of PfE to justify the selective removal of land from the Green Belt for employment and housing development to ensure identified development needs would be met.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA maintains the designation of the existing Green Belt in Salford without any further</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|--|-----------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | | alterations to it. This is in the context of: The NPPF paragraph 145; Salford's identified housing and employment supply relative to identified needs; and the Green Belt in Salford having been altered very recently through PfE. |
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green | Peel Waters (A0034) Site: AJ Bell Stadium plot 3 Site reference: CSA-SSA-023 | Unutilised land | Employment (9,290sqm) Site size: 2 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redevelopment of underutilised site • High quality employment development • Additional GVA to the local economy • Landscape/habitat enhancements • High quality design and sustainability standards | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The site falls within the core growth area, which has a strategically important economic function, as identified in Places for Everyone policy JP-Strat1: Core Growth Area. This part of the core growth area has the potential to accommodate various uses, including tourism and commercial uses that will support the future success of the AJ Bell stadium and appropriate employment uses. The precise nature of these uses will be subject to market demand and flexibility is therefore preferable to an allocation. It is considered that</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | SLP:DMP policy CT1 (Tourism development) and SLP:CSA policy SS2 (Core growth area) provide sufficient guidance to support the ongoing development of this area. |
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green | Peel Waters (A0034) Site: AJ Bell Stadium plot 5 Site reference: CSA-SSA-024 | Unutilised land | Employment (27,870sqm) Site size: 6.36 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redevelopment of underutilised site • High quality employment development • Landscape/habitat enhancements • High quality design and sustainability standards | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The site falls within the core growth area, which has a strategically important economic function, as identified in Places for Everyone policy JP-Strat1: Core Growth Area. This part of the core growth area has the potential to accommodate various uses, including tourism and commercial uses that will support the future success of the AJ Bell stadium and appropriate employment uses. The precise nature of these uses will be subject to market demand and flexibility is therefore preferable to an allocation. It is considered that SLP:DMP policy CT1 (Tourism development) and SLP:CSA policy</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | | SS2 (Core growth area) provide sufficient guidance to support the ongoing development of this area. |
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green | Peel Waters (A0034) Site: Land at Clubhouse, Boysnope Park Site reference: CSA-SSA-025 | Three dwellings and a restaurant occupy a small amount of space. The remainder of the site is unutilised land | Employment (yield not specified) Site size: 2.61 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redevelopment of underutilised and predominantly vacant site • Complementary uses - potential to create employment hub • Restaurant relates to the area's previous golf course/driving range uses (now closed) | <p>Site is proposed to be allocated for employment development, or a combination of employment uses and plots for travelling showpeople accommodation. This is part of a wider site in the SLP:CSA (Allocation 7 Boysnope Wharf and Clubhouse); the site boundary suggested by the representor is proposed to be extended north eastwards, up to the boundary of the Macro site.</p> <p>The surrounding area is being developed for large scale employment development, including a multi-modal freight interchange. Allocating this site for employment uses would add to a strategically important cluster of these uses in this area, with potential to also meet need for travelling showpeople</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | | accommodation. Further discussions to take place with landowner to inform the publication version of the SLP:CSA. |
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green | Peel Waters (A0034) Site: Former White Reclamation Site reference: CSA-SSA-026 | Open storage and two dwellings | Employment (yield not specified) Site size: 3.11 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No material or technical considerations which weigh against proposal • Employment creation • Additional GVA to the local economy • Landscape/habitat enhancements • Promotes sustainable travel modes • High quality design and sustainability standards | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>A full planning application for the redevelopment of this site for an employment scheme including B8 (storage and distribution), B2 (industrial), E (research and development, and light industrial) uses was approved in July 2024 subject to the signing of a s106 agreement (PA/2023/0275).</p> |
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green | Peel Waters (A0034) Site: Port Salford Site reference: CSA-SSA-027 | One industrial unit, aggregate recycling facility and unutilised land | Employment 139,000sqm Site size: 50 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redevelopment of underutilised site • High quality employment development • Additional GVA to the local economy | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The site has planning permission for the proposed use and is currently under construction. Therefore, there is no merit in progressing the site as an allocation in the SLP:CSA.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|----------------------------|---|------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Landscape/habitat enhancements • High quality design and sustainability standards | <p>The site is protected and its development supported through Salford's adopted development plan; SLP:DMP policy EC2 (Port Salford) protects it as a tri-modal freight terminal and employment area. The site is part of the Core Growth area in PfE and is covered by policy JP-Strat4.</p> <p>The following in the Draft SLP:CSA are relevant:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy SS1 parts 2) a) and h) highlight Port Salford as a focus for growth and an area whose economic benefits should be maximised. • Policy SS4A parts 5) and 7) highlights the importance of ensuring that its associated infrastructure can be accommodated |
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, | Peel Waters (A0034) | Small industrial | Employment 325,000sqm | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redevelopment of underutilised site | Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA. |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|-----------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Higher Irlam and Peel Green | Site: Port Salford extension Site reference: CSA-SSA-028 | estate, unutilised land and agricultural land | Site size: 115 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High quality employment development • Additional GVA to the local economy • Landscape/habitat enhancements • High quality design and sustainability standards | <p>The site's development is supported through various policies in the adopted development plan and therefore, there is no merit in progressing the site as an allocation in the SLP:CSA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Places for Everyone policy JP-Strat4 (Port Salford) supports its development as an integrated tr-modal facility with the potential to accommodate around 500,000sqm employment floorspace within the overall facility • Places for Everyone policy JPA26 (Port Salford extension) allocates the site for 320,000sqm employment floorspace <p>The following in the Draft SLP:CSA are relevant:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy SS1 parts 2) a) and h) highlight Port Salford as a focus |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|--|-------------|--|--|---|
| | | | | | <p>for growth and an area whose economic benefits should be maximised.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy SS4A parts 5) and 7) highlights the importance of ensuring that its associated infrastructure can be accommodated <p>Although 99% of the site is peaty soil as identified by the Natural England Peaty Soils Location (England) map, the inspectors examining Places for Everyone considered that the benefits of development would clearly outweigh the harm caused. On this basis, wholly exceptional reasons exist, in principle, to justify the allocation.</p> |
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green | Rowland Homes (A0038) Site: Land and buildings to | Storage | Housing (80 units) Site size: 2.35 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of previously developed land in a sustainable location | Site is proposed to be allocated for housing development, as part of a wider site in the Draft SLP:CSA (Allocation 6: Land off Hayes Road and Green Lane). The site boundary suggested by the |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| | south east of Liverpool Road, Site reference: CSA-SSA-031 | | | | <p>representor is proposed to be extended north west up to Liverpool Road (between Green Lane and Lytherton Avenue).</p> <p>This site has been previously identified by the council in the Cadishead South Regeneration Strategy as suitable for redevelopment for housing. It was also proposed to be allocated for housing previously when the council was preparing a single local plan (rather than in two parts).</p> <p>The redevelopment of this site offers the potential to improve the local environment and establish an attractive frontage to Cadishead Way.</p> |
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green | Mark and Louise Upton (A0049) | Agricultural land (low value) used most recently | Housing (yield not specified) Site size: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sustainable and accessible location • Harm to wider Green Belt would be | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>100% of the site is peaty soil as identified by the Natural England</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------|--|------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|
| | <p>Site: Land off MacDonald Road and Astley Road, Irlam</p> <p>Site reference: CSA-SSA-034</p> | for turf growing | 11 hectares | <p>minimised owing to the site's characteristics</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Available immediately • Potential to provide accommodation for a variety of households, including affordable housing • Few development constraints (access, ecology, flood risk, contamination, services, heritage and pollution been considered) • Low/no agricultural land value • Site previously proposed to be allocated as part of a wider allocation in Places for Everyone (JPA28) • Additional report submitted as part of the representation: Representations on | <p>Peaty Soils Location (England) map. Natural England representations to Places for Everyone indicate that they consider deep peat to be an irreplaceable habitat.</p> <p>Paragraph 186c of the NPPF states that development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats should be refused unless there are wholly exceptional reasons, where the public benefit would clearly outweigh the loss or deterioration of habitat and a suitable compensation strategy exists. Whilst 186c relates to the determination of planning applications, it is relevant to apply it for plan-making purposes, consistent with paragraph 235 of the inspectors report into the examination of Places for Everyone.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------|---|-------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | Places for Everyone Main Modifications North of Irlam Station. | <p>The site formed part of the PfE North of Irlam Station housing allocation (Publication plan reference JPA28). This allocation was found to be unsound by the inspectors who concluded it was not justified, consistent with national policy or effective in achieving sustainable development. This was due to the benefits of development not outweighing the harms (primarily the presence of peat). The allocation was removed from the plan as a result of main modifications to it.</p> <p>The site is also in the Green Belt. The Places for Everyone (PfE) joint development plan document (adopted in March 2024) establishes a new Green Belt boundary for the nine boroughs covered by it. Exceptional circumstances were demonstrated as part of PfE to justify the selective removal of land from the</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|---|-------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | <p>Green Belt for employment and housing development to ensure identified development needs would be met.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA maintains the designation of the existing Green Belt in Salford without any further alterations to it. This is in the context of: paragraph 145 of the NPPF; Salford's identified housing and employment supply relative to identified needs; and the Green Belt in Salford having been altered very recently through PfE.</p> |
| Little Hulton, Walkden North and Walkden South | Albert Gubay Trustees Limited (A0002) Site: Land at Fereday Street, Walkden Site reference: | Not in active use | Housing (up to 30 units) Site size: 0.25 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cleared, previously developed site • Residential context (three sides) • Sustainable location close to the town centre, employment and services • No known planning constraints • Immediately available | Site is proposed to be allocated for housing development in the SLP:CSA (Allocation 9: Fereday Street), recognising its potential to support town centre regeneration, as a vacant site adjacent to Walkden town centre. |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|---|------------------|---|---|--|
| | CSA-SSA-001 | | | | |
| Little Hulton, Walkden North and Walkden South | Albert Gubay Trustees Limited (A0002) Site: New Ellesmere Approach, Walkden Site reference: CSA-SSA-002 | Car park | Mixed-use (1,000 sqm) Site size: 0.45 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previously developed, town centre site whose redevelopment could support town centre viability. Existing car park has limited use; sufficient capacity in the centre. Potential for drive through/commercial/ apartments with active ground floor use Feasibility work indicates market interest | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The representor has suggested the site is suitable for a range of uses. Having regard to this, and noting the site's town centre location, it is considered that flexibility is preferable to an allocation.</p> <p>A mixed-use scheme in this location would be considered against SLP:DMP policies TC1 to TC5, and SLP:CSA policies SS4 (Northern Area) and SS4B (Little Hulton, Walkden North and Walkden South Neighbourhood area).</p> |
| Little Hulton, Walkden North and Walkden South | Albert Gubay Trustees Limited (A0002) Site: Manchester | Commercial units | Mixed-use (yield not specified) Site size: 1.10 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previously developed, town centre site whose redevelopment could support town centre viability. High vacancy levels, unsuitable | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>Planning approval for the redevelopment of this site was granted on 6 June 2024 (PA/2023/0370). The representor</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|---|-------------|---|--|---|
| | Road East, Walkden Site reference: CSA-SSA-003 | | | <p>accommodation and poor patronage</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential scope for family leisure (to diversify the evening economy) and residential uses | <p>has suggested that this provides a short term improvement and that the site is likely to be suitable for a mix of uses in the long term (e.g. family leisure, food and drink uses, town centre living). Having regard to this and noting the site's town centre location, it is considered that flexibility is preferable to an allocation.</p> <p>A mixed-use scheme in this location would be considered against SLP:DMP policies TC1 to TC5, and SLP:CSA policies SS4 (Northern Area) and SS4B (Little Hulton, Walkden North and Walkden South Neighbourhood area).</p> |
| Little Hulton, Walkden North and Walkden South | James Jordan (A0025) Site: Land on the corner of Bolton Road | Vacant | Mixed-use (retail, leisure, commercial) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Undeveloped town centre site Urgent redevelopment required in Walkden town centre | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The representor has suggested the site is suitable for a range of uses. Having regard to this and noting</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------------------------|---|-------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| | and Manchester Road, Walkden Site reference: CSA-SSA-011 | | Site size: not stated in response | | <p>the site's town centre location, it is considered that flexibility is preferable to an allocation.</p> <p>A mixed-use scheme in this location would be considered against SLP:DMP policies TC1-5 and SLP:CSA policy SS4 (Northern Area) and SS4B (Little Hulton, Walkden North and Walkden South Neighbourhood area). Policy SS4B/3 specifically support the mixed-use redevelopment of Walkden Town Centre in the early years of the plan period.</p> <p>Site granted planning permission in September 2024 (subject to s106 agreement) to create a five-storey building comprising of 46 affordable apartments for older persons (PA/2024/0795).</p> |
| Little Hulton, Walkden North | Peel Land and Property (A0033) | Agriculture | Mixed-use (1,600 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Available, vacant land • Highly accessible | Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA. |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------|--|-------------|---|---|---|
| and Walkden South | Site: Linnyshaw Site reference: CSA-SSA-013 | | residential units) Site size: 110.09 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relatively few constraints • Will not result in significant harm to the existing pattern of the urban area • One of the few sites that can provide a new source of employment floorspace in northern Salford • Able to provide a different workspace offer, complements and diversifies existing supply • Additional detail provided in 'Land at Linnyshaw Development Framework – October 2021.' | <p>The Places for Everyone (PfE) joint development plan document (adopted in March 2024) establishes a new Green Belt boundary for the nine boroughs covered by it. Exceptional circumstances were demonstrated as part of PfE to justify the selective removal of land from the Green Belt for employment and housing development to ensure identified development needs would be met.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA maintains the designation of the existing Green Belt in Salford without any further alterations to it. This is in the context of: paragraph 145 of the NPPF; Salford's identified housing and employment supply relative to identified needs; and the Green Belt in Salford having been altered very recently through PfE.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| Little Hulton, Walkden North and Walkden South | Peel Land and Property (A0033) Site: Cranleigh Drive Site reference: CSA-SSA-021 | Vacant | Housing (15 units) Site size: 1.26 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vacant land adjacent to housing and infrastructure • Suitable for infill; extension to the existing housing area • Brook and public right of way can be retained • Second phase of an earlier extension to Cranfield Drive • Available immediately | <p>Site is proposed to be allocated for housing development in the SLP:CSA (Allocation 10: Cranleigh Drive).</p> <p>This is an accessible site adjacent to an existing residential area and the Leigh Salford Manchester guided busway.</p> <p>Given the site's location in close proximity to two loop lines which provide some of the same recreation functions, it is considered that there would be limited impact on open space provision if the site was developed.</p> |
| Swinton and Pendlebury | EVSY Group (A0013) Site: Former Pendlebury Miners Welfare Institute, Temple Drive | Amenity space/hard standing previously occupied by Miner's Welfare building and | Housing (63 units) Site size: 1.8 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regeneration of previously developed, vacant site • Choice of new housing in the area • Enhance surroundings • Accessible location • Appropriate use in suitable location | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>Whilst it is recognised that this site presents an opportunity to deliver housing in an accessible location close to Swinton Town Centre, a planning application for the redevelopment of this site is</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| | Site reference: CSA-SSA-007 | bowling green. | | | currently pending determination and therefore its suitability for the proposed use will be determined through the development management process (23/81450/OUT). |
| Swinton and Pendlebury | Peel Land and Property (A0033) Site: Wardley Site reference: CSA-SSA-014 | Agriculture | Mixed-use Site size: 55.33 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vacant open land affected by urbanising influences • Will not result in significant harm to the existing pattern of the urban area • Highly accessible • No insurmountable constraints • Available immediately • Technical assessments undertaken and further detail provided in accompanying development framework | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The Places for Everyone (PfE) joint development plan document (adopted in March 2024) establishes a new Green Belt boundary for the nine boroughs covered by it. Exceptional circumstances were demonstrated as part of PfE to justify the selective removal of land from the Green Belt for employment and housing development to ensure identified development needs would be met.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA maintains the designation of the existing Green Belt in Salford without any further</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|
| | | | | | alterations to it. This is in the context of: paragraph 145 of the NPPF; Salford's identified housing and employment supply relative to identified needs; and the Green Belt in Salford having been altered very recently through PfE. |
| Swinton and Pendlebury | RMS International Ltd (A0036) Site: Land to east of Pendlebury Road (RMS) Site reference: CSA-SSA-030 | Employment | Housing (120 units) Site size: 2.36 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Salford Employment Land Review (2008) noted environmental quality, access constraints and proximity to dwellings • Unit size not appropriate for location • HGV traffic incompatible with access roads • Building specification compromised (height and loading access) • Site next to the town centre inappropriate for industrial warehousing at this scale | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>Whilst the site presents an opportunity to increase the supply of housing in a sustainable location with close proximity to Swinton town centre and its associated public transport infrastructure, it forms part of an existing employment area whose redevelopment cannot be considered in isolation. The wider Swinton Hall Road employment area is well occupied, contains numerous individual premises / businesses and supports a considerable number of jobs.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------------------|--|-------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Office development in this location is contrary to policy and unviable • Site does not contribute to supply, there is headroom in the long term supply to allocate this site for housing • Additional family housing in a location close to facilities and services • No known constraints | |
| Swinton and Pendlebury | <p>Seddon Homes Ltd (A0040)</p> <p>Site: Land off Manchester Road and Moss Colliery Road, Clifton</p> <p>Site reference: CSA-SSA-032</p> | Vacant | <p>Housing (350 units)</p> <p>Site size: 9.9 hectares</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Little or no agricultural value • Available immediately • Two potential access junctions identified • Well connected to existing urban area • Adjoining residential uses • Good access to services and transport infrastructure | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>57% of the site is peaty soil as identified by the Natural England Peaty Soils Location (England) map. Natural England representations to Places for Everyone indicate that they consider deep peat to be an irreplaceable habitat.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------|---|-------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close to Wardley employment area • No known constraints • Ecology, hydrology and flood risk and ground conditions surveys undertaken | <p>Paragraph 186c of the NPPF states that development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats should be refused unless there are wholly exceptional reasons, where the public benefit would clearly outweigh the loss or deterioration of habitat and a suitable compensation strategy exists. Whilst 186c relates to the determination of planning applications, it is relevant to apply it for plan-making purposes, consistent with paragraph 235 of the inspectors report into the examination of Places for Everyone.</p> <p>Paragraph 181 of the NPPF explains that plans should allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value where consistent with other policies in the framework.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------|---|-------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| | | | | | <p>The site is not considered to have the potential to provide public benefits that would clearly outweigh the loss or deterioration of potential irreplaceable habitat.</p> <p>The site is also in the Green Belt. The Places for Everyone (PfE) joint development plan document (adopted in March 2024) establishes a new Green Belt boundary for the nine boroughs covered by it. Exceptional circumstances were demonstrated as part of PfE to justify the selective removal of land from the Green Belt for employment and housing development to ensure identified development needs would be met.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA maintains the designation of the existing Green Belt in Salford without any further alterations to it. This is in the context of: paragraph 145 of the NPPF; Salford's identified housing</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | and employment supply relative to identified needs; and the Green Belt in Salford having been altered very recently through PfE. |
| Swinton and Pendlebury | Wain Estates (Land) Ltd (A0048) Site: Former Swinton Park Golf Club Site reference: CSA-SSA-033 | Vacant land, previously used as a golf course and club house with associated storage and green keeper building, | Mixed-use (1,200 dwellings, community sports facilities, later living residential provision, supermarket, community health facilities, open space) Site size: 39.38 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vacant site in single private ownership • No public access • Currently subject to vandalism and anti-social behaviour • Located within the urban area • Council confirmed that it would not attach weight to the asset of community value (ACV) status for the purpose of a planning application • Potential to deliver community sports facilities that would be accessible to all, a new local centre, green infrastructure, family and affordable housing | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The site was formerly used as a golf course and whilst now closed, its development would result in the loss of large area of recreation space. Registered as an Asset of Community Value until 25 November 2024.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------|---|-------------|------------------------------------|--|--------------|
| | | | | <p>and older persons accommodation. Benefits of development are therefore capable of outweighing its loss.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No prospect of recreational use coming forward • Initial findings from an updated Golf Needs Assessment (commissioned by the representor) indicate that the site is surplus to requirement for golf provision. Paragraph 103 of the NPPF also highlighted as defining circumstances where open space can be developed • Available immediately • Additional information submitted: Swinton Park Vision Document | |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| The Quays, Ordsall, Pendleton and Charlestown | Bredale Properties Limited (A0006) Site: 111 Taylorson Street South Site reference: CSA-SSA-004 | Gym | Mixed-use Site size: 0.55 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highly accessible, town centre location whose redevelopment could support vibrancy • Site has extant permission for mixed use development which has commenced • To protect the site and its ability to offer a range of uses, it should be allocated • Site can provide services and facilities for those living and working at Salford Quays and additional choice of accommodation | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The site is located in Salford Quays town centre. Policy AP2 of the SLP:DMP (Salford Quays) includes detailed requirements that will guide the development of sites within this area, meaning that allocating the site is not considered necessary given the positive planning / development framework that already exists. Allocating sites within this area could be overly prescriptive in relation to the type and scale of development, particularly if there are market changes over the plan period.</p> |
| The Quays, Ordsall, Pendleton and Charlestown | ECX Limited (Fordis Capital) (A0010) | Vacant, last use was a supermarket | Mixed-use Site size: 0.56 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vacant for 7 years, appropriate to consider redevelopment options • Available, under-utilised previously developed site in a sustainable location | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The representor has suggested the site is suitable for a range of uses. Noting this and the site's location within a designated local centre, it</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Site: Former Morrisons, Trafford Road Site reference: CS-SSA-005 | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opportunity to create a gateway feature • Surrounding residential context • Could accommodate 300+ apartments or 500 student units and ground floor commercial uses • No known planning constraints | <p>is considered that flexibility is preferable to an allocation.</p> <p>A mixed-use scheme in this location would be considered against SLP:DMP policies TC1 to TC5 and SLP:CSA policies SS3 (Inner Area) and SS3D (The Quays, Ordsall, Pendleton and Charlestown neighbourhood area).</p> |
| The Quays, Ordsall, Pendleton and Charlestown | European Metal Recycling (A0012) Site: Liverpool Street area Site reference: CSA-SSA-006 | Primarily employment some apartment development at its north-eastern and south eastern extents | Mixed-use Site size: 36.74 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representor is majority landowner • There are more appropriate and efficient waste recycling locations • Underutilised previously developed site • No policy constraints except EC1 • Logical location for future regeneration; potential to integrate with other regeneration | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA. Moreover, it is not proposed that the boundary of City Centre Salford is extended to include this site.</p> <p>Whilst the boundary of the Core Growth Area is not defined in Places for Everyone, figure 4.2 shows the area covered by the Core Growth Area, which includes the City Centre. The City Centre Salford boundary was defined in SLP:DMP policy AP1 (City Centre</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---|---|-------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | <p>areas, expand outcomes, close to Metrolink expansion.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include site in core growth area and city centre boundary over time. • Support the area's regeneration promote its strategic integration and encourage mixed use development. | <p>Salford), and is not being altered through the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The potential for change within the Liverpool Street area is already recognised though Policy AP5 of the SLP:DMP.</p> <p>The area falls within the Inner Area as defined in Draft SLP:CSA policy SS3 (Inner Area). Its potential is recognised and its comprehensive masterplanning and regeneration supported through Draft SLP:CSA policies SS3 (part 3) and SS3D. Its potential to complement the successful functioning of the City Centre and accommodate a diverse range of housing types not currently typically found in the City Centre is highlighted in policy SS3D part 4.</p> |
| The Quays, Ordsall, Pendleton and Charlestown | Henley Investments (A0018) | Retail park | Mixed-use (up to 3,000 residential units, | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low density, inner city, previously developed site with significant potential | Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA. |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | Site: Regent Retail Park Site reference: CSA-SSA-009 | | 10,000sqm commercial floorspace) Site size: 3.9 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not exhibit local centre qualities • Currently includes significant areas of car parking • Regeneration of adjacent areas has changed the landscape in this area • Appropriate location for high density development and can function as an extension to the City Centre • Redevelopment can improve vitality and viability and deliver improved public realm • Deliverable and no known constraints | <p>The potential to diversify uses in the Regent Road local centre through its comprehensive redevelopment is recognised and supported through Draft SLP:CSA policies SS3 (part 6) and SS3D (part 9). Paragraph 3.60 of the SLP:CSA notes that high density residential development within the centre will be appropriate subject to compliance with other relevant policies.</p> <p>A planning application for the redevelopment of this site is currently pending determination (PA/2024/0962).</p> |
| The Quays, Ordsall, Pendleton and Charlestown | J2 Corporation Limited (A0023) | Vacant, formerly used for employment | Housing (50 units) Site size: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is available • Vacant, under-used previously developed site • Sustainable location | Site is proposed to be allocated for housing development as part of a wider site (Allocation 5: East of Langley Road) in the SLP:CSA. |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | Site: Regatta Street, Pendlebury Site reference: CSA-SSA-010 | | 1.25 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No demand for employment use based on market appraisal. Council's assessment confirms that development for housing could come forward without compromising operation of remaining employment uses Assessments undertaken indicate limited constraints or constraints that can be overcome (ecology, flood risk, ground conditions, noise) Additional information submitted: Irwell Gardens development prospectus | <p>Its potential to support housing-led regeneration in the inner area is recognised. The site provides an opportunity to deliver an attractive scheme which maximises the benefits of its riverside setting, improves natural surveillance of walkways alongside the River Irwell and assists in generating a sense of community alongside existing dwellings.</p> <p>A planning application for the redevelopment of part of this site for 50 dwellings was approved in April 2024, the decision has not yet been issued as the S106 remains to be signed (23/81687/FUL).</p> |
| The Quays, Ordsall, Pendleton and Charlestown | Monkton Properties Limited (A0030) | Workshop, office space and cafe | Mixed-use (up to 100 residential units, | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is identified on the Brownfield Land Register | Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA. |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|---|--|----------------------|---|---|---|
| | Site: 14 to 16 Worrall Street, Ordsall Waterfront Site reference: CSA-SSA-011 | | 350sqm commercial uses) Site size: 0.12 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing use is not compatible with adjacent sites which have been redeveloped for residential Site is available | <p>The site is located in the Ordsall Waterfront area, whose development is guided through SLP:DMP policy AP3 (Ordsall Waterfront). AP3 has established that Ordsall Waterfront should evolve into a residential-led mixed use corridor, with small scale active uses at ground floor level. AP3 includes requirements in relation to movement and environmental quality.</p> <p>Allocating sites in this area is not necessary given the positive planning framework that already exists and it has potential to be overly prescriptive in relation to the type and scale of development, particularly if there are market changes over the plan period.</p> |
| The Quays, Ordsall, Pendleton and Charlestown | The Planning Studio (A0051) Site: Windsor Court | Vacant, cleared site | Residential, mixed use development, student housing | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is in a sustainable location, close to Salford Quays Proposals to locate the extension of Metrolink | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The site is isolated from other residential uses with the</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | C-SSA-035 | | Site size: 0.28 hectares | <p>via a holt alongside the site</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previously developed • Underused urban resource with no viability or demand for offices • Major land use changes in the area in recent years • Site can accommodate a tall building • Economic, social and environmental benefits | <p>surrounding context being generally commercial. The representor has suggested the site is suitable for a range of uses. Noting the above, flexibility is considered preferable compared to an allocation.</p> |
| Worsley and Westwood Park, Boothstown and Ellenbrook | <p>Peel Land and Property (A0033)</p> <p>Site: Broadoak</p> <p>Site reference: CSA-SSA-015</p> | Vacant, used for agriculture | <p>Mixed-use (no yield specified)</p> <p>Site size: 27 hectares</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is within urban area, almost entirely surrounded by residential uses • Vacant land • Available immediately • West Salford Greenway can play a role in meeting development needs | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>This site forms part of the West Salford Greenway. This is an area of green infrastructure which is of strategic significance to the city. It comprises interconnected greenspaces which provide a major contribution to the identity and well-being of the surrounding</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------|---|-------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revised concept for the development of the Greenway submitted as part of the response. This has been informed by landscape and heritage sensitivity and incorporating environmental, access and recreation improvements alongside development. Few constraints to development, features of importance can be accommodated | <p>neighbourhoods and West Salford more generally.</p> <p>The West Salford Greenway is protected through SLP:DMP policy GI4 (West Salford Greenway). This policy places a strong emphasis on maintaining openness and continuity, and avoiding any fragmentation of it.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA vision (paragraph 3) and policies SS1 part 2 n) and SS4D part 3 highlight the importance of protecting and enhancing this asset.</p> <p>This site is considered to be demonstrably special and of particular significance to its local community, such that it is proposed to be designated as Local Green Space through SLP:CSA policy GI6 (Local Green Space) (GI6/14 Broadoak South and GI6/18 Worsley Woods, Old Warke Dam and Aviary Field).</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|---|------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | | A detailed explanation of the Local Green Space assessment process is provided in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report. |
| Worsley and Westwood Park, Boothstown and Ellenbrook | Peel Land and Property (A0033) Site: Crossfield Drive Site reference: CSA-SSA-016 | Vacant, used for agriculture | Housing (150 units) Site size: 8.45 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Could deliver family and affordable homes • Could provide higher value homes as part of the overall mix • Surrounded by residential uses, transport infrastructure and mature woodland • Sustainable location • No public access • Located at western edge of the West Salford Greenway • Vacant land • Available immediately • West Salford Greenway can play a role in meeting development needs | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>This site forms part of the West Salford Greenway. This is an area of green infrastructure which is of strategic significance to the city. It comprises interconnected greenspaces which provide a major contribution to the identity and well-being of the surrounding neighbourhoods and West Salford more generally.</p> <p>The West Salford Greenway is protected through SLP:DMP policy GI4 (West Salford Greenway). This policy places a strong emphasis on maintaining openness and</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------|---|-------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revised concept for the development of the Greenway submitted as part of the response. This has been informed by landscape and heritage sensitivity and incorporating environmental, access and recreation improvements alongside development. • Few constraints to development, features of importance can be accommodated • Technical assessments submitted with representation | <p>continuity, and avoiding any fragmentation of it.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA vision (paragraph 3) and policies SS1 part 2 n) and SS4D part 3 highlight the importance of protecting and enhancing this asset.</p> <p>This site is considered to be demonstrably special and of particular significance to its local community, such that it is proposed to be designated as Local Green Space through SLP:CSA policy GI6 (Local Green Space) (GI6/12 Beesley Green and Kempnough Brook).</p> <p>A detailed explanation of the Local Green Space assessment process is provided in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|--|------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Worsley and Westwood Park, Boothstown and Ellenbrook | Peel Land and Property (A0033) Site: Beesley Green Site reference: CSA-SSA-017 | Vacant, used for agriculture | Housing, leisure and commercial, green infrastructure Site size: 7.56 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Largely within the urban area, surrounded by many urban features • Sustainable location • West Salford Greenway can play a role in meeting development needs • Revised concept for the development of the Greenway submitted as part of the response. This has been informed by landscape and heritage sensitivity and incorporating environmental, access and recreation improvements alongside development. • Vacant land • Available immediately • Few constraints to development • Location within the conservation area does not pose an | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>This site forms part of the West Salford Greenway. This is an area of green infrastructure which is of strategic significance to the city. It comprises interconnected greenspaces which provide a major contribution to the identity and well-being of the surrounding neighbourhoods and West Salford more generally.</p> <p>The West Salford Greenway is protected through SLP:DMP policy GI4 (West Salford Greenway). This policy places a strong emphasis on maintaining openness and continuity, and avoiding any fragmentation of it.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA vision (paragraph 3) and policies SS1 part 2 n) and SS4D part 3 highlight the importance of protecting and enhancing this asset.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | | insurmountable constraint | <p>This site is considered to be demonstrably special and of particular significance to its local community, such that it is proposed to be designated as Local Green Space through SLP:CSA policy GI6 (Local Green Space) (GI6/12 Beesley Green and Kempnough Brook).</p> <p>A detailed explanation of the Local Green Space assessment process is provided in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |
| Worsley and Westwood Park, Boothstown and Ellenbrook | Peel Land and Property (A0033) Site: Lumber Lane Site reference: CSA-SSA-018 | Vacant, used for agriculture | Housing (conflicting information on yield in the response; section 2 refers to 15 units, section | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surrounded by residential development and transport infrastructure • Could deliver family and affordable homes • Vacant site • Available immediately • Suitable infill development | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>Whilst the site is adjacent to an existing residential area, on the basis of current evidence it is not considered that adequate access arrangements can be achieved</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|---|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | 3 refers to 63 units) Site size: 3.54 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site previously proposed to be allocated for 60 dwellings in the Salford Draft Local Plan (2016), demonstrating the suitability of the site for residential use • Hardy Grove is the preferred point of vehicular access. This is owned by Salford City Council but does not pose a constraint to development of the site. • No unsurmountable constraints • Technical assessments submitted with representation | |
| Worsley and Westwood Park, Boothstown and Ellenbrook | Peel Land and Property (A0033) | Vacant, used for agriculture | Mixed-use (no yield specified) Site size: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Undeveloped land adjacent to residential area • Potential to accommodate a mix of | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The Places for Everyone (PfE) joint development plan document</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------|--|-------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| | Site: Land West of Boothstown Site reference: CSA-SSA-020 | | 18.57 hectares | <p>uses as part of the wider Bridgewater West proposal which extends into Wigan. This seeks to unite and enhance assets in the area (Bridgewater Canal, communities, RHS Bridgewater, Lancashire Mining Museum) and create an integrated employment led campus that includes tourism, retail, workspaces and visitor accommodation which establishes a visitor destination on the Bridgewater Canal.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This concept is being promoted through the Wigan Local Plan • Few constraints • Highly accessible • No significant harm to built form and pattern of development. | <p>(adopted in March 2024) establishes a new Green Belt boundary for the nine boroughs covered by it. Exceptional circumstances were demonstrated as part of PfE to justify the selective removal of land from the Green Belt for employment and housing development to ensure identified development needs would be met.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA maintains the designation of the existing Green Belt in Salford without any further alterations to it. This is in the context of: paragraph 145 of the NPPF; Salford's identified housing and employment supply relative to identified needs; and the Green Belt in Salford having been altered very recently through PfE.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|---|-------------|--|--|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Available immediately • Technical assessments submitted with representation | |
| Worsley and Westwood Park, Boothstown and Ellenbrook | Peel Land and Property (A0033) Site: Walkden Road Site reference: CSA-SSA-022 | Vacant | Housing or commercial Site size: 0.8 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surrounded by transport infrastructure and mature woodland • Potential to deliver a small scale residential / community use, potentially including a care home, accommodation for the elderly or roadside commercial facilities • Vacant land on the edge of the urban area, suitable for infill development • Immediately available • No known constraints • Technical assessments submitted with representation | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The Places for Everyone (PfE) joint development plan document (adopted in March 2024) establishes a new Green Belt boundary for the nine boroughs covered by it. Exceptional circumstances were demonstrated as part of PfE to justify the selective removal of land from the Green Belt for employment and housing development to ensure identified development needs would be met.</p> <p>The SLP:CSA maintains the designation of the existing Green Belt in Salford without any further alterations to it. This is in the context of: paragraph 145 of the</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--|---|------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | | NPPF; Salford's identified housing and employment supply relative to identified needs; and the Green Belt in Salford having been altered very recently through PfE. |
| Worsley and Westwood Park, Boothstown and Ellenbrook | RHS Garden Bridgewater (A0035) Site: RHS site Site reference: CSA-SSA-029 | RHS Garden Bridgewater | Leisure Site size: 63.67 hectares | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The garden will continue to grow over with further investment and plans include the development of an arboretum, green skills hub with catering and support facilities, events space and renovation of the terraced garden. The use of the site as a tourist attraction is well established. Allocating the site would ensure that future development proposals which support for operational and/or expansion of the facility would be | <p>Site is not proposed to be allocated in the SLP:CSA.</p> <p>The NPPF (paragraph 154b) allows for development in support of outdoor recreation where it preserves openness and does not conflict with the purposes on including land within it. It is considered that proposals for the further investment and growth of RHS Bridgewater can continue to be considered under the existing Green Belt policy.</p> <p>The removal of the site from the Green Belt and its allocation for development could result in levels of leisure development over and above that which would be allowed for under the NPPF. This could</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Respondent (reference) Site name and reference | Current use | Proposed use (yield) and site size | Respondent comments | SCC response |
|--------------------|---|-------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | supported in principle. This is necessary to secure the future sustainable development and operation of the facility and its continued contribution to the city and region. | <p>have negative impacts in terms of loss of openness and the role the site plays from a Green Belt perspective in separating Boothstown from Worsley and place greater pressure on biodiversity assets within the site, which include a Site of Biological Importance, priority habitats and irreplaceable habitats.</p> <p>The Places for Everyone (PfE) joint development plan document (adopted in March 2024) establishes a new Green Belt boundary for the nine boroughs covered by it. The SLP:CSA maintains the designation of the existing Green Belt in Salford without any further alterations to it, noting that the Green Belt in Salford has been altered very recently through PfE.</p> |

Annex J Local Green Space designations suggested through the initial consultation

| Neighbourhood area | Site name and reference number | Representor name (reference number) | Site area (hectares) | Respondent comments | SCC comments |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green | Cadishead Park LGS01 | Stephen Hopkins (A0042) | 3.5 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neighbourhood Park • Contains play areas, sports pitches and other outdoor sports facilities with grassed areas, flowerbeds, mature trees. • Park contains Irlam & Cadishead Youth Centre. | <p>The site is not proposed to be designated as Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA as based on current evidence it is not considered to be sufficiently special or particularly locally significant to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green | Cadishead Recreation Ground LGS02 | Stephen Hopkins (A0042) | 3.7 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is in recreation use, consisting of a children's play area and rugby pitches. • Home to Cadishead Rhinos Rugby league club • Surrounded by residential properties. | <p>The site is not proposed to be designated as Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA as based on current evidence it is not considered to be sufficiently special or particularly locally significant to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Site name and reference number | Representor name (reference number) | Site area (hectares) | Respondent comments | SCC comments |
|--|--|---|----------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | Green Space Designations Background Report. |
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green | Northbank Industrial Estate Greenspace LGS03 | Stephen Hopkins (A0042) | 2.8 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local Natural Greenspace with trees, grassed areas and maintained paths for recreation and relaxation. | <p>The site is proposed to be designated as Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA (Policy GL6/9) as it is sufficiently special and holds a particular local significance to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |
| Cadishead and Lower Irlam, Higher Irlam and Peel Green | Prince's Park LGS04 | Sean Dunne and the Manchester and Salford Group of Ramblers (A0039) | 18.7 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Close to surrounding residential areas. Donated to the city in Victorian times Contains a unique remnant of the original course of the River Irwell, an important habitat for a wildlife and used by the local angling community. Uses for Irlam and Cadishead Community Festival | <p>The site is not proposed to be designated as Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA as based on current evidence it is not considered to be sufficiently special or particularly locally significant to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Site name and reference number | Representor name (reference number) | Site area (hectares) | Respondent comments | SCC comments |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contains Princes Park Garden Centre, which is a local community hub Supported by the Friends of Irlam and Cadishead Park and other groups Designation will increase the network of wildlife corridors and support health, well-being, and quality of life. | Green Space Designations Background Report. |
| Claremont, Weaste and Seedley | Buile Hill Park LGS05 | Sean Dunne and the Manchester and Salford Group of Ramblers (A0039) | 27.6 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Close to residential areas. Seedley Park opened in 1876, Buile Hill Park added in 1903. Buile Hill Mansion opened as a natural history museum in 1906, and became a mining museum in 1975, closing in 2000. Valued open space with extensive views The Friends of Buile Hill Park contributes to activities/management Local in character and is not an extensive tract of land. No similar sites in the local area. | <p>The site is proposed to be designated as Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA (Policy GL6/1) as it is sufficiently special and holds a particular local significance to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Site name and reference number | Representor name (reference number) | Site area (hectares) | Respondent comments | SCC comments |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Designation will increase the network of wildlife corridors and help support health, well-being, and quality of life. | |
| Claremont, Weaste and Seedley | Lightoaks Park LGS06 | Sean Dunne and the Manchester and Salford Group of Ramblers (A0039) | 7.7 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Used for public recreation since Victorian times. Provides a tranquil green space. Lake is home to a variety of water birds and is bordered by woodland Friends of Lightoaks Park group, are working on a five-year plan and organise community activities. The site is local in character and is not an extensive tract of land. There are no other similar sites in the local area. Designation will increase the network of wildlife corridors and help support health, well-being, and quality of life. | <p>The site is not proposed to be designated as Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA as based on current evidence it is not considered to be sufficiently special or particularly locally significant to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |
| Claremont, Weaste and Seedley | Oakwood Park LGS07 | Sean Dunne and the Manchester | 10.1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Close to residential areas. | The site is not proposed to be designated as Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA as based |

| Neighbourhood area | Site name and reference number | Representor name (reference number) | Site area (hectares) | Respondent comments | SCC comments |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|---|
| | | and Salford Group of Ramblers (A0039) | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Home to the Langworthy Reds Rugby Club and Ingleside Birth and Community Centre. • Local in character and not an extensive tract of land. • No other similar sites in the local area. • Designation will increase the network of wildlife corridors and help support health, well-being, and quality of life. | <p>on current evidence it is not considered to be sufficiently special or particularly locally significant to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |
| Claremont, Weaste and Seedley | Weaste Cemetery LGS08 | Sean Dunne and the Manchester and Salford Group of Ramblers (A0039) | 16 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close to residential areas. • Demonstratively special to the local community. • Six memorial stones commemorate leading citizens of Salford are Grade II listed • A cemetery heritage trail is available. • A tranquil green area in an otherwise very built-up neighbourhood. • A Friends of Cemeteries group work with the council to provide a safe and pleasant place for | <p>The site is proposed to be designated as a Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA (Policy GL6/2) as it is sufficiently special and holds a particular local significance to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Site name and reference number | Representor name (reference number) | Site area (hectares) | Respondent comments | SCC comments |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|---|
| | | | | <p>people to visit and pay respects to their loved ones.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local in character and is not an extensive tract of land. • No other similar sites in the local area. • Designation will increase the network of wildlife corridors and help support the health, well-being, and quality of life. | |
| East Salford | Kersal Dale LGS10 | Sean Dunne and the Manchester and Salford Group of Ramblers (A0039) | 33.3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close to residential areas. • Public recreation space since Victorian times, popular with local residents (walking and admiring scenery) • Local Nature Reserve and Site of Biological Importance, home to a variety of wildlife • The Salford Ranger Team and Friends of Kersal Dale group provide self-guided trails, activities and volunteering opportunities. • Local in character and is not an extensive tract of land. • No other similar sites in the local area. | <p>The site is proposed to be designated as a Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA (Policy GI6/4) as it is sufficiently special and holds a particular local significance to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Site name and reference number | Representor name (reference number) | Site area (hectares) | Respondent comments | SCC comments |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Designation will increase the network of wildlife corridors and help support health, well-being, and quality of life. | |
| East Salford | Kersal Moor LGS11 | Sean Dunne and the Manchester and Salford Group of Ramblers (A0039) | 8.2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Close to residential areas. Demonstratively special to the local community, used for sport and meeting place since the seventeenth century. Local Nature Reserve and Site of Biological Importance, home to a variety of wildlife. The Salford Ranger Team and Friends of Kersal Moor group manage the site and organise events and activities. Local in character and not an extensive tract of land. No other similar sites in the local area. Designation will increase the network of wildlife corridors and help support health, well-being, and quality of life. | <p>The site is proposed to be designated as a Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA (Policy GL6/5) as it is sufficiently special and holds a particular local significance to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |
| The Quays, Ordsall, | Kersal Wetlands | Sean Dunne and the | 16.5 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Close to residential areas. | The site is proposed to be designated as a Local Green |

| Neighbourhood area | Site name and reference number | Representor name (reference number) | Site area (hectares) | Respondent comments | SCC comments |
|---|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|---|
| Pendleton and Charlestown | LGS14 | Manchester and Salford Group of Ramblers (A0039) | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstratively special to the local community (walking and cycling, observing bird life and wildlife) • Provides views of the River Irwell, Kersal Dale woodland and Manchester skyline. • Local in character and not an extensive tract of land. • No other similar sites in the local area. • Designation will increase the network of wildlife corridors and help support health, well-being, and quality of life. | <p>Space in the SLP:CSA (Policy GI6/7) as it is sufficiently special and holds a particular local significance to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |
| The Quays, Ordsall, Pendleton and Charlestown | Peel Park LGS15 | Sean Dunne and the Manchester and Salford Group of Ramblers (A0039) | 8.9 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First of three public parks that opened in 1846, for the people of Manchester and Salford, paid for by public subscription. • Possibly the world's first Public Park. • Contains two modern sculptures which form part of the Irwell Sculpture Trail and have recently been refurbished. • Friends of Peel Park (local volunteers) promote the work | <p>The site is proposed to be designated as a Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA (Policy GI6/8) as it is sufficiently special and holds a particular local significance to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Site name and reference number | Representor name (reference number) | Site area (hectares) | Respondent comments | SCC comments |
|------------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|--|
| | | | | <p>the park keepers carry out and aim to maximise use of the park and its facilities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local in character and not an extensive tract of land. • No other similar sites in the local area. • Designation will increase the network of wildlife corridors and help support health, well-being, and quality of life. | |
| Swinton and Pendlebury | Campbell Road and Swinton Greenway Park LGS16 | Sean Dunne and the Manchester and Salford Group of Ramblers (A0039) | 18.8 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close to residential areas. • Demonstratively special to the local community who use it for recreation and for active travel. • Includes a new accessible children's play area funded by the adjacent development. • The woodlands and flower-filled meadows make this place attractive. • Historical connection with railway line • Informally used for recreation by for many years when it was wild landscape as part of the former sewage treatment works. | <p>The site is proposed to be designated as a Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA GI6/11 as it is sufficiently special and holds a particular local significance to warrant this designation</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Site name and reference number | Representor name (reference number) | Site area (hectares) | Respondent comments | SCC comments |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valued, tranquil site, rich in wildlife. Local in character and not an extensive tract of land. There are no other similar sites in the local area. Designation will increase the network of wildlife corridors and help support health, well-being, and quality of life. | |
| Swinton and Pendlebury | Upper Swinton Greenway LGS17 | Sean Dunne and the Manchester and Salford Group of Ramblers (A0039) | 2.9 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Close to residential areas. Recent upgrades to the surfacing attest popularity with local residents Tranquil green space away from traffic. Value to the physical and mental wellbeing (accessible nature) Designation will increase the network of wildlife corridors and help support health, well-being, and quality of life. | <p>The site is not proposed to be designated as a Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA as based on current evidence it is not considered to be sufficiently special or particularly locally significant to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Site name and reference number | Representor name (reference number) | Site area (hectares) | Respondent comments | SCC comments |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|---|
| Swinton and Pendlebury | Swinton Park Golf Club LGS18 | Sean Dunne and the Manchester and Salford Group of Ramblers (A0039) | 38.3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close to residential areas. • Demonstratively special to the local community, achieved Asset of Community Value status in 2019. • Currently used informally for walking. • Beautiful, tranquil site, hosts wildlife. • Local and not an extensive tract of land • No other similar sites in the area. • Lack of public access is not a bar to its designation as a Local Green Space. • Used for sport and as a meeting place since the seventeenth century. • Local in character and is not an extensive tract of land. • No other similar sites in the local area. • Designation will increase the network of wildlife corridors and help support health, well-being, and quality of life. | <p>The site is not proposed to be designated as a Local Green Space in the SLP:CSA as based on current evidence it is not considered to be sufficiently special or particularly locally significant to warrant this designation.</p> <p>The detailed assessment of this site can be viewed in the Local Green Space Designations Background Report.</p> |

| Neighbourhood area | Site name and reference number | Representor name (reference number) | Site area (hectares) | Respondent comments | SCC comments |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | | | | | |